



Mick West:

And a lot of these **have very reasonable explanations**, especially the ones we have video evidence for that's been released to the public.

Global News. (2021, June 6). *UFO skeptic shares explanation for declassified videos ahead of Pentagon report release*. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wqXkonM8wLU>.

1
00:00:02,220 --> 00:00:16,390
[Laughter]

2
00:00:21,269 --> 00:00:17,990
monday 4pm

3
00:00:24,390 --> 00:00:21,279
dan warren and the ufo garage podcast

4
00:00:27,910 --> 00:00:24,400
wednesday 4 p.m sean reisch is

5
00:00:31,509 --> 00:00:27,920
citizen witness and on friday 3 p.m

6
00:00:34,310 --> 00:00:31,519
kent bai vs mick west

7
00:00:34,630 --> 00:00:34,320
this week on the singularity lab tuesday

8
00:00:37,670 --> 00:00:34,640
4

9
00:00:41,350 --> 00:00:37,680
p.m ufo's in the paranormal with john

10
00:00:44,630 --> 00:00:41,360
russell thursday

11
00:00:48,229 --> 00:00:44,640
4 p.m cyber warfare usa

12
00:00:49,590 --> 00:00:48,239
versus china and on friday 5 p.m pacific

13
00:00:53,510 --> 00:00:49,600

standard time

14

00:00:56,389 --> 00:00:53,520

paradigm shifts with christina gomez

15

00:00:58,800 --> 00:00:56,399

come aboard let's get weird this week on

16

00:01:09,670 --> 00:00:58,810

the unidentified celebrity review

17

00:01:13,670 --> 00:01:12,630

hello everyone it's a couple minutes

18

00:01:16,230 --> 00:01:13,680

before 3 p.m

19

00:01:17,270 --> 00:01:16,240

pacific standard time and at the top of

20

00:01:20,310 --> 00:01:17,280

the hour the

21

00:01:22,870 --> 00:01:20,320

unidentified celebrity review will begin

22

00:01:25,190 --> 00:01:22,880

i'm rather be squidding i provide some

23

00:01:27,670 --> 00:01:25,200

pre-show musings while you trickle in

24

00:01:29,270 --> 00:01:27,680

and das tapes provide some free show

25

00:01:31,350 --> 00:01:29,280

music

26

00:01:33,990 --> 00:01:31,360

and have you noticed some of the

27

00:01:36,469 --> 00:01:34,000

production upgrades in recent weeks

28

00:01:38,469 --> 00:01:36,479

things like the awesome retro intro and

29

00:01:40,390 --> 00:01:38,479

calendar videos

30

00:01:42,310 --> 00:01:40,400

now if you appreciate these things

31

00:01:42,789 --> 00:01:42,320

consider finding the patreon link for

32

00:01:45,350 --> 00:01:42,799

the show

33

00:01:46,950 --> 00:01:45,360

in the description below and divert some

34

00:01:47,749 --> 00:01:46,960

of your monthly entertainment dollars

35

00:01:50,630 --> 00:01:47,759

this way

36

00:01:51,270 --> 00:01:50,640

if you're able you know super chats are

37

00:01:54,469 --> 00:01:51,280

are off

38

00:01:55,910 --> 00:01:54,479

also open of course i predict our guests

39

00:01:56,310 --> 00:01:55,920

are going to have a lot to say to each

40

00:01:58,389 --> 00:01:56,320

other

41

00:02:00,149 --> 00:01:58,399

but i'll bring all those super chats on

42

00:02:02,149 --> 00:02:00,159

screen and do my best to get them all

43

00:02:04,870 --> 00:02:02,159

addressed

44

00:02:06,630 --> 00:02:04,880

you know in other news today is world

45

00:02:10,389 --> 00:02:06,640

ufo day

46

00:02:13,750 --> 00:02:10,399

be sure to celebrate 74 years

47

00:02:15,430 --> 00:02:13,760

one week and one day ago kenneth arnold

48

00:02:19,190 --> 00:02:15,440

sighting at murray island

49

00:02:22,309 --> 00:02:19,200

started the ufo era one week ago

50

00:02:24,390 --> 00:02:22,319

the uap task force was launched and

51
00:02:27,589 --> 00:02:24,400
i believe it marked a inflection point

52
00:02:30,070 --> 00:02:27,599
in our comprehension of the issue

53
00:02:31,350 --> 00:02:30,080
it may be argued that the report didn't

54
00:02:34,309 --> 00:02:31,360
reveal a lot or

55
00:02:36,630 --> 00:02:34,319
really anything but its impact is

56
00:02:39,430 --> 00:02:36,640
already massive we've had

57
00:02:40,470 --> 00:02:39,440
serious hours-long programs on national

58
00:02:43,509 --> 00:02:40,480
tv

59
00:02:45,670 --> 00:02:43,519
discussing the issue watching them

60
00:02:47,509 --> 00:02:45,680
it literally felt like the scene in a

61
00:02:50,309 --> 00:02:47,519
movie right after first

62
00:02:51,750 --> 00:02:50,319
contact when the protagonist tunes into

63
00:02:55,110 --> 00:02:51,760

the tv show and

64

00:02:57,750 --> 00:02:55,120

sees the nation reacting disgust

65

00:02:58,710 --> 00:02:57,760

just some likely some backstage right

66

00:03:00,710 --> 00:02:58,720

now

67

00:03:02,309 --> 00:03:00,720

may roll their eyes at the reports and

68

00:03:04,229 --> 00:03:02,319

these shows and

69

00:03:05,509 --> 00:03:04,239

you know to some degree i do understand

70

00:03:08,869 --> 00:03:05,519

that sentiment

71

00:03:10,949 --> 00:03:08,879

but i love it there

72

00:03:13,430 --> 00:03:10,959

wasn't much news in the shows but i was

73

00:03:16,149 --> 00:03:13,440

incredibly engaged throughout

74

00:03:18,309 --> 00:03:16,159

i can only imagine what someone new to

75

00:03:20,149 --> 00:03:18,319

the topic must be thinking after

76

00:03:23,509 --> 00:03:20,159

seeing something like that discovery

77

00:03:25,910 --> 00:03:23,519

three-hour live special

78

00:03:27,030 --> 00:03:25,920

maybe some of those people have since

79

00:03:30,710 --> 00:03:27,040

explored the topic and

80

00:03:33,030 --> 00:03:30,720

found their way here i hope so

81

00:03:33,750 --> 00:03:33,040

i'd encourage those and anyone else for

82

00:03:35,750 --> 00:03:33,760

that matter to

83

00:03:37,190 --> 00:03:35,760

find my channel rather be squidding

84

00:03:39,990 --> 00:03:37,200

after the show

85

00:03:40,390 --> 00:03:40,000

there you'll find a multitude of videos

86

00:03:42,710 --> 00:03:40,400

each

87

00:03:46,390 --> 00:03:42,720

showing you the opening of a new rabbit

88

00:03:49,509 --> 00:03:46,400

hole related to these topics

89

00:03:50,630 --> 00:03:49,519

but now we have something tmz and the

90

00:03:52,949 --> 00:03:50,640

discovery channel

91

00:03:53,990 --> 00:03:52,959

won't bring you you know there's time

92

00:03:56,390 --> 00:03:54,000

for cheerleading

93

00:03:57,910 --> 00:03:56,400

and there's time for serious productive

94

00:04:00,710 --> 00:03:57,920

discussion

95

00:04:03,030 --> 00:04:00,720

you're getting the latter today and on

96

00:04:05,429 --> 00:04:03,040

that note of serious and productive

97

00:04:07,910 --> 00:04:05,439

it's time to kick things off your hosts

98

00:04:10,149 --> 00:04:07,920

have one of those qualities in spades

99

00:04:12,149 --> 00:04:10,159

the men who need no introduction but

100

00:04:16,150 --> 00:04:12,159

i'll do it anyway

101

00:04:19,349 --> 00:04:16,160

luis jimenez and michael madaluni

102

00:04:20,310 --> 00:04:19,359

oh hello oh my god look at this i forgot

103

00:04:22,540 --> 00:04:20,320

my time man

104

00:04:26,550 --> 00:04:22,550

oh no oh what is happening

105

00:04:31,270 --> 00:04:26,560

[Laughter]

106

00:04:34,150 --> 00:04:32,629

i should have stalled a couple more

107

00:04:35,430 --> 00:04:34,160

minutes you know what i didn't even

108

00:04:37,909 --> 00:04:35,440

notice it's not your fault

109

00:04:39,510 --> 00:04:37,919

you did perfect rather you did perfect

110

00:04:42,070 --> 00:04:39,520

this is all about me

111

00:04:42,629 --> 00:04:42,080

but listen we're gonna bring you in in a

112

00:04:44,550 --> 00:04:42,639

few minutes

113

00:04:45,909 --> 00:04:44,560

i think i think should we have rather

114

00:04:48,790 --> 00:04:45,919

here to help us

115

00:04:50,550 --> 00:04:48,800

moderate this debate i i don't think

116

00:04:51,270 --> 00:04:50,560

that's a bad idea i mean i always

117

00:04:54,390 --> 00:04:51,280

welcome

118

00:04:58,230 --> 00:04:54,400

here we go

119

00:05:00,070 --> 00:04:58,240

ty's on now we're official all right

120

00:05:01,990 --> 00:05:00,080

okay rather we'll bring you back in a

121

00:05:02,710 --> 00:05:02,000

couple minutes yeah i got my popcorn

122

00:05:04,150 --> 00:05:02,720

wedding

123

00:05:05,510 --> 00:05:04,160

looking forward to the first hour this

124

00:05:07,270 --> 00:05:05,520

is going to be a fun one this is

125

00:05:09,350 --> 00:05:07,280

definitely gonna be a fun one all right

126

00:05:11,189 --> 00:05:09,360

hello good morning good evening good day

127

00:05:13,990 --> 00:05:11,199

good afternoon and aloha

128

00:05:15,670 --> 00:05:14,000

welcome back to another episode of the

129

00:05:17,510 --> 00:05:15,680

unidentified celebrity review

130

00:05:19,909 --> 00:05:17,520

your home for credible news reviews and

131

00:05:21,990 --> 00:05:19,919

commentary on everything uap and ufo

132

00:05:23,510 --> 00:05:22,000

my name is luis jimenez that is my

133

00:05:25,110 --> 00:05:23,520

co-host michael mataloni

134

00:05:26,710 --> 00:05:25,120

we're so happy you come aboard to get

135

00:05:27,830 --> 00:05:26,720

weird with us today before we get

136

00:05:30,310 --> 00:05:27,840

started of course i want to say thank

137

00:05:32,150 --> 00:05:30,320

you to the sponsor bloomerchronicles.com

138

00:05:33,909 --> 00:05:32,160

purveyors of the world's finest fishing

139

00:05:35,430 --> 00:05:33,919

tackle since 1995

140

00:05:37,350 --> 00:05:35,440

and of course want to say thank you to

141

00:05:38,469 --> 00:05:37,360

dos tapes they provide all of the sick

142

00:05:39,990 --> 00:05:38,479

jams that you hear on the show their

143

00:05:41,110 --> 00:05:40,000

bandcamp link is in the description

144

00:05:43,110 --> 00:05:41,120

below

145

00:05:44,230 --> 00:05:43,120

also want to tell you guys please check

146

00:05:45,909 --> 00:05:44,240

out the patreon

147

00:05:47,670 --> 00:05:45,919

check out the super chats every dollar

148

00:05:48,550 --> 00:05:47,680

you spend here goes toward making this

149

00:05:51,270 --> 00:05:48,560

show better

150

00:05:52,230 --> 00:05:51,280

so please help us help us make this a

151
00:05:53,909 --> 00:05:52,240
full-time thing

152
00:05:55,350 --> 00:05:53,919
uh we provide shows five days a week

153
00:05:56,870 --> 00:05:55,360
between the singularity lab and the

154
00:06:00,230 --> 00:05:56,880
unidentified celebrity review

155
00:06:01,749 --> 00:06:00,240
we've got some big big announcements

156
00:06:04,390 --> 00:06:01,759
coming

157
00:06:05,350 --> 00:06:04,400
primarily the big phone home too we're

158
00:06:07,590 --> 00:06:05,360
doing it

159
00:06:08,629 --> 00:06:07,600
it's coming within the next 90 days so

160
00:06:10,790 --> 00:06:08,639
get ready

161
00:06:11,830 --> 00:06:10,800
details will be coming in the next two

162
00:06:14,309 --> 00:06:11,840
weeks

163
00:06:15,029 --> 00:06:14,319

um and along with that we've also got

164

00:06:17,029 --> 00:06:15,039

merch

165

00:06:18,309 --> 00:06:17,039

as well you guys and we finally put the

166

00:06:20,390 --> 00:06:18,319

final stamp

167

00:06:22,070 --> 00:06:20,400

on all the merch it's ready to go i

168

00:06:25,029 --> 00:06:22,080

cannot wait for you guys to see it

169

00:06:26,390 --> 00:06:25,039

it's hilarious it's um i think it's

170

00:06:29,110 --> 00:06:26,400

awesome stuff so

171

00:06:31,670 --> 00:06:29,120

um all right michael we've got a big day

172

00:06:34,150 --> 00:06:31,680

today we've got a lot of stuff going on

173

00:06:35,510 --> 00:06:34,160

so let's go ahead and uh and bring on

174

00:06:38,550 --> 00:06:35,520

some friends yeah

175

00:06:39,830 --> 00:06:38,560

yeah man i'm super stoked today uh for

176

00:06:41,909 --> 00:06:39,840

those of you just joining us we've got

177

00:06:43,590 --> 00:06:41,919

an amazing show for you we're gonna have

178

00:06:46,469 --> 00:06:43,600

a productive

179

00:06:47,830 --> 00:06:46,479

uh debate today and i think what's the

180

00:06:48,390 --> 00:06:47,840

most important thing to recognize is

181

00:06:50,790 --> 00:06:48,400

that we've got

182

00:06:52,710 --> 00:06:50,800

uh two incredibly passionate and uh

183

00:06:55,029 --> 00:06:52,720

intelligent folks and we are going to

184

00:06:56,309 --> 00:06:55,039

do everything in our power to ensure we

185

00:06:57,830 --> 00:06:56,319

get uh

186

00:07:00,150 --> 00:06:57,840

everything you want which is more data

187

00:07:02,629 --> 00:07:00,160

and uh and good extrapolation so

188

00:07:03,670 --> 00:07:02,639

without further ado we've got uh since

189

00:07:06,070 --> 00:07:03,680

2014 is

190

00:07:08,309 --> 00:07:06,080

this gentleman has conducted over 1200

191

00:07:09,830 --> 00:07:08,319

voice of vr podcast interviews featuring

192

00:07:10,710 --> 00:07:09,840

the pioneering artist storytellers and

193

00:07:13,189 --> 00:07:10,720

technologists

194

00:07:15,189 --> 00:07:13,199

driving the resurgence of virtual and

195

00:07:16,469 --> 00:07:15,199

augmented reality he's a philosopher an

196

00:07:17,430 --> 00:07:16,479

oral historian an experiential

197

00:07:19,430 --> 00:07:17,440

journalist

198

00:07:21,270 --> 00:07:19,440

ladies and gentlemen without further ado

199

00:07:23,270 --> 00:07:21,280

kent bye

200

00:07:24,550 --> 00:07:23,280

hey thanks for inviting me on and and

201

00:07:29,189 --> 00:07:24,560

thanks to mick for

202

00:07:32,550 --> 00:07:30,790

yeah i think i think mick was a little

203

00:07:33,909 --> 00:07:32,560

worried at first but i was like nah dude

204

00:07:35,350 --> 00:07:33,919

don't worry this is gonna be great and

205

00:07:36,230 --> 00:07:35,360

he i think he trusts me enough to be

206

00:07:38,790 --> 00:07:36,240

like all right lou

207

00:07:40,790 --> 00:07:38,800

i got you you know but i i've never seen

208

00:07:42,070 --> 00:07:40,800

him not that i've ever seen mick west

209

00:07:44,469 --> 00:07:42,080

nervous but this is the first time where

210

00:07:46,070 --> 00:07:44,479

he's like i don't know

211

00:07:50,550 --> 00:07:46,080

all right so let's let's see let's see

212

00:07:54,469 --> 00:07:52,469

uh and for those of you just joining

213

00:07:55,749 --> 00:07:54,479

we've got the british american science

214

00:07:57,510 --> 00:07:55,759

writer skeptical investigator and

215

00:07:59,510 --> 00:07:57,520

retired video game programmer he is

216

00:08:01,270 --> 00:07:59,520

a creator of the website contrail

217

00:08:02,070 --> 00:08:01,280

science and medibunk and he investigates

218

00:08:03,830 --> 00:08:02,080

and debunks

219

00:08:06,390 --> 00:08:03,840

pseudoscientific claims and conspiracy

220

00:08:07,670 --> 00:08:06,400

theories related to chemtrails and ufos

221

00:08:08,070 --> 00:08:07,680

his first book is escaping the right

222

00:08:12,150 --> 00:08:08,080

hole

223

00:08:13,589 --> 00:08:12,160

welcome ladies and gentlemen mick west

224

00:08:15,510 --> 00:08:13,599

thank you very much glad to be here

225

00:08:16,790 --> 00:08:15,520

again yeah man you're starting to become

226

00:08:18,230 --> 00:08:16,800

a staple in the show

227

00:08:20,790 --> 00:08:18,240

yes people are going to start getting

228

00:08:23,270 --> 00:08:20,800

suspicious

229

00:08:24,230 --> 00:08:23,280

they already are mixed look man here's

230

00:08:26,550 --> 00:08:24,240

what i want mick

231

00:08:27,589 --> 00:08:26,560

honestly one day i want this to be the

232

00:08:29,909 --> 00:08:27,599

show you'd be like

233

00:08:31,350 --> 00:08:29,919

you know what guys i think you're onto

234

00:08:32,070 --> 00:08:31,360

something here i think i might have been

235

00:08:33,750 --> 00:08:32,080

wrong

236

00:08:36,550 --> 00:08:33,760

we'll see if that ever happens that's

237

00:08:39,509 --> 00:08:36,560

the goal but but we shall see right

238

00:08:39,990 --> 00:08:39,519

okay so um so gentlemen both of you i

239

00:08:41,990 --> 00:08:40,000

respect

240

00:08:44,149 --> 00:08:42,000

heavily both of you are insanely smart

241

00:08:47,430 --> 00:08:44,159

uh people um i know that

242

00:08:50,710 --> 00:08:47,440

kent you have an insane twitter

243

00:08:51,990 --> 00:08:50,720

history uh timeline uh that you know you

244

00:08:53,509 --> 00:08:52,000

of course will be sharing with us but i

245

00:08:54,470 --> 00:08:53,519

guess where should we start i mean can't

246

00:08:57,750 --> 00:08:54,480

we'll start with you

247

00:08:59,190 --> 00:08:57,760

so yeah yeah i i have uh some context

248

00:09:01,110 --> 00:08:59,200

and i have a lot of slides so maybe i'll

249

00:09:02,710 --> 00:09:01,120

share my screen

250

00:09:04,790 --> 00:09:02,720

first i want to just thank nick for uh

251
00:09:11,350 --> 00:09:04,800
accepting this and thanks for being here

252
00:09:15,350 --> 00:09:13,350
did you bring any slides mick there i

253
00:09:18,230 --> 00:09:15,360
did not bring anything no

254
00:09:21,509 --> 00:09:18,240
[Music]

255
00:09:25,350 --> 00:09:23,750
the is the famous ipod kit oh there it

256
00:09:26,550 --> 00:09:25,360
is the bokeh man we got them all okay

257
00:09:27,910 --> 00:09:26,560
great that's excellent

258
00:09:30,150 --> 00:09:27,920
all right so ken you're pulling up your

259
00:09:32,550 --> 00:09:30,160
screen now let's go ahead and add it

260
00:09:33,829 --> 00:09:32,560
uh yep we can see it now uh go ahead and

261
00:09:35,430 --> 00:09:33,839
find uh

262
00:09:37,110 --> 00:09:35,440
is this where we want to start here yep

263
00:09:39,509 --> 00:09:37,120

yep okay yep

264

00:09:41,829 --> 00:09:39,519

the can't buy versus nick west debate on

265

00:09:44,070 --> 00:09:41,839

uap evidence and skepticism

266

00:09:45,030 --> 00:09:44,080

um and so just a little bit of

267

00:09:46,310 --> 00:09:45,040

background this i'm

268

00:09:47,430 --> 00:09:46,320

sort of introducing myself to mick as

269

00:09:48,829 --> 00:09:47,440

well because i don't know if he really

270

00:09:50,550 --> 00:09:48,839

knows why i'm either

271

00:09:51,750 --> 00:09:50,560

um so i have an engineering background

272

00:09:54,630 --> 00:09:51,760

i'm an oral historian

273

00:09:56,070 --> 00:09:54,640

i do an emerging attack podcast and i'm

274

00:09:57,350 --> 00:09:56,080

kind of an aspiring philosopher i'm not

275

00:09:59,269 --> 00:09:57,360

trained as a philosopher

276

00:10:00,389 --> 00:09:59,279

uh but i have this 42-month uap twitter

277

00:10:03,030 --> 00:10:00,399

thread that's

278

00:10:04,550 --> 00:10:03,040

my biggest contribution to the uak field

279

00:10:05,190 --> 00:10:04,560

and then i have one interview i did

280

00:10:07,030 --> 00:10:05,200

after the

281

00:10:09,110 --> 00:10:07,040

uap study the the scientific coalition

282

00:10:09,670 --> 00:10:09,120

for uab studies uh that's been up for a

283

00:10:13,030 --> 00:10:09,680

couple weeks

284

00:10:15,190 --> 00:10:13,040

now and i'm at by and um i do actually

285

00:10:16,470 --> 00:10:15,200

uh i think i published yeah my slides

286

00:10:17,670 --> 00:10:16,480

are up on slideshare

287

00:10:20,470 --> 00:10:17,680

so if anybody wants to go to

288

00:10:22,630 --> 00:10:20,480

slideshare.com or slideshare.net

289

00:10:23,990 --> 00:10:22,640

can't buy you can follow along and get a

290

00:10:24,790 --> 00:10:24,000

little bit of sneak peek of where i'm

291

00:10:27,829 --> 00:10:24,800

going to go

292

00:10:29,670 --> 00:10:27,839

um and uh yeah feel free also so rather

293

00:10:31,190 --> 00:10:29,680

if you can just take if you can

294

00:10:32,710 --> 00:10:31,200

repeat that site one more time where the

295

00:10:34,949 --> 00:10:32,720

slides are we'll have rather

296

00:10:36,470 --> 00:10:34,959

pop in the lower right hand corner yeah

297

00:10:37,509 --> 00:10:36,480

slide share down okay there you go yeah

298

00:10:40,870 --> 00:10:37,519

slideshare.net

299

00:10:41,670 --> 00:10:40,880

slash pop that in the chat rather thank

300

00:10:43,750 --> 00:10:41,680

you

301

00:10:44,790 --> 00:10:43,760

all right uh so why we're both here is

302

00:10:46,949 --> 00:10:44,800

this famous

303

00:10:48,150 --> 00:10:46,959

xkcd comic because somebody is wrong on

304

00:10:50,790 --> 00:10:48,160

the internet um

305

00:10:52,069 --> 00:10:50,800

so i think nick is both motivated by

306

00:10:53,990 --> 00:10:52,079

people being wrong in the internet as

307

00:10:55,910 --> 00:10:54,000

well as i've been motivated by

308

00:10:57,269 --> 00:10:55,920

uh my perception of nickname wrong i

309

00:10:58,630 --> 00:10:57,279

think i think it's actually produced a

310

00:10:59,910 --> 00:10:58,640

lot of knowledge uh so

311

00:11:01,990 --> 00:10:59,920

i think it's kind of a good place to

312

00:11:03,430 --> 00:11:02,000

start um but just to uh

313

00:11:05,990 --> 00:11:03,440

you know i've had a lot of different

314

00:11:08,310 --> 00:11:06,000

sort of debates uh with mick west on

315

00:11:09,750 --> 00:11:08,320

twitter and i found them all uh somewhat

316

00:11:11,430 --> 00:11:09,760

unsatisfying just because

317

00:11:13,110 --> 00:11:11,440

there seems to be a certain point where

318

00:11:14,069 --> 00:11:13,120

either just stops engagement or it's

319

00:11:16,230 --> 00:11:14,079

just low bandwidth or

320

00:11:17,350 --> 00:11:16,240

i don't know it just i'm happy to be

321

00:11:18,949 --> 00:11:17,360

able to have this like real-time

322

00:11:20,389 --> 00:11:18,959

conversation today and and by the way

323

00:11:21,190 --> 00:11:20,399

nick if there's any moment that you want

324

00:11:22,630 --> 00:11:21,200

to jump in

325

00:11:24,550 --> 00:11:22,640

please do jump in i'm going to be sort

326

00:11:26,069 --> 00:11:24,560

of studying a bit

327

00:11:28,630 --> 00:11:26,079

let you lay out your initial thesis

328

00:11:32,310 --> 00:11:28,640

first and uh okay then i shall

329

00:11:33,590 --> 00:11:32,320

jump on okay so uh i i do oral history

330

00:11:35,509 --> 00:11:33,600

so i do a lot of podcasts i'm going to

331

00:11:36,790 --> 00:11:35,519

try to implement this socratic dialogue

332

00:11:38,470 --> 00:11:36,800

and dialectic

333

00:11:40,230 --> 00:11:38,480

and i'm also going to try my best to do

334

00:11:41,509 --> 00:11:40,240

a steel man of mixed arguments meaning

335

00:11:43,990 --> 00:11:41,519

i'm going to try to

336

00:11:45,430 --> 00:11:44,000

show the best representation and my

337

00:11:46,710 --> 00:11:45,440

understanding of mixed arguments and

338

00:11:48,389 --> 00:11:46,720

please at any moment like if i

339

00:11:51,509 --> 00:11:48,399

misunderstanding one of your arguments

340

00:11:52,470 --> 00:11:51,519

please do jump in uh the only caveat

341

00:11:53,670 --> 00:11:52,480

here is i'm going to

342

00:11:55,430 --> 00:11:53,680

start with your weakest argument and

343

00:11:55,910 --> 00:11:55,440

then we're going to dig in but that's

344

00:11:57,590 --> 00:11:55,920

just to

345

00:11:59,269 --> 00:11:57,600

show at the larger point that i'm making

346

00:12:00,949 --> 00:11:59,279

um but other than that we'll be i'll be

347

00:12:02,949 --> 00:12:00,959

you know trying to show your strongest

348

00:12:05,269 --> 00:12:02,959

arguments that i've seen so far

349

00:12:07,030 --> 00:12:05,279

okay so here's the bit of an agenda for

350

00:12:09,509 --> 00:12:07,040

today uh we have an hour so hopefully

351

00:12:11,430 --> 00:12:09,519

we'll be able to get to this

352

00:12:14,470 --> 00:12:11,440

um starting so is mick is mick going to

353

00:12:17,910 --> 00:12:16,790

this is a dialectic i'm going to be

354

00:12:20,310 --> 00:12:17,920

going through here

355

00:12:21,509 --> 00:12:20,320

critiquing a number of different mix uh

356

00:12:26,310 --> 00:12:21,519

uap hypothesis

357

00:12:27,829 --> 00:12:26,320

limits on uh his right ranking uh

358

00:12:29,590 --> 00:12:27,839

we might have to we might have to set a

359

00:12:31,590 --> 00:12:29,600

part two for this uh

360

00:12:33,110 --> 00:12:31,600

we'll see we'll see we'll see we're

361

00:12:34,230 --> 00:12:33,120

eight minutes in i think we're we're on

362

00:12:38,550 --> 00:12:34,240

schedule here so

363

00:12:40,870 --> 00:12:38,560

okay oh shut up are you happy please

364

00:12:42,710 --> 00:12:40,880

all right um so okay so then purple

365

00:12:43,670 --> 00:12:42,720

drops go fast and then we'll do a little

366

00:12:45,030 --> 00:12:43,680

bit of the odni

367

00:12:46,230 --> 00:12:45,040

and then i have an appendix for people

368

00:12:47,430 --> 00:12:46,240

to kind of dig in some other stuff we

369

00:12:48,949 --> 00:12:47,440

won't be able to really get into that

370

00:12:51,110 --> 00:12:48,959

but this is just for reference the the

371

00:12:53,269 --> 00:12:51,120

provocation which is basically a

372

00:12:55,269 --> 00:12:53,279

a shot across the bow saying that like

373

00:12:56,230 --> 00:12:55,279

what i think nick is doing is not really

374

00:12:58,150 --> 00:12:56,240

debunking that

375

00:13:00,389 --> 00:12:58,160

my contention is that he's attempting to

376

00:13:01,910 --> 00:13:00,399

debunk the uap videos but he's actually

377

00:13:03,269 --> 00:13:01,920

potentially introducing more false

378

00:13:04,150 --> 00:13:03,279

sentiment and false claims into the

379

00:13:06,310 --> 00:13:04,160

discourse

380

00:13:07,509 --> 00:13:06,320

uh so this it was the dm that i sent

381

00:13:09,269 --> 00:13:07,519

back that lou

382

00:13:11,190 --> 00:13:09,279

sent to mick and and two mixed credit

383

00:13:14,550 --> 00:13:11,200

he's like yep sure let's do this

384

00:13:15,910 --> 00:13:14,560

so so um so i want to just set a little

385

00:13:17,030 --> 00:13:15,920

bit of context from my understanding of

386

00:13:19,509 --> 00:13:17,040

mick you know his

387

00:13:20,069 --> 00:13:19,519

goal here on his website is to remove

388

00:13:22,389 --> 00:13:20,079

nonsense

389

00:13:24,389 --> 00:13:22,399

and false sentiment from and to expose

390

00:13:25,910 --> 00:13:24,399

false claims or pretensions this is on

391

00:13:26,790 --> 00:13:25,920

his personal history of debunking on

392

00:13:28,550 --> 00:13:26,800

meta monk

393

00:13:30,870 --> 00:13:28,560

so he's wanted trying to remove these

394

00:13:32,629 --> 00:13:30,880

false sentiments from this discourse

395

00:13:34,629 --> 00:13:32,639

and there's a conversation where he says

396

00:13:35,750 --> 00:13:34,639

i'm if i'm removing one thing that's a

397

00:13:37,430 --> 00:13:35,760

false piece of evidence

398

00:13:39,590 --> 00:13:37,440

then we all move closer to the truth no

399

00:13:43,030 --> 00:13:39,600

matter what your underlying feeling is

400

00:13:45,590 --> 00:13:43,040

okay uh so far so good nick sure yeah

401
00:13:47,990 --> 00:13:45,600
sounds good okay and then there's a bit

402
00:13:50,230 --> 00:13:48,000
of an evolution in nick's debunking

403
00:13:51,269 --> 00:13:50,240
morgellian's watch to metabunk into this

404
00:13:52,710 --> 00:13:51,279
book that he wrote

405
00:13:54,389 --> 00:13:52,720
uh so i think on the whole there's

406
00:13:56,230 --> 00:13:54,399
probably a lot of ways in which that

407
00:13:57,430 --> 00:13:56,240
mick has been doing a lot of debunking

408
00:13:59,829 --> 00:13:57,440
of a lot of these things that are out

409
00:14:01,430 --> 00:13:59,839
there 911 conspiracy theories contrails

410
00:14:03,030 --> 00:14:01,440
you know lots of stuff that probably

411
00:14:04,949 --> 00:14:03,040
deserves debunking um

412
00:14:06,629 --> 00:14:04,959
now the upp issue i think this is where

413
00:14:08,389 --> 00:14:06,639

it starts to get into like okay how much

414

00:14:10,310 --> 00:14:08,399

debunking is happening here

415

00:14:11,590 --> 00:14:10,320

uh so i wanted to start with this

416

00:14:13,189 --> 00:14:11,600

because i think it's actually an

417

00:14:15,269 --> 00:14:13,199

an interesting turning point in the

418

00:14:17,430 --> 00:14:15,279

history as i was kind of looking into

419

00:14:19,910 --> 00:14:17,440

this evolution from nick this is going

420

00:14:23,110 --> 00:14:19,920

back to the beginning of january 2017

421

00:14:23,670 --> 00:14:23,120

uh leslie keane uh publishes this sort

422

00:14:25,509 --> 00:14:23,680

of um

423

00:14:27,670 --> 00:14:25,519

hyperbolic article saying groundbreaking

424

00:14:30,230 --> 00:14:27,680

ufo video just released by chilean navy

425

00:14:31,670 --> 00:14:30,240

well within a day uh on metabunk

426

00:14:33,110 --> 00:14:31,680

basically makes like nope this is

427

00:14:35,990 --> 00:14:33,120

explained and basically kind of

428

00:14:37,110 --> 00:14:36,000

figures it out and it turns out that uh

429

00:14:39,509 --> 00:14:37,120

whoever the different

430

00:14:41,030 --> 00:14:39,519

ufo people were doing it they they try

431

00:14:42,870 --> 00:14:41,040

to sort of debunk the debunking but then

432

00:14:44,230 --> 00:14:42,880

there wasn't any resources and

433

00:14:46,230 --> 00:14:44,240

as of it stands right now as far as i

434

00:14:48,710 --> 00:14:46,240

can tell mick's sort of debunking

435

00:14:50,310 --> 00:14:48,720

kind of stands right so he put out a

436

00:14:51,910 --> 00:14:50,320

video on january 17th

437

00:14:53,590 --> 00:14:51,920

kind of explaining that right so this is

438

00:14:56,470 --> 00:14:53,600

the beginning of 2017.

439

00:14:58,230 --> 00:14:56,480

then sleeping real quickly i wouldn't

440

00:14:59,590 --> 00:14:58,240

characterize it as saying it just simply

441

00:15:01,110 --> 00:14:59,600

stands because they couldn't find a

442

00:15:02,230 --> 00:15:01,120

response to it i'd say it's a hundred

443

00:15:05,430 --> 00:15:02,240

percent

444

00:15:06,150 --> 00:15:05,440

oh okay i don't think anybody can really

445

00:15:08,069 --> 00:15:06,160

seriously

446

00:15:10,150 --> 00:15:08,079

argue that this is not the correct

447

00:15:11,430 --> 00:15:10,160

answer to this particular case

448

00:15:12,629 --> 00:15:11,440

well i'm glad you said that because i

449

00:15:13,829 --> 00:15:12,639

don't i don't know the details of this

450

00:15:15,430 --> 00:15:13,839

but i think you're you're sort of

451
00:15:16,870 --> 00:15:15,440
elucidating on a deeper point that i'm

452
00:15:19,269 --> 00:15:16,880
gonna be diving into later

453
00:15:20,150 --> 00:15:19,279
uh but we'll sort of bookmark that um

454
00:15:22,870 --> 00:15:20,160
but thanks for that

455
00:15:24,069 --> 00:15:22,880
uh that sort of definitive debunking uh

456
00:15:26,389 --> 00:15:24,079
according to meg

457
00:15:28,389 --> 00:15:26,399
um okay so then at the end of uh

458
00:15:30,069 --> 00:15:28,399
december 16 2017

459
00:15:31,749 --> 00:15:30,079
uh lizzie keem comes back with uh

460
00:15:34,230 --> 00:15:31,759
hillary cooper and ralph blumenthal

461
00:15:35,670 --> 00:15:34,240
and there's a yet another video that uh

462
00:15:36,790 --> 00:15:35,680
has this sort of rotating glare it's

463
00:15:39,829 --> 00:15:36,800

rotating here

464

00:15:40,790 --> 00:15:39,839

um and then nick uh publishes like a few

465

00:15:43,350 --> 00:15:40,800

days later

466

00:15:45,749 --> 00:15:43,360

uh this first video uh ufo rotating or

467

00:15:47,829 --> 00:15:45,759

infrared glare and his thesis is that

468

00:15:49,269 --> 00:15:47,839

it might be a fighter jet uh that shifts

469

00:15:50,389 --> 00:15:49,279

it or a friendly fighter jet and it

470

00:15:51,990 --> 00:15:50,399

doesn't necessarily

471

00:15:53,749 --> 00:15:52,000

mean that it's rotating it's probably

472

00:15:54,389 --> 00:15:53,759

the sort of gimbal mechanism and as it's

473

00:15:56,389 --> 00:15:54,399

rotating

474

00:15:57,670 --> 00:15:56,399

it's sort of an illusion that this thing

475

00:15:58,150 --> 00:15:57,680

is actually rotating it's actually just

476

00:16:00,629 --> 00:15:58,160

sort of

477

00:16:01,990 --> 00:16:00,639

a mundane jet and a flare and there's

478

00:16:03,189 --> 00:16:02,000

nothing all that

479

00:16:05,670 --> 00:16:03,199

special about what's happening in the

480

00:16:07,189 --> 00:16:05,680

video um so hopefully i was able to kind

481

00:16:09,030 --> 00:16:07,199

of uh recap that

482

00:16:10,470 --> 00:16:09,040

uh and that sort of brings us up to sort

483

00:16:11,670 --> 00:16:10,480

of diving in so nick i don't know if you

484

00:16:13,670 --> 00:16:11,680

have anything you wanted to sort of

485

00:16:15,590 --> 00:16:13,680

repeat i know that's that's my kind of

486

00:16:17,189 --> 00:16:15,600

leading hypothesis for what we're seeing

487

00:16:18,790 --> 00:16:17,199

i'm pretty sure that we're just seeing a

488

00:16:20,790 --> 00:16:18,800

rotating glare i have that

489

00:16:22,790 --> 00:16:20,800

same camera there on my desk and i use

490

00:16:25,350 --> 00:16:22,800

it for visual aids all the time

491

00:16:26,870 --> 00:16:25,360

uh and you know i wouldn't say i've

492

00:16:28,069 --> 00:16:26,880

solved that problem in the same way that

493

00:16:30,389 --> 00:16:28,079

i've solved the

494

00:16:31,910 --> 00:16:30,399

uh chilean navy thing the chilean navy

495

00:16:34,069 --> 00:16:31,920

thing i i

496

00:16:35,110 --> 00:16:34,079

pretty much bet my life on that 100 i

497

00:16:36,550 --> 00:16:35,120

mean it's

498

00:16:38,470 --> 00:16:36,560

exactly in the right spot at the right

499

00:16:40,230 --> 00:16:38,480

time the radar matches everything

500

00:16:41,829 --> 00:16:40,240

matches the size of the configuration of

501
00:16:44,150 --> 00:16:41,839
the engine matches the

502
00:16:45,590 --> 00:16:44,160
contrails match the glare thing you know

503
00:16:46,550 --> 00:16:45,600
i basically i wouldn't say i've

504
00:16:49,749 --> 00:16:46,560
explained it

505
00:16:52,629 --> 00:16:49,759
i would say i have debunked some claims

506
00:16:54,790 --> 00:16:52,639
that have been made about the video

507
00:16:55,910 --> 00:16:54,800
perfect okay so we're kind of up to

508
00:16:58,389 --> 00:16:55,920
speed with

509
00:16:59,030 --> 00:16:58,399
your successes uh within the debunking

510
00:17:00,550 --> 00:16:59,040
area

511
00:17:02,310 --> 00:17:00,560
and now we're scr i'm gonna get into

512
00:17:02,790 --> 00:17:02,320
where i have some uh contentions with

513
00:17:05,990 --> 00:17:02,800

some of

514

00:17:06,710 --> 00:17:06,000

your work um okay so uh personal history

515

00:17:08,390 --> 00:17:06,720

of debunking

516

00:17:10,069 --> 00:17:08,400

like i said at the top i was gonna start

517

00:17:11,829 --> 00:17:10,079

and do mostly still man i'm gonna make

518

00:17:14,150 --> 00:17:11,839

an exception here and actually start

519

00:17:14,870 --> 00:17:14,160

on what i think is mick's worst argument

520

00:17:17,029 --> 00:17:14,880

uh and then

521

00:17:18,069 --> 00:17:17,039

kind of go from there um so i think

522

00:17:20,230 --> 00:17:18,079

nick's worst argument

523

00:17:21,669 --> 00:17:20,240

is on the nimitz tic tac it's pretty

524

00:17:23,429 --> 00:17:21,679

like just arbitrary

525

00:17:25,669 --> 00:17:23,439

it almost seems like he's kind of making

526

00:17:26,949 --> 00:17:25,679

stuff up uh well his basic argument as

527

00:17:28,309 --> 00:17:26,959

best as i can reconstruct i don't know

528

00:17:29,430 --> 00:17:28,319

if there's actually a canonical video

529

00:17:30,950 --> 00:17:29,440

but i sort of

530

00:17:32,310 --> 00:17:30,960

pieced together what i got from kind of

531

00:17:33,029 --> 00:17:32,320

hearing from here and there but

532

00:17:34,710 --> 00:17:33,039

essentially

533

00:17:35,909 --> 00:17:34,720

nick is saying well waiver's size

534

00:17:37,510 --> 00:17:35,919

estimation could have been wrong because

535

00:17:38,070 --> 00:17:37,520

the tick tock was a novel featureless

536

00:17:39,110 --> 00:17:38,080

object

537

00:17:40,789 --> 00:17:39,120

it there could have been this big

538

00:17:42,789 --> 00:17:40,799

perceptual illusion of it being big and

539

00:17:43,350 --> 00:17:42,799

far away when it was actually small and

540

00:17:44,549 --> 00:17:43,360

close

541

00:17:46,070 --> 00:17:44,559

rather than 40 feet long it could have

542

00:17:47,430 --> 00:17:46,080

been like 20 feet long and even though

543

00:17:49,029 --> 00:17:47,440

he is great pilot that doesn't mean he

544

00:17:50,950 --> 00:17:49,039

can never ever ever make a mistake

545

00:17:52,630 --> 00:17:50,960

and that nick would bet that it's not a

546

00:17:54,230 --> 00:17:52,640

crap that accelerated into hyper speed

547

00:17:54,870 --> 00:17:54,240

and it's probably a fancy drone target

548

00:17:56,950 --> 00:17:54,880

balloon

549

00:17:58,070 --> 00:17:56,960

or a perceptual illusion also he admits

550

00:17:58,710 --> 00:17:58,080

that he kind of doesn't know what

551
00:18:00,390 --> 00:17:58,720
happens

552
00:18:01,669 --> 00:18:00,400
um nick i don't know if i missed

553
00:18:02,150 --> 00:18:01,679
anything there if there's anything you

554
00:18:05,110 --> 00:18:02,160
want to change

555
00:18:06,870 --> 00:18:05,120
yeah yeah that essentially is my broad

556
00:18:09,669 --> 00:18:06,880
assessment of

557
00:18:10,630 --> 00:18:09,679
fravor and dietrich's encounter with the

558
00:18:12,870 --> 00:18:10,640
tic tac object

559
00:18:14,549 --> 00:18:12,880
it doesn't really cover the radar data

560
00:18:17,029 --> 00:18:14,559
and it doesn't cover the video so

561
00:18:18,950 --> 00:18:17,039
that's my uh assessment of that

562
00:18:20,789 --> 00:18:18,960
particular encounter mostly fraver's

563
00:18:23,830 --> 00:18:20,799

encounter as well because

564

00:18:26,549 --> 00:18:23,840

uh what dietrich saw she only saw a

565

00:18:28,870 --> 00:18:26,559

10-second snippet of what fravor saw in

566

00:18:30,470 --> 00:18:28,880

fragrance saw like a five minute thing

567

00:18:31,990 --> 00:18:30,480

so when i'm describing this whole

568

00:18:33,430 --> 00:18:32,000

mirroring illusion thing i'm really

569

00:18:34,549 --> 00:18:33,440

talking about what fragrance saw and not

570

00:18:36,710 --> 00:18:34,559

what she saw

571

00:18:38,710 --> 00:18:36,720

okay perfect okay so i'm going to go on

572

00:18:40,230 --> 00:18:38,720

into some of my other complaints i guess

573

00:18:42,390 --> 00:18:40,240

so there's some different discussions

574

00:18:44,310 --> 00:18:42,400

was that was that a complaint no well

575

00:18:45,750 --> 00:18:44,320

um what i i don't think this is a good

576

00:18:47,029 --> 00:18:45,760

explanation for the nimitz

577

00:18:49,110 --> 00:18:47,039

so i don't think that you know not me

578

00:18:50,150 --> 00:18:49,120

neither so

579

00:18:51,750 --> 00:18:50,160

i don't think that this is a good

580

00:18:52,870 --> 00:18:51,760

debunking and i would argue that what

581

00:18:53,669 --> 00:18:52,880

you're doing is actually adding more

582

00:18:55,669 --> 00:18:53,679

noise

583

00:18:57,909 --> 00:18:55,679

and he's adding another hypothesis that

584

00:18:59,909 --> 00:18:57,919

i thought was plausible so i think like

585

00:19:01,590 --> 00:18:59,919

saying adding more noise is a bit i feel

586

00:19:03,750 --> 00:19:01,600

like if you add noise saying well maybe

587

00:19:05,029 --> 00:19:03,760

it's aliens isn't that adding noise

588

00:19:06,789 --> 00:19:05,039

well i'm not saying it's aliens i'm

589

00:19:08,230 --> 00:19:06,799

saying we don't know i'm not saying you

590

00:19:09,830 --> 00:19:08,240

are but other people do so are you going

591

00:19:11,350 --> 00:19:09,840

to critique them for like bringing

592

00:19:13,430 --> 00:19:11,360

aliens into the picture

593

00:19:15,270 --> 00:19:13,440

when we don't know we don't have enough

594

00:19:16,470 --> 00:19:15,280

data i mean

595

00:19:18,789 --> 00:19:16,480

you've got a problem with this

596

00:19:19,590 --> 00:19:18,799

explanation i'll get into oh let me just

597

00:19:20,789 --> 00:19:19,600

sort of uh

598

00:19:23,669 --> 00:19:20,799

go forward a little bit i'll get to the

599

00:19:26,070 --> 00:19:23,679

alien stuff later um some pledges

600

00:19:27,909 --> 00:19:26,080

just to clear though like you're just

601
00:19:28,710 --> 00:19:27,919
saying you think this isn't a very good

602
00:19:31,110 --> 00:19:28,720
explanation

603
00:19:32,710 --> 00:19:31,120
correct i think that's introducing those

604
00:19:34,310 --> 00:19:32,720
i trust

605
00:19:36,150 --> 00:19:34,320
and dietrich more than you on this one

606
00:19:37,350 --> 00:19:36,160
okay well i agree it's not a very good

607
00:19:39,190 --> 00:19:37,360
explanation

608
00:19:40,789 --> 00:19:39,200
uh but i don't think i wouldn't consider

609
00:19:42,549 --> 00:19:40,799
it to be introducing noise i consider it

610
00:19:43,909 --> 00:19:42,559
to be introducing a hypothesis that

611
00:19:46,630 --> 00:19:43,919
should be considered

612
00:19:47,430 --> 00:19:46,640
okay fair enough um all right so that's

613
00:19:48,710 --> 00:19:47,440

pretty fair and

614

00:19:50,630 --> 00:19:48,720

i might just say if you could go one

615

00:19:52,230 --> 00:19:50,640

slide back i do appreciate that you're

616

00:19:56,390 --> 00:19:52,240

using my show

617

00:20:00,230 --> 00:19:58,310

good good yeah i watched a number of

618

00:20:03,350 --> 00:20:00,240

videos

619

00:20:04,630 --> 00:20:03,360

there we go um well let's let's uh let's

620

00:20:07,830 --> 00:20:04,640

keep moving on we've got lots

621

00:20:08,230 --> 00:20:07,840

against here um so um i'm sure okay so

622

00:20:09,830 --> 00:20:08,240

here's

623

00:20:11,110 --> 00:20:09,840

your basic thesis here i'm sure people

624

00:20:12,470 --> 00:20:11,120

are honestly describing what they saw

625

00:20:13,909 --> 00:20:12,480

but history has taught us that

626

00:20:15,270 --> 00:20:13,919

i know what i've seen is a belief that

627

00:20:15,590 --> 00:20:15,280

can be independent of the accuracy of

628

00:20:18,950 --> 00:20:15,600

the

629

00:20:19,590 --> 00:20:18,960

perfect recorder but it can feel like

630

00:20:21,350 --> 00:20:19,600

one so

631

00:20:23,190 --> 00:20:21,360

you're basically saying that more or

632

00:20:25,110 --> 00:20:23,200

less that you're not

633

00:20:26,870 --> 00:20:25,120

and i think this is true like we would

634

00:20:28,470 --> 00:20:26,880

rather have empirical evidence rather

635

00:20:31,190 --> 00:20:28,480

than someone's eyewitness testimony

636

00:20:32,149 --> 00:20:31,200

so this nimitz case is largely kind of

637

00:20:33,909 --> 00:20:32,159

eyewitness testimony

638

00:20:36,070 --> 00:20:33,919

so i think that's what you're sort of

639

00:20:37,669 --> 00:20:36,080

elucidating there now he says well no

640

00:20:39,590 --> 00:20:37,679

i was saying what i was saying in that

641

00:20:40,230 --> 00:20:39,600

tweet that the human memory is not

642

00:20:42,470 --> 00:20:40,240

perfect

643

00:20:43,990 --> 00:20:42,480

i mean obviously you can conclude from

644

00:20:45,350 --> 00:20:44,000

that something else but that wasn't what

645

00:20:47,190 --> 00:20:45,360

i was trying to say

646

00:20:49,350 --> 00:20:47,200

okay it's just essentially just that

647

00:20:51,110 --> 00:20:49,360

that if someone tells you something that

648

00:20:52,789 --> 00:20:51,120

they remember it might not be a high

649

00:20:53,750 --> 00:20:52,799

fidelity reproduction of what actually

650

00:20:56,789 --> 00:20:53,760

happened

651
00:20:58,070 --> 00:20:56,799
okay right and i you know as as dietrich

652
00:21:00,310 --> 00:20:58,080
has talked about memory and i've

653
00:21:01,909 --> 00:21:00,320
my work in virtual reality you know as

654
00:21:02,630 --> 00:21:01,919
we remember stuff we reconstruct it and

655
00:21:04,630 --> 00:21:02,640
it's not

656
00:21:06,070 --> 00:21:04,640
perfect it is flawed so i don't know if

657
00:21:07,990 --> 00:21:06,080
there are differences within the various

658
00:21:09,669 --> 00:21:08,000
accounts of the nimitz encounter so

659
00:21:11,510 --> 00:21:09,679
not everyone is remembering it the same

660
00:21:13,110 --> 00:21:11,520
like you know kevin day says that there

661
00:21:13,990 --> 00:21:13,120
was a barrel role involved then there

662
00:21:16,230 --> 00:21:14,000
wasn't

663
00:21:17,669 --> 00:21:16,240

right right okay well uh let's let's

664

00:21:18,789 --> 00:21:17,679

keep moving on here because i don't have

665

00:21:20,710 --> 00:21:18,799

any disagreement with that

666

00:21:23,110 --> 00:21:20,720

um let's get to the juicy stuff so the

667

00:21:23,990 --> 00:21:23,120

issue uh so nick says the issue for me

668

00:21:25,830 --> 00:21:24,000

is that there

669

00:21:27,750 --> 00:21:25,840

is there actually craft that have had

670

00:21:29,029 --> 00:21:27,760

the five observable so he's kind of been

671

00:21:30,870 --> 00:21:29,039

sort of skeptical about this whole

672

00:21:32,230 --> 00:21:30,880

concept of five observables because we

673

00:21:32,870 --> 00:21:32,240

haven't seen any really good evidence or

674

00:21:34,310 --> 00:21:32,880

data

675

00:21:35,510 --> 00:21:34,320

um or are we just seeing things that

676
00:21:36,870 --> 00:21:35,520
look like they have the fibers of

677
00:21:37,830 --> 00:21:36,880
observable some people say we have so

678
00:21:39,909 --> 00:21:37,840
much evidence that it

679
00:21:40,789 --> 00:21:39,919
might be real and he sort of disagrees

680
00:21:42,070 --> 00:21:40,799
that if you're looking at things

681
00:21:43,350 --> 00:21:42,080
individually that it could just be sort

682
00:21:45,110 --> 00:21:43,360
of radar glitches

683
00:21:46,950 --> 00:21:45,120
um and so that's sort of setting the

684
00:21:48,789 --> 00:21:46,960
larger context as to maybe

685
00:21:50,390 --> 00:21:48,799
having a little more skepticism on this

686
00:21:51,909 --> 00:21:50,400
whole concept of the five episode rules

687
00:21:52,390 --> 00:21:51,919
mostly because we haven't really had any

688
00:21:56,870 --> 00:21:52,400

sort of

689

00:21:58,230 --> 00:21:56,880

in any kind of incontrovertible way

690

00:22:00,390 --> 00:21:58,240

and i agree with that so the five

691

00:22:01,750 --> 00:22:00,400

observables just as a recap anti-gravity

692

00:22:04,070 --> 00:22:01,760

instantaneous acceleration

693

00:22:05,750 --> 00:22:04,080

hypersonic velocity low observability

694

00:22:07,830 --> 00:22:05,760

and trans-medium travel

695

00:22:09,029 --> 00:22:07,840

and this is from the history channels uh

696

00:22:11,430 --> 00:22:09,039

sort of graphics

697

00:22:12,390 --> 00:22:11,440

um and the whole show now this is

698

00:22:14,390 --> 00:22:12,400

there's an i-team

699

00:22:15,830 --> 00:22:14,400

exclusive that there's some report i

700

00:22:17,190 --> 00:22:15,840

suspect this might have come from bass

701

00:22:19,110 --> 00:22:17,200

it's not an official report

702

00:22:20,710 --> 00:22:19,120

it's not been officially vetted uh

703

00:22:21,590 --> 00:22:20,720

george knapp released it it seems

704

00:22:23,190 --> 00:22:21,600

plausible

705

00:22:24,310 --> 00:22:23,200

uh but there was this sort of assessment

706

00:22:25,350 --> 00:22:24,320

that they're doing here and they're kind

707

00:22:27,430 --> 00:22:25,360

of going through

708

00:22:28,630 --> 00:22:27,440

and what i see this report is doing is

709

00:22:29,909 --> 00:22:28,640

that it's basically saying well the

710

00:22:32,950 --> 00:22:29,919

nimitz actually does have

711

00:22:33,510 --> 00:22:32,960

all five of the of these uh observables

712

00:22:38,390 --> 00:22:33,520

it has

713

00:22:40,710 --> 00:22:38,400

acceleration

714

00:22:41,669 --> 00:22:40,720

it has hypersonic velocity and has trans

715

00:22:43,590 --> 00:22:41,679

medium travel

716

00:22:45,909 --> 00:22:43,600

right now i think the the provenance of

717

00:22:47,350 --> 00:22:45,919

this document is not great

718

00:22:49,110 --> 00:22:47,360

so we can sort of you know agree to

719

00:22:51,669 --> 00:22:49,120

disagree that this is just sort of like

720

00:22:52,149 --> 00:22:51,679

you know you know just a hypothesis

721

00:22:53,590 --> 00:22:52,159

right there

722

00:22:55,990 --> 00:22:53,600

sorry excuse me real quick like it says

723

00:22:58,710 --> 00:22:56,000

that rather possibly demonstrate it

724

00:23:00,390 --> 00:22:58,720

and i don't think you can really say

725

00:23:02,070 --> 00:23:00,400

demonstrated the five observables

726
00:23:03,669 --> 00:23:02,080
and one of them is possibly demonstrated

727
00:23:06,230 --> 00:23:03,679
going underwater there was

728
00:23:07,510 --> 00:23:06,240
what evidence was there of that well so

729
00:23:09,510 --> 00:23:07,520
yeah i don't know that i think this

730
00:23:11,669 --> 00:23:09,520
gets into the area where there may be

731
00:23:13,510 --> 00:23:11,679
this was the investigation that the

732
00:23:15,190 --> 00:23:13,520
that i i presume that bass did

733
00:23:16,870 --> 00:23:15,200
afterwards i would agree it goes very

734
00:23:18,230 --> 00:23:16,880
fast and i always agree that it appears

735
00:23:19,190 --> 00:23:18,240
to like you know harbor based on the

736
00:23:21,350 --> 00:23:19,200
eyewitness account

737
00:23:23,270 --> 00:23:21,360
and i agree it has low observability but

738
00:23:25,029 --> 00:23:23,280

i think low observability is a

739

00:23:26,950 --> 00:23:25,039

criteria because that's just

740

00:23:29,350 --> 00:23:26,960

basically saying all ufos are hard to

741

00:23:32,870 --> 00:23:29,360

see which is just a function of the low

742

00:23:34,470 --> 00:23:32,880

information zone what about anti-gravity

743

00:23:36,630 --> 00:23:34,480

well anti-gravity just means hovering

744

00:23:39,430 --> 00:23:36,640

which is what i mentioned okay

745

00:23:40,070 --> 00:23:39,440

so uh my my sort of thesis is that it's

746

00:23:42,549 --> 00:23:40,080

possible

747

00:23:44,310 --> 00:23:42,559

that given what the testimony is from

748

00:23:46,230 --> 00:23:44,320

fraver and dietrich that

749

00:23:47,350 --> 00:23:46,240

given the five observables it's possible

750

00:23:49,750 --> 00:23:47,360

that the nimitz

751
00:23:50,549 --> 00:23:49,760
uh using this great uh little badge from

752
00:23:52,390 --> 00:23:50,559
the signal

753
00:23:54,549 --> 00:23:52,400
uh could potentially exhibit all of

754
00:23:56,470 --> 00:23:54,559
these right but we all the data for that

755
00:23:57,590 --> 00:23:56,480
is going to be sort of classified

756
00:24:01,590 --> 00:23:57,600
everything is possible

757
00:24:04,310 --> 00:24:01,600
yeah uh so um my question to you mick is

758
00:24:05,350 --> 00:24:04,320
uh based upon this description that you

759
00:24:07,750 --> 00:24:05,360
have here

760
00:24:09,350 --> 00:24:07,760
uh how do you claim that what you're

761
00:24:10,710 --> 00:24:09,360
doing here is actually removing false

762
00:24:12,470 --> 00:24:10,720
pieces of evidence

763
00:24:14,230 --> 00:24:12,480

no no i don't i think what i'm doing

764

00:24:15,830 --> 00:24:14,240

here is presenting a possible hypothesis

765

00:24:18,630 --> 00:24:15,840

that might explain

766

00:24:20,630 --> 00:24:18,640

the events when i'm removing false

767

00:24:22,789 --> 00:24:20,640

pieces of evidence it's usually things

768

00:24:24,630 --> 00:24:22,799

where people have made particular claims

769

00:24:26,789 --> 00:24:24,640

about evidence

770

00:24:27,830 --> 00:24:26,799

uh that don't hold up to scrutiny like

771

00:24:30,789 --> 00:24:27,840

with the

772

00:24:32,310 --> 00:24:30,799

the nimitz tic tac video uh i think i

773

00:24:34,149 --> 00:24:32,320

would say i've debunked certain claims

774

00:24:36,310 --> 00:24:34,159

about that the claim that it shoots off

775

00:24:37,430 --> 00:24:36,320

to the side with high velocity by noting

776

00:24:38,789 --> 00:24:37,440

that the camera is just

777

00:24:40,549 --> 00:24:38,799

losing lock and then the object

778

00:24:42,070 --> 00:24:40,559

continues off to the side with the same

779

00:24:43,909 --> 00:24:42,080

angular velocity that the camera was

780

00:24:45,750 --> 00:24:43,919

previously tracking

781

00:24:47,269 --> 00:24:45,760

and that the underwood just basically

782

00:24:48,470 --> 00:24:47,279

was cycling through the camera modes and

783

00:24:49,990 --> 00:24:48,480

he lost lock

784

00:24:52,230 --> 00:24:50,000

this isn't that type of thing this isn't

785

00:24:55,190 --> 00:24:52,240

debunking this is just

786

00:24:56,549 --> 00:24:55,200

postulating a hypothesis that i feel is

787

00:24:58,870 --> 00:24:56,559

worth considering

788

00:25:00,549 --> 00:24:58,880

okay okay well i think that like i said

789

00:25:01,830 --> 00:25:00,559

this is what i think is probably your

790

00:25:03,750 --> 00:25:01,840

worst uh case and

791

00:25:04,950 --> 00:25:03,760

i think generally it's the the least

792

00:25:05,350 --> 00:25:04,960

amount of information that's out there

793

00:25:07,190 --> 00:25:05,360

so

794

00:25:08,789 --> 00:25:07,200

people people ask me what i thought and

795

00:25:10,470 --> 00:25:08,799

so i what am i supposed to do just throw

796

00:25:12,710 --> 00:25:10,480

my hands to say i have no idea

797

00:25:14,310 --> 00:25:12,720

it's a possible explanation and i think

798

00:25:16,710 --> 00:25:14,320

it's uh

799

00:25:17,350 --> 00:25:16,720

probably more likely than aliens right i

800

00:25:18,549 --> 00:25:17,360

think the question

801
00:25:20,470 --> 00:25:18,559
you mentioned aliens of course but i

802
00:25:21,510 --> 00:25:20,480
still think it's more likely right right

803
00:25:22,310 --> 00:25:21,520
i just wanted to point out real quick

804
00:25:24,149 --> 00:25:22,320
and i thought

805
00:25:25,510 --> 00:25:24,159
um i think that i thought this might

806
00:25:27,750 --> 00:25:25,520
have been where what the

807
00:25:30,149 --> 00:25:27,760
point kent was making was that you know

808
00:25:32,149 --> 00:25:30,159
your your goal is to debunk and i think

809
00:25:34,149 --> 00:25:32,159
some people can get confused when you

810
00:25:36,470 --> 00:25:34,159
throw out a hypothesis

811
00:25:38,310 --> 00:25:36,480
right okay well i mean my entire life is

812
00:25:40,789 --> 00:25:38,320
devoted so every every word i

813
00:25:42,310 --> 00:25:40,799

say is trying to prove somebody wrong

814

00:25:43,750 --> 00:25:42,320

sometimes i'm just uh

815

00:25:45,830 --> 00:25:43,760

trying to figure things out i mean

816

00:25:47,110 --> 00:25:45,840

that's really the ultimate goal here

817

00:25:48,470 --> 00:25:47,120

is to try to figure out what these

818

00:25:50,630 --> 00:25:48,480

things are and so when i'm in

819

00:25:52,070 --> 00:25:50,640

investigating a ufo case it doesn't mean

820

00:25:54,950 --> 00:25:52,080

i am

821

00:25:56,149 --> 00:25:54,960

just trying to falsify the et hypothesis

822

00:25:58,070 --> 00:25:56,159

and the the way i

823

00:25:59,669 --> 00:25:58,080

the way i investigate is figuring out

824

00:26:01,110 --> 00:25:59,679

what's actually there

825

00:26:02,149 --> 00:26:01,120

and we don't have enough information in

826

00:26:03,590 --> 00:26:02,159

this case to actually make a

827

00:26:05,430 --> 00:26:03,600

determination of what's actually there

828

00:26:08,230 --> 00:26:05,440

but we can still put hypothesis

829

00:26:09,510 --> 00:26:08,240

hypotheses out there and see if they are

830

00:26:11,190 --> 00:26:09,520

falsifiable

831

00:26:12,630 --> 00:26:11,200

okay all right that's fair enough i'm

832

00:26:13,990 --> 00:26:12,640

happy with that let's let's move on

833

00:26:15,669 --> 00:26:14,000

may i just comment on one thing i'm

834

00:26:16,710 --> 00:26:15,679

sorry very quickly yeah because

835

00:26:19,110 --> 00:26:16,720

there's a lot of things to get through

836

00:26:22,310 --> 00:26:19,120

here yeah

837

00:26:24,390 --> 00:26:22,320

um the thing that i kind of always find

838

00:26:25,669 --> 00:26:24,400

a little issue with is that you call it

839

00:26:27,750 --> 00:26:25,679

it feels like you're always fighting

840

00:26:30,070 --> 00:26:27,760

this e.t hypothesis

841

00:26:30,789 --> 00:26:30,080

when i feel like that hypothesis is not

842

00:26:33,909 --> 00:26:30,799

really being

843

00:26:35,350 --> 00:26:33,919

thrown out uh by the people

844

00:26:37,110 --> 00:26:35,360

yeah i see what you're saying and that's

845

00:26:38,710 --> 00:26:37,120

a point i get a lot so

846

00:26:40,950 --> 00:26:38,720

what i would say there is what i'm

847

00:26:43,110 --> 00:26:40,960

fighting here not really fighting but

848

00:26:45,830 --> 00:26:43,120

presenting an alternative hypothesis

849

00:26:46,230 --> 00:26:45,840

is the uh advanced technology hypothesis

850

00:26:49,750 --> 00:26:46,240

the

851

00:26:51,269 --> 00:26:49,760

uh

852

00:26:52,950 --> 00:26:51,279

you know over a hundred g's or a

853

00:26:54,789 --> 00:26:52,960

thousand g's or five thousand g's in the

854

00:26:57,110 --> 00:26:54,799

case of the the radar data

855

00:26:59,350 --> 00:26:57,120

uh that is that is the hypothesis that

856

00:27:00,870 --> 00:26:59,360

you know those are accurate readings and

857

00:27:01,269 --> 00:27:00,880

this thing actually represented a real

858

00:27:02,789 --> 00:27:01,279

object

859

00:27:04,630 --> 00:27:02,799

physically moving from one spot to

860

00:27:05,990 --> 00:27:04,640

another i'm presenting an alternative

861

00:27:07,269 --> 00:27:06,000

hypothesis to that

862

00:27:08,789 --> 00:27:07,279

now with the you know the extreme

863

00:27:10,070 --> 00:27:08,799

technology that could be like a foreign

864

00:27:11,510 --> 00:27:10,080

embassy or it could be like

865

00:27:13,350 --> 00:27:11,520

extraterrestrials or it could be ultra

866

00:27:15,430 --> 00:27:13,360

terrestrials or whatever but

867

00:27:16,390 --> 00:27:15,440

you know it's the the incredible

868

00:27:18,710 --> 00:27:16,400

emotions

869

00:27:19,430 --> 00:27:18,720

that i'm presenting an alternative to

870

00:27:21,430 --> 00:27:19,440

got it

871

00:27:23,110 --> 00:27:21,440

okay all right well let's move on uh

872

00:27:24,149 --> 00:27:23,120

because nick i know you've talked about

873

00:27:27,190 --> 00:27:24,159

your process of

874

00:27:31,350 --> 00:27:27,200

a commer uh how do you say it

875

00:27:36,549 --> 00:27:33,350

a commercial a comeric ranking like

876

00:27:38,950 --> 00:27:36,559

occam's razor but with a geek on the end

877

00:27:39,909 --> 00:27:38,960

it's hard to say okay i have a problem

878

00:27:41,669 --> 00:27:39,919

with it i don't know if i fully

879

00:27:44,310 --> 00:27:41,679

understand it ranked list

880

00:27:44,710 --> 00:27:44,320

i'm gonna like say okay i'm gonna sort

881

00:27:47,269 --> 00:27:44,720

of give

882

00:27:48,549 --> 00:27:47,279

my uh understanding of it so my

883

00:27:50,789 --> 00:27:48,559

understanding is that you are

884

00:27:51,590 --> 00:27:50,799

trying to sort of bubble rank uh the

885

00:27:53,990 --> 00:27:51,600

different

886

00:27:55,590 --> 00:27:54,000

hypotheses and like the most likely is

887

00:27:58,310 --> 00:27:55,600

rising to the top is that right

888

00:27:58,870 --> 00:27:58,320

uh well yes i mean obviously that's what

889

00:28:01,350 --> 00:27:58,880

would happen

890

00:28:02,070 --> 00:28:01,360

uh but yeah it doesn't mean that it's

891

00:28:03,750 --> 00:28:02,080

the only

892

00:28:05,110 --> 00:28:03,760

answer when it rises to the top that's

893

00:28:05,669 --> 00:28:05,120

the whole point is that you have a list

894

00:28:07,110 --> 00:28:05,679

of things

895

00:28:08,870 --> 00:28:07,120

often you have like a one and two that

896

00:28:10,549 --> 00:28:08,880

are very close uh

897

00:28:11,669 --> 00:28:10,559

like i flip-flop sometimes with some of

898

00:28:13,190 --> 00:28:11,679

them like when i originally looked at

899

00:28:14,950 --> 00:28:13,200

the go fast video it was like

900

00:28:16,549 --> 00:28:14,960

uh bird was the number one hypothesis

901
00:28:18,710 --> 00:28:16,559
and bloom was the number two hypothesis

902
00:28:21,029 --> 00:28:18,720
more analysis flipped that around and

903
00:28:23,190 --> 00:28:21,039
now i'm kind of like more like 90

904
00:28:26,149 --> 00:28:23,200
balloon and maybe like five percent bird

905
00:28:28,230 --> 00:28:26,159
and then five percent other

906
00:28:30,310 --> 00:28:28,240
okay and uh so i guess one of the things

907
00:28:32,389 --> 00:28:30,320
i have a question on is that if you have

908
00:28:34,470 --> 00:28:32,399
you seem to be pretty against the et

909
00:28:36,789 --> 00:28:34,480
hypothesis how do you prevent that

910
00:28:37,750 --> 00:28:36,799
uh sort of seeping into as a bias

911
00:28:41,430 --> 00:28:37,760
against that

912
00:28:46,549 --> 00:28:44,549
well uh it's very difficult i guess

913
00:28:48,549 --> 00:28:46,559

because you know my experience has been

914

00:28:49,830 --> 00:28:48,559

that the et hypothesis has not been a

915

00:28:52,710 --> 00:28:49,840

good explanation for

916

00:28:53,510 --> 00:28:52,720

any of the the uh the cases in the last

917

00:28:55,110 --> 00:28:53,520

70 years

918

00:28:56,789 --> 00:28:55,120

it's not really been a number one

919

00:28:59,190 --> 00:28:56,799

hypothesis uh

920

00:29:00,310 --> 00:28:59,200

just just simply because it's it's you

921

00:29:01,269 --> 00:29:00,320

know an extraordinary thing that

922

00:29:05,029 --> 00:29:01,279

requires

923

00:29:06,789 --> 00:29:05,039

uh kind of uh imagining uh

924

00:29:08,470 --> 00:29:06,799

essentially an entire extra universe of

925

00:29:10,549 --> 00:29:08,480

things whereas

926

00:29:11,669 --> 00:29:10,559

the other hypotheses are just a fairly

927

00:29:13,669 --> 00:29:11,679

small number of things

928

00:29:15,430 --> 00:29:13,679

from occam's razor do not multiply

929

00:29:18,070 --> 00:29:15,440

entities unnecessarily

930

00:29:19,830 --> 00:29:18,080

uh it naturally when you do the bubble

931

00:29:21,110 --> 00:29:19,840

comparison like seeing you is this thing

932

00:29:21,990 --> 00:29:21,120

higher than the other on the list it

933

00:29:23,909 --> 00:29:22,000

naturally

934

00:29:25,190 --> 00:29:23,919

seems to be lower on the list so it goes

935

00:29:27,750 --> 00:29:25,200

down to the bottom

936

00:29:29,269 --> 00:29:27,760

it is my my but do i have a bias against

937

00:29:32,549 --> 00:29:29,279

it i mean sure perhaps

938

00:29:33,909 --> 00:29:32,559

but uh i am quite open about what i

939

00:29:36,789 --> 00:29:33,919

think and the reasoning i use

940

00:29:37,190 --> 00:29:36,799

and the the various tests and whatever i

941

00:30:04,710 --> 00:29:37,200

i

942

00:30:05,830 --> 00:30:04,720

standard uh method have you heard of uh

943

00:30:07,909 --> 00:30:05,840

hearers analysis

944

00:30:09,430 --> 00:30:07,919

analysis needs of competing hypotheses

945

00:30:11,190 --> 00:30:09,440

yeah i was just i was just reading that

946

00:30:13,430 --> 00:30:11,200

the other day someone else sent it to me

947

00:30:14,710 --> 00:30:13,440

uh i kind of liked it that chapter is

948

00:30:17,110 --> 00:30:14,720

that is that the

949

00:30:18,070 --> 00:30:17,120

is that i think what i read the last

950

00:30:20,310 --> 00:30:18,080

chapter which

951
00:30:21,430 --> 00:30:20,320
has kind of a executive summary and it

952
00:30:23,110 --> 00:30:21,440
does actually talk about something

953
00:30:25,350 --> 00:30:23,120
that's kind of similar to the

954
00:30:26,310 --> 00:30:25,360
um academic ranking like you make a list

955
00:30:28,230 --> 00:30:26,320
of things and then you

956
00:30:30,149 --> 00:30:28,240
you kind of sort them but they they talk

957
00:30:32,389 --> 00:30:30,159
more about eliminating things and

958
00:30:34,310 --> 00:30:32,399
promoting things correct that's that's

959
00:30:36,070 --> 00:30:34,320
my beef with your acaramic

960
00:30:37,190 --> 00:30:36,080
thinking is that doing the actually the

961
00:30:37,669 --> 00:30:37,200
opposite thing of what you're supposed

962
00:30:38,789 --> 00:30:37,679
to do

963
00:30:39,990 --> 00:30:38,799

which is trying to disprove the

964

00:30:41,350 --> 00:30:40,000

hypotheses which means that you're

965

00:30:42,950 --> 00:30:41,360

trying to actively go out

966

00:30:44,470 --> 00:30:42,960

and disprove your hypothesis rather than

967

00:30:46,870 --> 00:30:44,480

improving it so in some ways

968

00:30:47,750 --> 00:30:46,880

your academic ranking system is kind of

969

00:30:50,870 --> 00:30:47,760

creating a

970

00:30:54,710 --> 00:30:50,880

confirmation bias feedback loop

971

00:30:57,029 --> 00:30:54,720

um really

972

00:30:58,549 --> 00:30:57,039

it's a list of hypotheses and you know

973

00:31:00,070 --> 00:30:58,559

we're comparing one against the other

974

00:31:01,590 --> 00:31:00,080

but how would that create a confirmation

975

00:31:02,470 --> 00:31:01,600

bias feedback loop well i guess i'll

976

00:31:04,870 --> 00:31:02,480

give an example

977

00:31:06,230 --> 00:31:04,880

for uh i'm going to take your rotating

978

00:31:06,470 --> 00:31:06,240

glare and i'm going to try to disprove

979

00:31:09,269 --> 00:31:06,480

it

980

00:31:11,190 --> 00:31:09,279

uh in the next video so i'll sort of

981

00:31:13,909 --> 00:31:11,200

give a live example of that

982

00:31:14,310 --> 00:31:13,919

but in other words there's a whole uh

983

00:31:16,389 --> 00:31:14,320

whole

984

00:31:17,990 --> 00:31:16,399

area of intelligence analysis and

985

00:31:19,029 --> 00:31:18,000

there's specific best practices

986

00:31:20,950 --> 00:31:19,039

and some of the things you're doing are

987

00:31:22,310 --> 00:31:20,960

not are the best practices that's mine i

988

00:31:22,710 --> 00:31:22,320

kind of liked that chapter when i read

989

00:31:23,909 --> 00:31:22,720

it

990

00:31:25,669 --> 00:31:23,919

i don't think i read the chapter eight i

991

00:31:26,310 --> 00:31:25,679

read the last chapter in the book but i

992

00:31:56,950 --> 00:31:26,320

i

993

00:31:57,509 --> 00:31:56,960

want to use that as an excuse too much

994

00:31:59,590 --> 00:31:57,519

but

995

00:32:00,950 --> 00:31:59,600

it it kind of is the reason i put these

996

00:32:02,630 --> 00:32:00,960

short things out

997

00:32:04,310 --> 00:32:02,640

and you know sometimes late at night and

998

00:32:04,870 --> 00:32:04,320

if you want to get to what i'm actually

999

00:32:06,870 --> 00:32:04,880

saying

1000

00:32:08,470 --> 00:32:06,880

yeah ask me here and now what i actually

1001
00:32:09,990 --> 00:32:08,480
think well i guess what i'm saying is

1002
00:32:11,430 --> 00:32:10,000
that you're sort of using hyperbolic

1003
00:32:12,630 --> 00:32:11,440
language that is making conclusions

1004
00:32:13,830 --> 00:32:12,640
above and beyond the evidence that

1005
00:32:15,590 --> 00:32:13,840
you've been able to show you have not

1006
00:32:17,990 --> 00:32:15,600
just ruined the eta hypothesis

1007
00:32:19,830 --> 00:32:18,000
and you have not in any situation here

1008
00:32:21,029 --> 00:32:19,840
so when you say not aliens and no aliens

1009
00:32:21,909 --> 00:32:21,039
you're making you're giving an illusion

1010
00:32:23,110 --> 00:32:21,919
as if that you've

1011
00:32:25,350 --> 00:32:23,120
done something to kind of disprove it

1012
00:32:27,430 --> 00:32:25,360
but you really haven't yeah

1013
00:32:28,630 --> 00:32:27,440

yeah right i could see that but you know

1014

00:32:29,990 --> 00:32:28,640

you're kind of talking about it's the

1015

00:32:31,590 --> 00:32:30,000

way that you say it and you know you

1016

00:32:32,230 --> 00:32:31,600

phrase your tweet wrong i mean like

1017

00:32:33,909 --> 00:32:32,240

these are

1018

00:32:35,909 --> 00:32:33,919

minor points that we could thrash out

1019

00:32:36,710 --> 00:32:35,919

well it's language though i i don't it's

1020

00:32:40,870 --> 00:32:36,720

not just it's

1021

00:32:41,190 --> 00:32:40,880

languages with the way i'm communicating

1022

00:32:43,509 --> 00:32:41,200

with

1023

00:32:45,190 --> 00:32:43,519

well it's it's not just that you are

1024

00:32:46,230 --> 00:32:45,200

actually going above and beyond what you

1025

00:32:49,350 --> 00:32:46,240

know

1026
00:32:51,110 --> 00:32:49,360
you said when you say in this language

1027
00:32:53,029 --> 00:32:51,120
it's uh you're just saying like i

1028
00:32:54,549 --> 00:32:53,039
shouldn't have said no aliens

1029
00:32:56,070 --> 00:32:54,559
i should have said based on the evidence

1030
00:32:56,789 --> 00:32:56,080
there's almost certainly no er there's

1031
00:33:00,389 --> 00:32:56,799
no aliens

1032
00:33:03,430 --> 00:33:00,399
it seems very unlikely like that tweet

1033
00:33:05,029 --> 00:33:03,440
is uh 280 characters i know i i if you

1034
00:33:06,470 --> 00:33:05,039
read that

1035
00:33:08,070 --> 00:33:06,480
if you go read my tweet account i think

1036
00:33:09,590 --> 00:33:08,080
on almost every single tweet i have it

1037
00:33:10,310 --> 00:33:09,600
almost goes up to the very limit right

1038
00:33:12,310 --> 00:33:10,320

he's actually

1039

00:33:13,669 --> 00:33:12,320

editing it and spitting things in but

1040

00:33:15,669 --> 00:33:13,679

and yeah in that you you

1041

00:33:16,950 --> 00:33:15,679

kind of lose things and unfortunately

1042

00:33:18,549 --> 00:33:16,960

okay and

1043

00:33:19,990 --> 00:33:18,559

nick does clarify his point in that

1044

00:33:20,230 --> 00:33:20,000

upper left tweet right saying that they

1045

00:33:22,470 --> 00:33:20,240

are

1046

00:33:23,830 --> 00:33:22,480

no this is not very high yes yes yes i

1047

00:33:24,789 --> 00:33:23,840

guess i mean that's what i'm saying

1048

00:33:26,630 --> 00:33:24,799

that's why i put it in there i'm not

1049

00:33:27,269 --> 00:33:26,640

trying to just cherry pick because nick

1050

00:33:29,509 --> 00:33:27,279

does show

1051

00:33:31,669 --> 00:33:29,519

sort of a nuance here but i guess

1052

00:33:32,470 --> 00:33:31,679

there's also the corbell video i say no

1053

00:33:37,990 --> 00:33:32,480

aliens

1054

00:33:39,509 --> 00:33:38,000

it's kind of like a point i don't think

1055

00:33:41,029 --> 00:33:39,519

you have any right to be able to say no

1056

00:33:42,630 --> 00:33:41,039

aliens that's my fault

1057

00:33:44,470 --> 00:33:42,640

i would just like to say i i think you

1058

00:33:45,830 --> 00:33:44,480

you think it's a point mick um but i

1059

00:33:46,549 --> 00:33:45,840

think the problem is there are people

1060

00:33:48,710 --> 00:33:46,559

out there who

1061

00:33:50,230 --> 00:33:48,720

watch your tweets who and specifically

1062

00:33:52,789 --> 00:33:50,240

the people who who

1063

00:33:54,789 --> 00:33:52,799

say they've they are experiencers or who

1064

00:33:56,789 --> 00:33:54,799

who have direct knowledge or whatever

1065

00:33:58,630 --> 00:33:56,799

those are the people that are going how

1066

00:34:00,870 --> 00:33:58,640

can you possibly be so

1067

00:34:02,389 --> 00:34:00,880

certain yeah and i think that's what

1068

00:34:04,149 --> 00:34:02,399

that's what people feel like

1069

00:34:06,470 --> 00:34:04,159

it's it's an issue i get all the time

1070

00:34:09,270 --> 00:34:06,480

and uh i essentially you've got to

1071

00:34:10,389 --> 00:34:09,280

add uh these these ridiculous qualifiers

1072

00:34:13,510 --> 00:34:10,399

all the time

1073

00:34:16,470 --> 00:34:13,520

well hold on like almost certainly i

1074

00:34:18,550 --> 00:34:16,480

i there is no evidence of these things

1075

00:34:20,310 --> 00:34:18,560

not aliens i think pretty much covers it

1076

00:34:21,829 --> 00:34:20,320

okay here's my argument part of the

1077

00:34:23,990 --> 00:34:21,839

skepticism the tradition of

1078

00:34:25,669 --> 00:34:24,000

skepticism is suspending judgment when

1079

00:34:26,950 --> 00:34:25,679

you say no aliens are not aliens that's

1080

00:34:28,389 --> 00:34:26,960

doing the opposite of suspending

1081

00:34:30,310 --> 00:34:28,399

judgment that's actually

1082

00:34:31,990 --> 00:34:30,320

declaring a disbelief that's different

1083

00:34:33,669 --> 00:34:32,000

than the tradition of skepticism

1084

00:34:35,349 --> 00:34:33,679

you're not tweeting some tradition it's

1085

00:34:37,270 --> 00:34:35,359

all about suspending judgment

1086

00:34:38,710 --> 00:34:37,280

you know and you know i want to you know

1087

00:34:40,069 --> 00:34:38,720

defend vic here i think that in both

1088

00:34:40,550 --> 00:34:40,079

those tweets it's pretty obviously

1089

00:34:43,349 --> 00:34:40,560

implied

1090

00:34:44,389 --> 00:34:43,359

no evidence of aliens like these

1091

00:34:45,669 --> 00:34:44,399

situations no

1092

00:34:48,069 --> 00:34:45,679

it's not the bottom left one is

1093

00:34:50,550 --> 00:34:48,079

absolutely not it says not aliens rather

1094

00:34:52,310 --> 00:34:50,560

yeah i think i actually think there's

1095

00:34:52,950 --> 00:34:52,320

100 percent evidence that this is not

1096

00:34:54,230 --> 00:34:52,960

aliens

1097

00:34:56,389 --> 00:34:54,240

but look at the context okay it's a

1098

00:34:57,589 --> 00:34:56,399

quick analysis

1099

00:35:00,710 --> 00:34:57,599

you're just quibbling with the way i'm

1100

00:35:03,829 --> 00:35:02,230

let me go for it let me let's let's move

1101
00:35:05,750 --> 00:35:03,839
on i don't know i've said many many many

1102
00:35:07,349 --> 00:35:05,760
times that aliens are on the list and we

1103
00:35:08,710 --> 00:35:07,359
there it is like i know but you are

1104
00:35:10,150 --> 00:35:08,720
you're you're basically eliminating from

1105
00:35:12,150 --> 00:35:10,160
the list here in these tweets and

1106
00:35:13,670 --> 00:35:12,160
in a rhetorical strategy if you just

1107
00:35:17,030 --> 00:35:13,680
read them uh by themselves

1108
00:35:18,790 --> 00:35:17,040
yes this is careless it's not good

1109
00:35:20,950 --> 00:35:18,800
debunking it's not being a good skeptic

1110
00:35:21,990 --> 00:35:20,960
you're you need to okay i'm gonna move

1111
00:35:24,230 --> 00:35:22,000
on because

1112
00:35:25,510 --> 00:35:24,240
i think what i see here is that you also

1113
00:35:26,550 --> 00:35:25,520

have this sort of shaming thing that you

1114

00:35:28,950 --> 00:35:26,560

do sometimes like

1115

00:35:30,230 --> 00:35:28,960

they believe in aliens uh or who said

1116

00:35:30,790 --> 00:35:30,240

anything about aliens well pretty much

1117

00:35:33,109 --> 00:35:30,800

everyone

1118

00:35:34,470 --> 00:35:33,119

oh that's that's ridiculous that that's

1119

00:35:35,670 --> 00:35:34,480

the very specific thing that we're

1120

00:35:37,829 --> 00:35:35,680

talking about there because

1121

00:35:39,109 --> 00:35:37,839

people are always saying uh you know i

1122

00:35:40,550 --> 00:35:39,119

didn't mention aliens

1123

00:35:42,310 --> 00:35:40,560

this is mentioning a specific thing in

1124

00:35:44,390 --> 00:35:42,320

the ufo community where people try to

1125

00:35:46,069 --> 00:35:44,400

avoid talking about aliens and they say

1126
00:35:47,109 --> 00:35:46,079
who's talking about aliens and so i'm

1127
00:35:48,790 --> 00:35:47,119
calling that out

1128
00:35:50,710 --> 00:35:48,800
i'm not mocking them for talking about

1129
00:35:52,390 --> 00:35:50,720
aliens i'm talking about this trope that

1130
00:35:53,190 --> 00:35:52,400
they have of saying oh nobody mentioned

1131
00:35:55,270 --> 00:35:53,200
aliens

1132
00:35:57,349 --> 00:35:55,280
and so that's what that's about there's

1133
00:35:57,990 --> 00:35:57,359
a there's a deeper social cultural

1134
00:35:59,270 --> 00:35:58,000
stigma that

1135
00:36:01,109 --> 00:35:59,280
came up here and i think that some of

1136
00:36:02,790 --> 00:36:01,119
the rhetoric sometimes you know when you

1137
00:36:03,910 --> 00:36:02,800
start to say oh they believe in aliens

1138
00:36:05,030 --> 00:36:03,920

they believe in the extraterrestrial

1139

00:36:06,870 --> 00:36:05,040

hypothesis it's sort of

1140

00:36:08,230 --> 00:36:06,880

you know it it could be read in certain

1141

00:36:09,750 --> 00:36:08,240

circles in a way of

1142

00:36:11,109 --> 00:36:09,760

basically making fun of yeah well you

1143

00:36:12,630 --> 00:36:11,119

can read things different ways and

1144

00:36:14,390 --> 00:36:12,640

people will and you can't please all of

1145

00:36:15,910 --> 00:36:14,400

the people that you know my intent here

1146

00:36:17,430 --> 00:36:15,920

isn't to mock people i'm

1147

00:36:19,430 --> 00:36:17,440

good friends with lots of people who are

1148

00:36:21,349 --> 00:36:19,440

ufo experiencers and uh you know i

1149

00:36:23,190 --> 00:36:21,359

respect their experience even if i don't

1150

00:36:24,790 --> 00:36:23,200

think they actually saw aliens

1151

00:36:27,270 --> 00:36:24,800

well here in this article you say i

1152

00:36:28,470 --> 00:36:27,280

study at ufos and i don't believe the

1153

00:36:31,030 --> 00:36:28,480

alien hype

1154

00:36:32,790 --> 00:36:31,040

um so there's a part of you rather than

1155

00:36:35,910 --> 00:36:32,800

you know there's three doc

1156

00:36:38,710 --> 00:36:35,920

doxastic positions

1157

00:36:40,230 --> 00:36:38,720

belief disbelief or suspended judgment

1158

00:36:41,750 --> 00:36:40,240

most skeptics are suspending judgment

1159

00:36:43,910 --> 00:36:41,760

but you're kind of in some ways

1160

00:36:44,950 --> 00:36:43,920

putting yourself in the disbeliever

1161

00:36:46,390 --> 00:36:44,960

realm i don't know if that's

1162

00:36:48,790 --> 00:36:46,400

really the alien hype it doesn't say i

1163

00:36:51,270 --> 00:36:48,800

don't believe in aliens okay

1164

00:36:53,270 --> 00:36:51,280

all right so i'll uh i'll let that go uh

1165

00:36:55,190 --> 00:36:53,280

because uh you know that's that's fair

1166

00:36:56,710 --> 00:36:55,200

um okay so that's the problem i had with

1167

00:36:57,670 --> 00:36:56,720

the aquamarine uh ranking let's move

1168

00:36:59,990 --> 00:36:57,680

into sort of

1169

00:37:01,270 --> 00:37:00,000

my the core thesis that the problem i

1170

00:37:02,630 --> 00:37:01,280

have with the gimbal rotating glare

1171

00:37:05,829 --> 00:37:02,640

hypothesis

1172

00:37:08,150 --> 00:37:05,839

okay all right so my uh recap again

1173

00:37:09,510 --> 00:37:08,160

is that um there was what you say is

1174

00:37:10,950 --> 00:37:09,520

some sort of distant plane

1175

00:37:13,430 --> 00:37:10,960

um it's sort of mundane either a

1176

00:37:15,190 --> 00:37:13,440

friendly plane or a commercial plane

1177

00:37:17,190 --> 00:37:15,200

uh that it might be a fighter jet uh and

1178

00:37:19,030 --> 00:37:17,200

that just because we see in this video

1179

00:37:20,710 --> 00:37:19,040

a rotation that it could actually be

1180

00:37:21,430 --> 00:37:20,720

sort of an illusion based upon this

1181

00:37:22,790 --> 00:37:21,440

glare

1182

00:37:25,270 --> 00:37:22,800

that is happening in this rotating

1183

00:37:26,710 --> 00:37:25,280

gimbal uh that is also rotating and that

1184

00:37:28,390 --> 00:37:26,720

um you know you've shown many different

1185

00:37:31,430 --> 00:37:28,400

times this sort of rotating glare

1186

00:37:33,589 --> 00:37:31,440

right um so um

1187

00:37:34,950 --> 00:37:33,599

that it's not it's not sort of anything

1188

00:37:39,270 --> 00:37:34,960

uh

1189

00:37:41,510 --> 00:37:39,280

other than a mundane project um

1190

00:37:42,550 --> 00:37:41,520

no i mean it's it's showing that the the

1191

00:37:44,150 --> 00:37:42,560

rotation is

1192

00:37:46,550 --> 00:37:44,160

probably a rotating glare or not a

1193

00:37:49,589 --> 00:37:46,560

rotating object

1194

00:37:51,190 --> 00:37:49,599

got it okay so and then uh your first

1195

00:37:52,630 --> 00:37:51,200

this was just a few days after the very

1196

00:37:53,270 --> 00:37:52,640

first new york times article that's

1197

00:37:54,950 --> 00:37:53,280

right

1198

00:37:56,630 --> 00:37:54,960

and you said it might be that it's a

1199

00:37:58,550 --> 00:37:56,640

fighter jet and then

1200

00:38:00,790 --> 00:37:58,560

this doesn't prove the ufo is just a

1201
00:38:03,030 --> 00:38:00,800
distant plane with some infrared glare

1202
00:38:04,230 --> 00:38:03,040
um so at this point this is from the

1203
00:38:06,310 --> 00:38:04,240
psychology of intelligence

1204
00:38:07,430 --> 00:38:06,320
analysis so back in december 2017 where

1205
00:38:09,430 --> 00:38:07,440
would you say

1206
00:38:10,630 --> 00:38:09,440
your likelihood of how confident you

1207
00:38:13,829 --> 00:38:10,640
were in this theory

1208
00:38:19,990 --> 00:38:16,150
uh which theory that it that it might be

1209
00:38:23,670 --> 00:38:21,829
well i think i became more confident

1210
00:38:26,950 --> 00:38:23,680
over time after i did some tests

1211
00:38:29,430 --> 00:38:26,960
and uh but probably like 80 maybe okay

1212
00:38:30,790 --> 00:38:29,440
okay so then uh then you have this new

1213
00:38:32,310 --> 00:38:30,800

footage that comes out from your times

1214

00:38:34,630 --> 00:38:32,320

they they released

1215

00:38:36,310 --> 00:38:34,640

a high resolution so you produced a new

1216

00:38:38,230 --> 00:38:36,320

video in june 2019

1217

00:38:39,910 --> 00:38:38,240

and you say the entire effect is just

1218

00:38:40,630 --> 00:38:39,920

the camera effect is it solved you don't

1219

00:38:42,870 --> 00:38:40,640

know what it is

1220

00:38:45,510 --> 00:38:42,880

but the the shape is solved the rotation

1221

00:38:46,790 --> 00:38:45,520

is solved the glow and aura is solved

1222

00:38:48,710 --> 00:38:46,800

uh and also we're looking at something

1223

00:38:49,990 --> 00:38:48,720

hot something like a jet mass way and

1224

00:38:51,910 --> 00:38:50,000

there's nothing to indicate any advanced

1225

00:38:53,190 --> 00:38:51,920

technology

1226

00:38:54,630 --> 00:38:53,200

so there's an increase of your

1227

00:38:55,990 --> 00:38:54,640

confidence here just even the language

1228

00:38:58,470 --> 00:38:56,000

of you saying that it's solved

1229

00:38:59,829 --> 00:38:58,480

that it's yes it's it's very specific

1230

00:39:00,470 --> 00:38:59,839

though what i'm talking about there i'm

1231

00:39:01,910 --> 00:39:00,480

saying that

1232

00:39:03,589 --> 00:39:01,920

the shape is solved that the shape is a

1233

00:39:04,390 --> 00:39:03,599

glare and the rotation is solved because

1234

00:39:06,710 --> 00:39:04,400

we can see the

1235

00:39:08,630 --> 00:39:06,720

the light field in the the the sky

1236

00:39:09,750 --> 00:39:08,640

rotate the aura resolved but that was a

1237

00:39:11,349 --> 00:39:09,760

different thing that was

1238

00:39:12,870 --> 00:39:11,359

like comparing it to other infrared

1239

00:39:13,990 --> 00:39:12,880

footage and you see the white aura

1240

00:39:15,349 --> 00:39:14,000

around it

1241

00:39:16,870 --> 00:39:15,359

and that it's obviously looking at

1242

00:39:18,310 --> 00:39:16,880

something hot we know that already and

1243

00:39:19,349 --> 00:39:18,320

it's something like a jet engine miles

1244

00:39:21,109 --> 00:39:19,359

away is true

1245

00:39:22,950 --> 00:39:21,119

and nothing to advance indicate any

1246

00:39:24,150 --> 00:39:22,960

advanced technology whereas before

1247

00:39:25,190 --> 00:39:24,160

people thought there was because they

1248

00:39:26,310 --> 00:39:25,200

thought that this thing couldn't

1249

00:39:28,230 --> 00:39:26,320

aerodynamically go

1250

00:39:29,829 --> 00:39:28,240

on its end so yes i agree with all of

1251

00:39:33,030 --> 00:39:29,839

that but it's quite specific

1252

00:39:34,150 --> 00:39:33,040

okay perfect so i think i i also noticed

1253

00:39:34,710 --> 00:39:34,160

that there was an increase of your

1254

00:39:37,589 --> 00:39:34,720

confidence

1255

00:39:37,910 --> 00:39:37,599

um uh over time um on this issue yeah

1256

00:39:41,430 --> 00:39:37,920

it's

1257

00:39:43,190 --> 00:39:41,440

like

1258

00:39:44,550 --> 00:39:43,200

getting more specific and various

1259

00:39:46,150 --> 00:39:44,560

different parts of it whereas before it

1260

00:39:48,150 --> 00:39:46,160

was kind of a general hypothesis

1261

00:39:50,069 --> 00:39:48,160

but yeah it's more detailed hypothesis

1262

00:39:53,270 --> 00:39:50,079

that it explains more so

1263

00:39:54,710 --> 00:39:53,280

i i would say it's more likely okay uh

1264

00:39:56,230 --> 00:39:54,720

so here's a couple of clips that i

1265

00:39:57,910 --> 00:39:56,240

personally also have a problem with

1266

00:39:59,910 --> 00:39:57,920

where you say things like so what we're

1267

00:40:01,349 --> 00:39:59,920

looking at is a tale of the end of a jet

1268

00:40:03,030 --> 00:40:01,359

plane first of all we don't know

1269

00:40:04,390 --> 00:40:03,040

that it is but you're saying on national

1270

00:40:05,910 --> 00:40:04,400

tv that it is

1271

00:40:07,510 --> 00:40:05,920

um i think you're going too far just

1272

00:40:09,910 --> 00:40:07,520

saying that it is um

1273

00:40:11,270 --> 00:40:09,920

i agree i agree i should have had more

1274

00:40:14,150 --> 00:40:11,280

time there but unfortunately i had

1275

00:40:15,750 --> 00:40:14,160

about four minutes to explain uh all

1276

00:40:17,030 --> 00:40:15,760

four videos i thought i only got

1277

00:40:18,790 --> 00:40:17,040

three of them right so there's a

1278

00:40:21,190 --> 00:40:18,800

compression i guess a parallel here

1279

00:40:22,550 --> 00:40:21,200

between both twitter and national tv

1280

00:40:25,109 --> 00:40:22,560

where you say things like all these

1281

00:40:26,710 --> 00:40:25,119

things have very reasonable explanations

1282

00:40:28,390 --> 00:40:26,720

uh nothing to worry about you know

1283

00:40:29,270 --> 00:40:28,400

essentially uh only the ones we have

1284

00:40:31,430 --> 00:40:29,280

video evidence for

1285

00:40:33,030 --> 00:40:31,440

right um uh i agree with that that's not

1286

00:40:35,430 --> 00:40:33,040

a compression that's that's true they

1287

00:40:37,349 --> 00:40:35,440

all have very reasonable explanations

1288

00:40:40,710 --> 00:40:37,359

like plausible hypotheses that fit

1289

00:40:42,069 --> 00:40:40,720

the facts uh i

1290

00:40:44,069 --> 00:40:42,079

yeah i would agree with that all right

1291

00:40:45,829 --> 00:40:44,079

so now i'm going to try to disprove your

1292

00:40:48,630 --> 00:40:45,839

hypothesis are you ready sure

1293

00:40:49,670 --> 00:40:48,640

okay let's do it well first actually

1294

00:40:51,430 --> 00:40:49,680

before i do that

1295

00:40:52,870 --> 00:40:51,440

um you had a conversation with el lue

1296

00:40:53,829 --> 00:40:52,880

alexander it was a very interesting

1297

00:40:56,470 --> 00:40:53,839

interaction here

1298

00:40:58,390 --> 00:40:56,480

um essentially uh you asked lou about

1299

00:40:59,430 --> 00:40:58,400

your uh rotating glare hypothesis he was

1300

00:41:01,109 --> 00:40:59,440

not familiar with it

1301

00:41:02,790 --> 00:41:01,119

you tried to explain it to him over the

1302

00:41:04,550 --> 00:41:02,800

course of like over a minute

1303

00:41:05,990 --> 00:41:04,560

uh he there was things that there's like

1304

00:41:07,190 --> 00:41:06,000

a miscommunication where he

1305

00:41:08,870 --> 00:41:07,200

didn't watch all the videos it probably

1306

00:41:09,270 --> 00:41:08,880

would better to watch the video then you

1307

00:41:11,109 --> 00:41:09,280

try to

1308

00:41:13,030 --> 00:41:11,119

explain it on the spot so there's like a

1309

00:41:15,190 --> 00:41:13,040

back and forth and then eventually

1310

00:41:17,030 --> 00:41:15,200

you said well i'm i'm looking at a

1311

00:41:18,390 --> 00:41:17,040

basically the tailpipe of an f-18

1312

00:41:20,309 --> 00:41:18,400

he's like no no i understand what you're

1313

00:41:22,390 --> 00:41:20,319

saying i have to respectfully disagree

1314

00:41:24,230 --> 00:41:22,400

uh and then i saw you a number of times

1315

00:41:25,190 --> 00:41:24,240

say that louis elizondo didn't even look

1316

00:41:26,870 --> 00:41:25,200

at my theory

1317

00:41:28,470 --> 00:41:26,880

what are they doing uh it was almost

1318

00:41:29,430 --> 00:41:28,480

used you're almost using it as like the

1319

00:41:32,790 --> 00:41:29,440

justification

1320

00:41:33,589 --> 00:41:32,800

of um that your theory was good like so

1321

00:41:35,829 --> 00:41:33,599

good

1322

00:41:37,109 --> 00:41:35,839

that um you know that lou wasn't even

1323

00:41:38,069 --> 00:41:37,119

looking at it and what are they doing

1324

00:41:39,910 --> 00:41:38,079

over there

1325

00:41:41,270 --> 00:41:39,920

no i mean that doesn't make any sense

1326

00:41:42,790 --> 00:41:41,280

why would it be so good that they

1327

00:41:44,390 --> 00:41:42,800

wouldn't look at it

1328

00:41:45,829 --> 00:41:44,400

well i guess but i heard you say it a

1329

00:41:47,589 --> 00:41:45,839

number of times that lou

1330

00:41:49,270 --> 00:41:47,599

uh did you think he hadn't looked at it

1331

00:41:49,670 --> 00:41:49,280

yes that's all yeah i don't think that's

1332

00:41:50,950 --> 00:41:49,680

why

1333

00:41:53,030 --> 00:41:50,960

there's anything about it that says

1334

00:41:54,150 --> 00:41:53,040

something about him okay well i guess

1335

00:41:55,030 --> 00:41:54,160

the question here's the question that i

1336

00:41:56,390 --> 00:41:55,040

have though because i

1337

00:41:58,309 --> 00:41:56,400

when i hear you talk about that

1338

00:41:59,750 --> 00:41:58,319

interaction i often air you sort of

1339

00:42:00,470 --> 00:41:59,760

bring up that part that lou didn't

1340

00:42:02,710 --> 00:42:00,480

understand that

1341

00:42:04,630 --> 00:42:02,720

but i guess here's the question what's

1342

00:42:07,190 --> 00:42:04,640

that i think it's very telling that he

1343

00:42:10,230 --> 00:42:07,200

wasn't familiar with the exact

1344

00:42:11,589 --> 00:42:10,240

hypothesis what was it telling me it

1345

00:42:13,030 --> 00:42:11,599

means that they've never actually

1346

00:42:15,589 --> 00:42:13,040

considered it

1347

00:42:16,950 --> 00:42:15,599

uh when it seems to me in a lot of other

1348

00:42:18,470 --> 00:42:16,960

people that it's a fairly obvious

1349

00:42:19,190 --> 00:42:18,480

explanation for what we're seeing on

1350

00:42:21,030 --> 00:42:19,200

screen so

1351
00:42:23,270 --> 00:42:21,040
it shows to me that the quality of their

1352
00:42:25,190 --> 00:42:23,280
analysis really isn't very good

1353
00:42:26,630 --> 00:42:25,200
got it okay that's that's that's the

1354
00:42:29,349 --> 00:42:26,640
point i was trying to roll out

1355
00:42:30,390 --> 00:42:29,359
um now here's what i want to try to ask

1356
00:42:32,630 --> 00:42:30,400
you a question

1357
00:42:35,109 --> 00:42:32,640
can you go back in time and try to steal

1358
00:42:35,910 --> 00:42:35,119
man what lou elizondo's response to you

1359
00:42:38,230 --> 00:42:35,920
was

1360
00:42:39,270 --> 00:42:38,240
yeah he's saying that he has secret

1361
00:42:42,150 --> 00:42:39,280
information

1362
00:42:43,910 --> 00:42:42,160
that i'm not privy to but he was that

1363
00:42:44,870 --> 00:42:43,920

demonstrates that it was actually a

1364

00:42:48,470 --> 00:42:44,880

rotating

1365

00:42:50,230 --> 00:42:48,480

object okay so what he said uh i

1366

00:42:52,309 --> 00:42:50,240

have some different things there's um

1367

00:42:53,990 --> 00:42:52,319

there's more than just the video itself

1368

00:42:55,750 --> 00:42:54,000

his main point that i want to draw here

1369

00:42:57,030 --> 00:42:55,760

is that we try to avoid doing single

1370

00:42:58,470 --> 00:42:57,040

source reporting

1371

00:43:00,230 --> 00:42:58,480

so in other words that there's lots of

1372

00:43:01,750 --> 00:43:00,240

other contextual information from gun

1373

00:43:03,910 --> 00:43:01,760

camera footage to eyewitness

1374

00:43:05,589 --> 00:43:03,920

testimony to hawk our radar information

1375

00:43:05,990 --> 00:43:05,599

to buy one data from the princeton and

1376

00:43:07,589 --> 00:43:06,000

so

1377

00:43:09,910 --> 00:43:07,599

when i hear you talk about your

1378

00:43:11,510 --> 00:43:09,920

interactions with lou i don't hear you

1379

00:43:13,589 --> 00:43:11,520

really integrating the fact that you're

1380

00:43:15,190 --> 00:43:13,599

only looking at one piece of information

1381

00:43:17,190 --> 00:43:15,200

in a much broader context that you don't

1382

00:43:19,670 --> 00:43:17,200

have full access to

1383

00:43:20,630 --> 00:43:19,680

well um you think i should be bringing

1384

00:43:22,550 --> 00:43:20,640

that up all the time

1385

00:43:23,910 --> 00:43:22,560

absolutely claims people make specific

1386

00:43:25,829 --> 00:43:23,920

claims about the video

1387

00:43:28,150 --> 00:43:25,839

and i analyze those specific claims that

1388

00:43:30,309 --> 00:43:28,160

people make people say this video shows

1389

00:43:32,390 --> 00:43:30,319

a rotating object and they don't say

1390

00:43:34,069 --> 00:43:32,400

this video shows a rotating object and i

1391

00:43:35,109 --> 00:43:34,079

have some secret information about it

1392

00:43:36,630 --> 00:43:35,119

that proves this

1393

00:43:38,630 --> 00:43:36,640

they say it without the secret

1394

00:43:39,990 --> 00:43:38,640

information that it shows that

1395

00:43:42,710 --> 00:43:40,000

quite sure maybe there is secret

1396

00:43:45,030 --> 00:43:42,720

information i doubt that there is

1397

00:43:46,870 --> 00:43:45,040

secret information and i think lou may

1398

00:43:48,150 --> 00:43:46,880

be exaggerating you know the other

1399

00:43:49,589 --> 00:43:48,160

things that he knows about this

1400

00:43:51,910 --> 00:43:49,599

particular case

1401
00:43:53,750 --> 00:43:51,920
uh and i would stand by my hypothesis

1402
00:43:56,470 --> 00:43:53,760
there but yeah no i

1403
00:43:57,670 --> 00:43:56,480
obviously if there is other information

1404
00:44:00,950 --> 00:43:57,680
that might disprove

1405
00:44:03,190 --> 00:44:00,960
my argument but i i'm not aware of this

1406
00:44:04,309 --> 00:44:03,200
information and loo's claims about it

1407
00:44:06,630 --> 00:44:04,319
are pretty vague

1408
00:44:08,470 --> 00:44:06,640
so yeah i've got to go with what i know

1409
00:44:10,069 --> 00:44:08,480
well part of so yes what you say here in

1410
00:44:11,670 --> 00:44:10,079
this response it'd be great if i had the

1411
00:44:13,109 --> 00:44:11,680
information but my point is that if

1412
00:44:14,470 --> 00:44:13,119
there may be this other data but my

1413
00:44:15,430 --> 00:44:14,480

point is that other people are taking

1414

00:44:17,910 --> 00:44:15,440

the video itself

1415

00:44:18,710 --> 00:44:17,920

i.e chat underwood and the identified

1416

00:44:20,470 --> 00:44:18,720

television so

1417

00:44:22,150 --> 00:44:20,480

they're saying looking at just the video

1418

00:44:23,910 --> 00:44:22,160

itself that they can say that there's

1419

00:44:26,550 --> 00:44:23,920

something amazing that's happening

1420

00:44:27,670 --> 00:44:26,560

by just only looking at the video right

1421

00:44:29,030 --> 00:44:27,680

now

1422

00:44:31,190 --> 00:44:29,040

the fleur video and you were talking

1423

00:44:33,109 --> 00:44:31,200

about the gimbal video oh well i guess

1424

00:44:35,030 --> 00:44:33,119

um i don't i thought you were talking

1425

00:44:35,430 --> 00:44:35,040

about this is a sort of a direct quote

1426

00:44:37,190 --> 00:44:35,440

from

1427

00:44:38,309 --> 00:44:37,200

uh this conversation so whatever

1428

00:44:39,910 --> 00:44:38,319

contextual information that you're

1429

00:44:41,190 --> 00:44:39,920

talking about with lozando you you

1430

00:44:42,470 --> 00:44:41,200

specifically mentioned chad underwood

1431

00:44:45,589 --> 00:44:42,480

and unidentified

1432

00:44:45,829 --> 00:44:45,599

um as the sort of moving option so all

1433

00:44:46,630 --> 00:44:45,839

right

1434

00:44:47,829 --> 00:44:46,640

i'm just talking about the different

1435

00:44:49,670 --> 00:44:47,839

videos that's all the gimbal video

1436

00:44:50,150 --> 00:44:49,680

though oh yeah that's the flare video

1437

00:44:53,109 --> 00:44:50,160

right

1438

00:44:53,430 --> 00:44:53,119

yeah um okay so um both of them i think

1439

00:44:56,790 --> 00:44:53,440

are

1440

00:44:59,109 --> 00:44:56,800

both of the flear and the gimbal

1441

00:45:00,309 --> 00:44:59,119

both rely upon there being a friendly

1442

00:45:03,430 --> 00:45:00,319

jet though correct

1443

00:45:05,030 --> 00:45:03,440

like a friendly uh well some other jets

1444

00:45:06,309 --> 00:45:05,040

some other japanese right so probably

1445

00:45:08,150 --> 00:45:06,319

friendly i mean like you'd be very

1446

00:45:09,589 --> 00:45:08,160

unlikely for an adversary jets to be in

1447

00:45:11,670 --> 00:45:09,599

the in the neighborhood

1448

00:45:12,710 --> 00:45:11,680

it may be a military jet or it may be a

1449

00:45:14,550 --> 00:45:12,720

civilian jet

1450

00:45:16,390 --> 00:45:14,560

okay well i'm gonna i'm gonna start to

1451

00:45:17,910 --> 00:45:16,400

disprove this hypothesis now

1452

00:45:19,430 --> 00:45:17,920

okay wait before you do that ken real

1453

00:45:20,710 --> 00:45:19,440

quick just because we're halfway through

1454

00:45:22,390 --> 00:45:20,720

the show and i want to remind everybody

1455

00:45:25,510 --> 00:45:22,400

if you're enjoying this conversation

1456

00:45:27,030 --> 00:45:25,520

do me a favor share like subscribe uh

1457

00:45:28,710 --> 00:45:27,040

tell people that there's a very good

1458

00:45:30,150 --> 00:45:28,720

conversation happening right now

1459

00:45:31,829 --> 00:45:30,160

uh mick are you feeling good how you

1460

00:45:33,349 --> 00:45:31,839

feeling just want to do a quick check on

1461

00:45:35,030 --> 00:45:33,359

you doing good yeah kent you're doing

1462

00:45:36,950 --> 00:45:35,040

good

1463

00:45:38,790 --> 00:45:36,960

look i'm really enjoying the hell out of

1464

00:45:39,910 --> 00:45:38,800

this conversation i'm loving the slides

1465

00:45:41,910 --> 00:45:39,920

people and the folks are loving slides

1466

00:45:44,790 --> 00:45:41,920

we have a poll going up to see

1467

00:45:46,150 --> 00:45:44,800

who's quote unquote won the debate uh so

1468

00:45:48,150 --> 00:45:46,160

we're having a great time so

1469

00:45:49,510 --> 00:45:48,160

let us let us continue if people gain

1470

00:45:51,510 --> 00:45:49,520

knowledge and everybody wins

1471

00:45:53,270 --> 00:45:51,520

yes this is a wonderful conversation and

1472

00:45:54,069 --> 00:45:53,280

and hopefully we'll walk away both

1473

00:45:55,190 --> 00:45:54,079

saying hey

1474

00:45:57,030 --> 00:45:55,200

i didn't know that about mick i didn't

1475

00:45:58,150 --> 00:45:57,040

know that about kent and we could have a

1476

00:45:58,950 --> 00:45:58,160

good day for the rest of the day but

1477

00:46:00,870 --> 00:45:58,960

yeah i love it

1478

00:46:02,150 --> 00:46:00,880

this is so much fun so continue please

1479

00:46:03,829 --> 00:46:02,160

sorry got it got it okay

1480

00:46:05,349 --> 00:46:03,839

uh i'm gonna click this hide okay good

1481

00:46:07,109 --> 00:46:05,359

that that's that was by the way this is

1482

00:46:08,870 --> 00:46:07,119

your show today kent this is the

1483

00:46:10,870 --> 00:46:08,880

the can't buy an identified celebrity

1484

00:46:12,390 --> 00:46:10,880

review just wait

1485

00:46:14,550 --> 00:46:12,400

but does mick get to come back next

1486

00:46:16,470 --> 00:46:14,560

friday with a slide deck yeah

1487

00:46:18,790 --> 00:46:16,480

yeah anytime anything slight's fine i

1488

00:46:20,309 --> 00:46:18,800

mean they're all i'm just saying

1489

00:46:22,230 --> 00:46:20,319

i'm trying to steal man i'm trying to

1490

00:46:23,430 --> 00:46:22,240

steal man mixed arguments and then break

1491

00:46:23,829 --> 00:46:23,440

them down and it's easier to do with

1492

00:46:25,430 --> 00:46:23,839

slides

1493

00:46:27,750 --> 00:46:25,440

all right let's move on because i think

1494

00:46:29,510 --> 00:46:27,760

that west's uh rotating glare theory

1495

00:46:31,829 --> 00:46:29,520

solely relies upon this being a friendly

1496

00:46:34,710 --> 00:46:31,839

f a 18 jet or a commercial plane

1497

00:46:35,910 --> 00:46:34,720

okay that's fair all right wait so okay

1498

00:46:38,390 --> 00:46:35,920

so we're talking about

1499

00:46:39,670 --> 00:46:38,400

gimbal now right either one i'm going to

1500

00:46:43,270 --> 00:46:39,680

say either one

1501
00:46:44,150 --> 00:46:43,280
yeah yes okay well i'm i'm on the gimbal

1502
00:46:46,710 --> 00:46:44,160
now so let's just

1503
00:46:48,150 --> 00:46:46,720
do gimbal okay um because they both do

1504
00:46:49,270 --> 00:46:48,160
gimbal they both sort of happen at the

1505
00:46:51,030 --> 00:46:49,280
same time right

1506
00:46:52,470 --> 00:46:51,040
you probably got a much better case with

1507
00:46:54,710 --> 00:46:52,480
with player one though

1508
00:46:55,510 --> 00:46:54,720
all right well let's let's keep going

1509
00:46:57,829 --> 00:46:55,520
all right so

1510
00:46:58,710 --> 00:46:57,839
um this is from a a tweet from ryan

1511
00:47:00,230 --> 00:46:58,720
graves he says

1512
00:47:01,750 --> 00:47:00,240
uh i recognize this isn't fair for me to

1513
00:47:03,190 --> 00:47:01,760

be able to do this however

1514

00:47:05,990 --> 00:47:03,200

there's no argument because of the

1515

00:47:07,109 --> 00:47:06,000

sensor radar data link pid iff airplane

1516

00:47:09,030 --> 00:47:07,119

schedule geographic

1517

00:47:11,109 --> 00:47:09,040

location data all these additional

1518

00:47:13,109 --> 00:47:11,119

information a piece of information

1519

00:47:14,950 --> 00:47:13,119

that are basically telling the fighter

1520

00:47:17,109 --> 00:47:14,960

jets who's friendly and who's not

1521

00:47:18,550 --> 00:47:17,119

so let's break that down a little bit so

1522

00:47:19,910 --> 00:47:18,560

um on the essay

1523

00:47:21,829 --> 00:47:19,920

which is the situational awareness

1524

00:47:22,870 --> 00:47:21,839

screen uh there's a quote saying there's

1525

00:47:24,309 --> 00:47:22,880

a whole fleet of them so

1526

00:47:25,829 --> 00:47:24,319

uh with the gimbal there's more than

1527

00:47:26,470 --> 00:47:25,839

just one so there's other things going

1528

00:47:28,790 --> 00:47:26,480

on there

1529

00:47:29,990 --> 00:47:28,800

right and um there's a great video from

1530

00:47:31,589 --> 00:47:30,000

alphacheck i know i don't know if you've

1531

00:47:33,349 --> 00:47:31,599

had a chance to talk to alphacheck yet

1532

00:47:35,510 --> 00:47:33,359

but basically he goes through a whole

1533

00:47:37,670 --> 00:47:35,520

sensor running through all the different

1534

00:47:39,030 --> 00:47:37,680

sensors radar datalink link16 and shared

1535

00:47:40,390 --> 00:47:39,040

situational awareness

1536

00:47:41,990 --> 00:47:40,400

meaning that if there is something

1537

00:47:43,670 --> 00:47:42,000

that's being tracked they pretty much

1538

00:47:46,549 --> 00:47:43,680

know whether it's friendly or not

1539

00:47:48,150 --> 00:47:46,559

right so uh i think even at this point

1540

00:47:49,510 --> 00:47:48,160

if if there is something that is being

1541

00:47:50,950 --> 00:47:49,520

tracked and it's not already in the

1542

00:47:53,430 --> 00:47:50,960

system then that's a problem

1543

00:47:55,030 --> 00:47:53,440

uh so my assumption is that anything

1544

00:47:57,349 --> 00:47:55,040

that is in a situation like this

1545

00:47:58,309 --> 00:47:57,359

has already automatically eliminated uh

1546

00:47:59,910 --> 00:47:58,319

whether or not it is

1547

00:48:01,750 --> 00:47:59,920

a friendly now let's go through some of

1548

00:48:03,910 --> 00:48:01,760

the other points of why i'm saying that

1549

00:48:05,510 --> 00:48:03,920

because there's also the iff that's

1550

00:48:06,150 --> 00:48:05,520

identification friend or foe that's the

1551
00:48:15,750 --> 00:48:06,160

a

1552
00:48:20,710 --> 00:48:15,760

there's

1553
00:48:21,990 --> 00:48:20,720

been lots of different uh uh

1554
00:48:24,069 --> 00:48:22,000
discussions around that there was

1555
00:48:25,750 --> 00:48:24,079
jamming uh active jamming from

1556
00:48:27,750 --> 00:48:25,760
uh uh chat underwood this is you're

1557
00:48:28,790 --> 00:48:27,760
going back to this is the flare one case

1558
00:48:31,670 --> 00:48:28,800
it's just well i'm sort of

1559
00:48:33,270 --> 00:48:31,680
i think uh it's unclear as to which ones

1560
00:48:36,230 --> 00:48:33,280
because i know that nimitz uh

1561
00:48:37,750 --> 00:48:36,240
and uh alpha check's argument was really

1562
00:48:39,829 --> 00:48:37,760
about uh the flare one

1563
00:48:41,030 --> 00:48:39,839

uh video because not more information

1564

00:48:43,030 --> 00:48:41,040

about that in the

1565

00:48:44,230 --> 00:48:43,040

uh preliminary assessment of the uap

1566

00:48:45,190 --> 00:48:44,240

that came out from the

1567

00:48:46,950 --> 00:48:45,200

office of director of national

1568

00:48:48,790 --> 00:48:46,960

intelligence there's a phrase that says

1569

00:48:51,349 --> 00:48:48,800

in a small number of cases

1570

00:48:53,430 --> 00:48:51,359

military aircraft systems processed

1571

00:48:55,270 --> 00:48:53,440

radio frequency energy associated with

1572

00:48:57,109 --> 00:48:55,280

uap sightings in other words

1573

00:49:00,069 --> 00:48:57,119

they're getting jammed they're receiving

1574

00:49:01,990 --> 00:49:00,079

rf signals that's a terrible reach

1575

00:49:03,910 --> 00:49:02,000

uh it means that radio frequency

1576

00:49:05,910 --> 00:49:03,920

information came from

1577

00:49:07,430 --> 00:49:05,920

these uh these objects that they thought

1578

00:49:08,630 --> 00:49:07,440

and they recorded it it could be just

1579

00:49:09,990 --> 00:49:08,640

like the radio signal

1580

00:49:11,990 --> 00:49:10,000

they're transmitting some kind of radio

1581

00:49:13,910 --> 00:49:12,000

data like consumer drones transmit a

1582

00:49:16,390 --> 00:49:13,920

video signal back to the base

1583

00:49:16,950 --> 00:49:16,400

it doesn't mean that it's jamming okay

1584

00:49:18,630 --> 00:49:16,960

so

1585

00:49:20,150 --> 00:49:18,640

uh that's an assumption that i'm making

1586

00:49:21,190 --> 00:49:20,160

uh that may be wrong but

1587

00:49:22,630 --> 00:49:21,200

my point is that there's other

1588

00:49:24,069 --> 00:49:22,640

eyewitness testimony here from a number

1589

00:49:25,190 --> 00:49:24,079

of different pilots have said with some

1590

00:49:26,549 --> 00:49:25,200

of these objects

1591

00:49:28,950 --> 00:49:26,559

they were jamming and if it wasn't

1592

00:49:30,150 --> 00:49:28,960

friendly uh jamming's an act of war mick

1593

00:49:30,790 --> 00:49:30,160

and so if you're if you're getting

1594

00:49:32,870 --> 00:49:30,800

jammed

1595

00:49:34,549 --> 00:49:32,880

that's not a family uh jet it's

1596

00:49:36,790 --> 00:49:34,559

something that's definitely not

1597

00:49:37,910 --> 00:49:36,800

like mosaic jet i know this is alpha

1598

00:49:39,750 --> 00:49:37,920

check's argument but

1599

00:49:41,510 --> 00:49:39,760

i disagreed with him and i've explained

1600

00:49:44,069 --> 00:49:41,520

a few times why i disagree with him

1601
00:49:45,109 --> 00:49:44,079
and you know basically like what we have

1602
00:49:47,750 --> 00:49:45,119
on the video

1603
00:49:49,670 --> 00:49:47,760
is just a pure visual thing we don't

1604
00:49:52,710 --> 00:49:49,680
have any indication that it was

1605
00:49:54,710 --> 00:49:52,720
a a track acquired from

1606
00:49:56,549 --> 00:49:54,720
a red air lock and if we do we don't

1607
00:49:59,270 --> 00:49:56,559
know if it's a track acquired from

1608
00:50:00,549 --> 00:49:59,280
a an accurate radar like you just said

1609
00:50:01,750 --> 00:50:00,559
you know there was jamming you talk

1610
00:50:03,589 --> 00:50:01,760
about all this jamming so

1611
00:50:04,870 --> 00:50:03,599
if the radar was being jammed how do we

1612
00:50:08,150 --> 00:50:04,880
transition from

1613
00:50:09,109 --> 00:50:08,160

radar to uh the ad flier and if we go

1614

00:50:11,910 --> 00:50:09,119

back to

1615

00:50:13,430 --> 00:50:11,920

the the the the atip report that was

1616

00:50:14,710 --> 00:50:13,440

carried out by interviewing the people

1617

00:50:17,829 --> 00:50:14,720

who were there and i think

1618

00:50:18,790 --> 00:50:17,839

including chad underwood uh it says in

1619

00:50:20,870 --> 00:50:18,800

that report

1620

00:50:22,870 --> 00:50:20,880

that there was no jamming and there was

1621

00:50:24,790 --> 00:50:22,880

no science gaming

1622

00:50:26,309 --> 00:50:24,800

classified and so you know it could be

1623

00:50:28,309 --> 00:50:26,319

an unclassified version

1624

00:50:29,670 --> 00:50:28,319

my point overall here is that i don't

1625

00:50:31,190 --> 00:50:29,680

know if there is jamming or not

1626
00:50:32,630 --> 00:50:31,200
but if there's classified why are they

1627
00:50:33,910 --> 00:50:32,640
talking about it now why is alex

1628
00:50:36,230 --> 00:50:33,920
dietrich talking about it

1629
00:50:37,510 --> 00:50:36,240
i don't know it's not classified well i

1630
00:50:51,670 --> 00:50:37,520
don't know i i

1631
00:50:54,470 --> 00:50:52,790
i'm looking at other people but i'm

1632
00:50:55,589 --> 00:50:54,480
coming to my own conclusions here right

1633
00:50:57,910 --> 00:50:55,599
but but yeah

1634
00:50:58,950 --> 00:50:57,920
yeah how do you know how the handoff

1635
00:51:02,150 --> 00:50:58,960
works from

1636
00:51:05,349 --> 00:51:02,160
the radar to the um

1637
00:51:06,150 --> 00:51:05,359
to the apple system i don't know that

1638
00:51:08,309 --> 00:51:06,160

you know that actually

1639

00:51:09,750 --> 00:51:08,319

the only thing that i do know is that if

1640

00:51:11,190 --> 00:51:09,760

it is getting handed off it's more

1641

00:51:14,069 --> 00:51:11,200

likely to be a friendly thing than

1642

00:51:15,510 --> 00:51:14,079

an an adversary sure like if they if it

1643

00:51:16,309 --> 00:51:15,520

was handed off and they knew what it was

1644

00:51:17,990 --> 00:51:16,319

then yes but

1645

00:51:19,670 --> 00:51:18,000

what if it wasn't handed off what if it

1646

00:51:21,270 --> 00:51:19,680

was just like a a ball sight

1647

00:51:22,870 --> 00:51:21,280

acquisition like so it's looking

1648

00:51:24,390 --> 00:51:22,880

straight ahead which it pretty much is

1649

00:51:25,829 --> 00:51:24,400

it's like six degrees off to the to the

1650

00:51:27,510 --> 00:51:25,839

left you could have acquired it in the

1651
00:51:30,230 --> 00:51:27,520
wild field of view you see this little

1652
00:51:32,630 --> 00:51:30,240
black dot it zooms in on that

1653
00:51:33,750 --> 00:51:32,640
uh i under underwood's like done an

1654
00:51:35,190 --> 00:51:33,760
interview i don't think it's actually

1655
00:51:37,349 --> 00:51:35,200
fully been released yet but

1656
00:51:39,270 --> 00:51:37,359
maybe he'll say more about that but the

1657
00:51:41,109 --> 00:51:39,280
thing is like his story has changed

1658
00:51:42,790 --> 00:51:41,119
if you go back to the you know this

1659
00:51:44,630 --> 00:51:42,800
report uh the

1660
00:51:46,950 --> 00:51:44,640
the executive report which was done by

1661
00:51:47,990 --> 00:51:46,960
ata by actually interviewing people

1662
00:51:50,230 --> 00:51:48,000
you know there's things in there they're

1663
00:51:51,910 --> 00:51:50,240

just completely wrong like he says

1664

00:51:53,910 --> 00:51:51,920

that he thought that the object was

1665

00:51:55,589 --> 00:51:53,920

co-altitude or about

1666

00:51:57,349 --> 00:51:55,599

you know up to five thousand feet below

1667

00:51:59,510 --> 00:51:57,359

him whereas in the video it

1668

00:52:01,510 --> 00:51:59,520

clearly shows that it's above him that

1669

00:52:02,870 --> 00:52:01,520

it's it's it's like you know

1670

00:52:04,950 --> 00:52:02,880

coming where it is like five degrees up

1671

00:52:06,549 --> 00:52:04,960

or something like five six degrees up

1672

00:52:08,790 --> 00:52:06,559

so there's these big discrepancies

1673

00:52:11,670 --> 00:52:08,800

between what was reported back then

1674

00:52:12,710 --> 00:52:11,680

and what is being recalled now seventeen

1675

00:52:14,390 --> 00:52:12,720

years later

1676
00:52:16,069 --> 00:52:14,400
and so it's very difficult to say this

1677
00:52:19,190 --> 00:52:16,079
is actual data

1678
00:52:22,230 --> 00:52:21,030
doesn't agree with fravor on the length

1679
00:52:24,470 --> 00:52:22,240
of the encounter right

1680
00:52:25,670 --> 00:52:24,480
right and there's kind of like like

1681
00:52:29,030 --> 00:52:25,680
discrepancies

1682
00:52:30,150 --> 00:52:29,040
so i'm like my i guess the overall point

1683
00:52:32,069 --> 00:52:30,160
that i want to make here

1684
00:52:33,109 --> 00:52:32,079
is just that we need we obviously need

1685
00:52:34,630 --> 00:52:33,119
more data to know for sure yeah

1686
00:52:36,390 --> 00:52:34,640
definitely in the realm of

1687
00:52:37,670 --> 00:52:36,400
talking about eyewitnesses and so i

1688
00:52:38,710 --> 00:52:37,680

don't sort of but i

1689

00:52:40,549 --> 00:52:38,720

just want to move on to some of these

1690

00:52:41,349 --> 00:52:40,559

other points here because um you know

1691

00:52:43,270 --> 00:52:41,359

i'm just sort of

1692

00:52:45,030 --> 00:52:43,280

laying out different pieces of evidence

1693

00:52:46,150 --> 00:52:45,040

that could be just confirming to your

1694

00:52:48,790 --> 00:52:46,160

hypothesis that's all

1695

00:52:50,150 --> 00:52:48,800

so let me just disprove it well i'm not

1696

00:52:50,950 --> 00:52:50,160

i'm not saying i'm disproving i'm just

1697

00:52:53,030 --> 00:52:50,960

saying you said you were going to

1698

00:52:54,630 --> 00:52:53,040

disprove it no i said i'm going to

1699

00:52:55,349 --> 00:52:54,640

attempt to sort of provide some evidence

1700

00:52:58,829 --> 00:52:55,359

that is

1701
00:53:00,230 --> 00:52:58,839
uh puts it lower down in the rank of the

1702
00:53:04,630 --> 00:53:00,240
analysis well we can't rewind the tape

1703
00:53:07,910 --> 00:53:06,790
keep on going here come on we can go

1704
00:53:08,630 --> 00:53:07,920
back and view it though we could

1705
00:53:11,750 --> 00:53:08,640
certainly yeah

1706
00:53:12,710 --> 00:53:11,760
um so there was uh the stars academy

1707
00:53:15,750 --> 00:53:12,720
released a

1708
00:53:17,270 --> 00:53:15,760
a report um they said that uh in this

1709
00:53:18,630 --> 00:53:17,280
report uh that they released back in

1710
00:53:19,109 --> 00:53:18,640
like when the article came out new york

1711
00:53:21,109 --> 00:53:19,119
times

1712
00:53:22,470 --> 00:53:21,119
that's the report i was referring to

1713
00:53:23,109 --> 00:53:22,480

yeah yeah there's actually a couple of

1714

00:53:25,589 --> 00:53:23,119

reports though

1715

00:53:26,150 --> 00:53:25,599

but yeah there's there's this report um

1716

00:53:27,990 --> 00:53:26,160

that

1717

00:53:30,309 --> 00:53:28,000

they said they were basically in the uh

1718

00:53:31,829 --> 00:53:30,319

u.s navy operating area approximately 80

1719

00:53:32,549 --> 00:53:31,839

nautical miles that's about 150

1720

00:53:37,270 --> 00:53:32,559

kilometer

1721

00:53:39,109 --> 00:53:37,280

circle around here just to get a sense

1722

00:53:41,670 --> 00:53:39,119

of like somewhere in here

1723

00:53:42,630 --> 00:53:41,680

uh and then i uh looked on uh and found

1724

00:53:45,109 --> 00:53:42,640

this as a map

1725

00:53:45,670 --> 00:53:45,119

uh i i couldn't find a really canonical

1726
00:53:48,150 --> 00:53:45,680
source

1727
00:53:48,790 --> 00:53:48,160
for this i found it through a link on an

1728
00:53:50,309 --> 00:53:48,800
article by

1729
00:53:51,910 --> 00:53:50,319
tyler rogoway i've seen stuff like this

1730
00:53:53,829 --> 00:53:51,920
that he's posted before but

1731
00:53:55,270 --> 00:53:53,839
what i think this is is essentially

1732
00:53:57,670 --> 00:53:55,280
things that are kind of like

1733
00:53:58,470 --> 00:53:57,680
restricted uh sea space and restricted

1734
00:54:00,790 --> 00:53:58,480
air space

1735
00:54:02,309 --> 00:54:00,800
i think this white is the restricted air

1736
00:54:03,670 --> 00:54:02,319
space because you can see like planes

1737
00:54:06,069 --> 00:54:03,680
would be flying in through here

1738
00:54:08,069 --> 00:54:06,079

right so i guess my overall point here

1739

00:54:10,549 --> 00:54:08,079

is that they're in an area that's in

1740

00:54:11,910 --> 00:54:10,559

a training area right so any thing

1741

00:54:12,790 --> 00:54:11,920

that's in the air that shouldn't be

1742

00:54:16,309 --> 00:54:12,800

there

1743

00:54:19,430 --> 00:54:16,319

talking about like a

1744

00:54:20,390 --> 00:54:19,440

a like a random jet or a friendly jet it

1745

00:54:22,870 --> 00:54:20,400

shouldn't be there

1746

00:54:24,150 --> 00:54:22,880

uh just full spa so where was it though

1747

00:54:25,349 --> 00:54:24,160

i mean it could be on the edge of that

1748

00:54:28,390 --> 00:54:25,359

area

1749

00:54:30,230 --> 00:54:28,400

it's not like

1750

00:54:31,589 --> 00:54:30,240

this white areas covers pretty much the

1751

00:54:33,430 --> 00:54:31,599

entirety of uh

1752

00:54:35,030 --> 00:54:33,440

of the coast i think i've actually flown

1753

00:54:36,309 --> 00:54:35,040

in in part of those areas myself well

1754

00:54:37,589 --> 00:54:36,319

there's a little thing around the coast

1755

00:54:39,510 --> 00:54:37,599

and there's also little things

1756

00:54:40,789 --> 00:54:39,520

here so how do people get to john wayne

1757

00:54:42,950 --> 00:54:40,799

airport it's uh

1758

00:54:44,150 --> 00:54:42,960

there's a little area here like i said

1759

00:54:45,670 --> 00:54:44,160

like this is just something for people

1760

00:54:46,870 --> 00:54:45,680

to look up for restricted airspace but

1761

00:54:49,109 --> 00:54:46,880

my point here mick

1762

00:54:50,309 --> 00:54:49,119

is that it's likely that these things

1763

00:54:51,990 --> 00:54:50,319

that were happening were in these

1764

00:54:53,750 --> 00:54:52,000

restricted airspaces yeah i think

1765

00:54:55,829 --> 00:54:53,760

probably most likely what we're looking

1766

00:54:58,710 --> 00:54:55,839

at is another fighter jet rather than

1767

00:55:00,230 --> 00:54:58,720

another uh commercial thing i i wouldn't

1768

00:55:00,870 --> 00:55:00,240

rule out the commercial things i thought

1769

00:55:03,349 --> 00:55:00,880

okay

1770

00:55:04,630 --> 00:55:03,359

number one commercial just number two so

1771

00:55:06,789 --> 00:55:04,640

in the all the additional

1772

00:55:08,390 --> 00:55:06,799

uh classified annex you've said a number

1773

00:55:09,670 --> 00:55:08,400

of times that the classified index is

1774

00:55:10,630 --> 00:55:09,680

the exact same because it comes to the

1775

00:55:11,990 --> 00:55:10,640

same conclusions

1776

00:55:13,750 --> 00:55:12,000

there may be additional data with

1777

00:55:15,990 --> 00:55:13,760

accounting classified acts has a lot of

1778

00:55:17,670 --> 00:55:16,000

additional data in it but the conclusion

1779

00:55:19,670 --> 00:55:17,680

of the classified version of the report

1780

00:55:21,349 --> 00:55:19,680

the odni has said is the same

1781

00:55:23,270 --> 00:55:21,359

right however i would argue that once

1782

00:55:24,789 --> 00:55:23,280

you have access to that additional data

1783

00:55:26,630 --> 00:55:24,799

you may look at some of these different

1784

00:55:28,390 --> 00:55:26,640

issues and look

1785

00:55:30,069 --> 00:55:28,400

what you may have thought was prosaic

1786

00:55:31,270 --> 00:55:30,079

given all the additional

1787

00:55:33,030 --> 00:55:31,280

contexts that you may have changed your

1788

00:55:34,309 --> 00:55:33,040

mind on that's that's no you don't know

1789

00:55:36,789 --> 00:55:34,319

what the data is

1790

00:55:37,589 --> 00:55:36,799

well mike look i think overall we need

1791

00:55:39,030 --> 00:55:37,599

to be uh

1792

00:55:41,030 --> 00:55:39,040

not just trying to debunk things but

1793

00:55:42,309 --> 00:55:41,040

asking for more data i think that's what

1794

00:55:44,069 --> 00:55:42,319

has to do is ask more videos i would

1795

00:55:46,150 --> 00:55:44,079

want more data but you just said that

1796

00:55:48,069 --> 00:55:46,160

the data would push you in a certain way

1797

00:55:49,109 --> 00:55:48,079

it might actually reinforce the

1798

00:55:50,870 --> 00:55:49,119

conclusions of

1799

00:55:52,390 --> 00:55:50,880

the public report what i'm saying is

1800

00:55:53,750 --> 00:55:52,400

that there's potentially

1801
00:55:55,670 --> 00:55:53,760
good interesting information in that

1802
00:55:55,990 --> 00:55:55,680
classified annex for people i'd love to

1803
00:55:58,870 --> 00:55:56,000
see it

1804
00:56:00,150 --> 00:55:58,880
right but my larger point here is just

1805
00:56:02,150 --> 00:56:00,160
that it's likely

1806
00:56:03,510 --> 00:56:02,160
uh that whatever all these things are

1807
00:56:04,390 --> 00:56:03,520
happening are in these restricted

1808
00:56:06,390 --> 00:56:04,400
airspace

1809
00:56:08,710 --> 00:56:06,400
right i don't know if you accept that or

1810
00:56:11,829 --> 00:56:08,720
not oh i think it's like the jets are

1811
00:56:13,270 --> 00:56:11,839
flying in restricted airspace yeah

1812
00:56:15,030 --> 00:56:13,280
so i'm not sure what your point is

1813
00:56:15,990 --> 00:56:15,040

though my point is that if there's uh

1814

00:56:17,910 --> 00:56:16,000

some sort of other jet

1815

00:56:23,190 --> 00:56:17,920

that is unidentified that they were

1816

00:56:26,390 --> 00:56:24,710

i think the most likely is that it's one

1817

00:56:28,710 --> 00:56:26,400

of their jets and they they just

1818

00:56:29,510 --> 00:56:28,720

similarly with the uh the chilean case

1819

00:56:31,829 --> 00:56:29,520

you remember that when

1820

00:56:34,309 --> 00:56:31,839

you brought it up earlier yeah uh that

1821

00:56:36,309 --> 00:56:34,319

was a plane that was on the radar

1822

00:56:37,589 --> 00:56:36,319

and they they they called the airport

1823

00:56:38,870 --> 00:56:37,599

and said is there a plane on the radar

1824

00:56:40,470 --> 00:56:38,880

and the airport says no

1825

00:56:42,069 --> 00:56:40,480

because they asked them about an area

1826
00:56:43,349 --> 00:56:42,079
south of the airport when the plane was

1827
00:56:45,109 --> 00:56:43,359
actually north of the airport

1828
00:56:46,710 --> 00:56:45,119
so you know it's only good if you know

1829
00:56:49,589 --> 00:56:46,720
what you're looking at and because

1830
00:56:49,990 --> 00:56:49,599
the aptly system is just a visual thing

1831
00:56:51,589 --> 00:56:50,000
uh

1832
00:56:52,870 --> 00:56:51,599
you might be looking at something that's

1833
00:56:53,829 --> 00:56:52,880
not the same thing as where you think it

1834
00:56:54,870 --> 00:56:53,839
is on the radar

1835
00:56:57,190 --> 00:56:54,880
and i think that's probably what

1836
00:56:58,150 --> 00:56:57,200
happened okay my larger point here

1837
00:57:00,069 --> 00:56:58,160
though mick is that

1838
00:57:01,670 --> 00:57:00,079

in looking at all the different uh

1839

00:57:03,510 --> 00:57:01,680

pieces of that events but

1840

00:57:05,270 --> 00:57:03,520

going back to what ryan graves says the

1841

00:57:06,950 --> 00:57:05,280

sensor radar data link pid

1842

00:57:08,549 --> 00:57:06,960

iff the airplane schedule and the

1843

00:57:09,910 --> 00:57:08,559

geographic location data

1844

00:57:12,069 --> 00:57:09,920

that's all the stuff that i just showed

1845

00:57:15,349 --> 00:57:12,079

here uh all the radar all the additional

1846

00:57:17,910 --> 00:57:15,359

information the uh iff the pids

1847

00:57:19,910 --> 00:57:17,920

the uh jamming as well as the geographic

1848

00:57:21,670 --> 00:57:19,920

context that there's certain aspects of

1849

00:57:23,190 --> 00:57:21,680

you only looking at the video

1850

00:57:24,870 --> 00:57:23,200

in the absence of the broader context

1851
00:57:26,630 --> 00:57:24,880
and you're drawing conclusions in the

1852
00:57:28,150 --> 00:57:26,640
absence of the larger context which is

1853
00:57:30,470 --> 00:57:28,160
essentially single source reporting

1854
00:57:31,990 --> 00:57:30,480
which is what louis louisando told you

1855
00:57:32,789 --> 00:57:32,000
is a basically a no-no in the

1856
00:57:35,589 --> 00:57:32,799
intelligence

1857
00:57:36,150 --> 00:57:35,599
analysis area well i only had the video

1858
00:57:37,990 --> 00:57:36,160
and

1859
00:57:39,510 --> 00:57:38,000
we don't know if there is a firm link

1860
00:57:41,109 --> 00:57:39,520
between all that data that you said

1861
00:57:42,789 --> 00:57:41,119
and the object that is seen in the video

1862
00:57:44,549 --> 00:57:42,799
in fact my hypothesis is that it

1863
00:57:47,270 --> 00:57:44,559

probably isn't because alpha check made

1864

00:57:49,270 --> 00:57:47,280

a fairly compelling case that if uh it

1865

00:57:50,950 --> 00:57:49,280

was something that was was uh

1866

00:57:51,910 --> 00:57:50,960

on the uh sa then it would have been

1867

00:57:52,870 --> 00:57:51,920

identified and they would have known

1868

00:57:54,470 --> 00:57:52,880

what they were looking at

1869

00:57:56,630 --> 00:57:54,480

so i think what they're looking at they

1870

00:57:58,230 --> 00:57:56,640

didn't realize was one of the things

1871

00:57:59,910 --> 00:57:58,240

that was on the sa because they weren't

1872

00:58:00,950 --> 00:57:59,920

sure exactly how far away it was and

1873

00:58:03,030 --> 00:58:00,960

what angle

1874

00:58:04,630 --> 00:58:03,040

the camera was pointing in uh relative

1875

00:58:06,390 --> 00:58:04,640

to the sa page

1876

00:58:08,470 --> 00:58:06,400

uh so yeah i mean there's lots of other

1877

00:58:11,750 --> 00:58:08,480

data and it would be great to have that

1878

00:58:12,549 --> 00:58:11,760

but based on what i can see uh that's my

1879

00:58:13,829 --> 00:58:12,559

hypothesis

1880

00:58:15,349 --> 00:58:13,839

and really that isn't the primary thing

1881

00:58:17,109 --> 00:58:15,359

that i said about the video the most

1882

00:58:18,549 --> 00:58:17,119

important thing i've said is debunking

1883

00:58:19,829 --> 00:58:18,559

the claims that people make that it's

1884

00:58:21,670 --> 00:58:19,839

moving very fast

1885

00:58:23,349 --> 00:58:21,680

amazing technology this you're sort of

1886

00:58:25,270 --> 00:58:23,359

just taking a bit of a

1887

00:58:26,870 --> 00:58:25,280

like you're recontextualizing what the

1888

00:58:28,710 --> 00:58:26,880

problem is the problem is

1889

00:58:30,230 --> 00:58:28,720

that there's all sorts of additional

1890

00:58:31,670 --> 00:58:30,240

concepts here that you're trying to

1891

00:58:32,710 --> 00:58:31,680

eliminate the context and what i'm

1892

00:58:34,069 --> 00:58:32,720

saying here is that you're eliminating

1893

00:58:36,069 --> 00:58:34,079

the context no no it's bad

1894

00:58:37,430 --> 00:58:36,079

i'm i'm addressing all that those things

1895

00:58:38,789 --> 00:58:37,440

and i've talked about it

1896

00:58:40,470 --> 00:58:38,799

on twitter with alphacheck and i'd be

1897

00:58:41,190 --> 00:58:40,480

happy to talk about it some more and you

1898

00:58:43,190 --> 00:58:41,200

know he he

1899

00:58:44,630 --> 00:58:43,200

actually said he i raised some good

1900

00:58:46,309 --> 00:58:44,640

issues and he had to go back and check a

1901
00:58:47,990 --> 00:58:46,319
bunch of things and so he went back and

1902
00:58:49,430 --> 00:58:48,000
like you know beefed up his argument a

1903
00:58:50,950 --> 00:58:49,440
bit more and then we kind of got into a

1904
00:58:51,510 --> 00:58:50,960
little bit of an argument on twitter and

1905
00:58:54,230 --> 00:58:51,520
now he

1906
00:58:54,630 --> 00:58:54,240
he doesn't seem to want to talk to me

1907
00:58:57,910 --> 00:58:54,640
but

1908
00:58:59,750 --> 00:58:57,920
you know i i i agree there's more data

1909
00:59:01,270 --> 00:58:59,760
but i think there isn't a firm link

1910
00:59:02,710 --> 00:59:01,280
between all that data and what's on the

1911
00:59:03,829 --> 00:59:02,720
video

1912
00:59:05,349 --> 00:59:03,839
all right well i'm going to try to wrap

1913
00:59:06,710 --> 00:59:05,359

this up here as we go through these

1914

00:59:08,309 --> 00:59:06,720

other ones here um

1915

00:59:09,829 --> 00:59:08,319

so again i'll go back to your statement

1916

00:59:12,230 --> 00:59:09,839

that we are what we're looking at

1917

00:59:13,589 --> 00:59:12,240

is the tail end of a jet i think that's

1918

00:59:14,870 --> 00:59:13,599

again like you said you're compressed on

1919

00:59:16,309 --> 00:59:14,880

tv but when you make statements like

1920

00:59:26,150 --> 00:59:16,319

that i think it's irresponsible

1921

00:59:29,829 --> 00:59:27,750

yeah i think you're drawing i think the

1922

00:59:32,150 --> 00:59:29,839

the lack you sort of sloppiness in the

1923

00:59:33,510 --> 00:59:32,160

language you use is bad like you should

1924

00:59:35,990 --> 00:59:33,520

be a little bit more

1925

00:59:37,589 --> 00:59:36,000

like hey because you're you're basically

1926

00:59:39,190 --> 00:59:37,599

saying this is a high confidence

1927

00:59:41,030 --> 00:59:39,200

thing that this is basically not in

1928

00:59:42,390 --> 00:59:41,040

there it's a cronkite i'm just you know

1929

00:59:44,309 --> 00:59:42,400

some guy who's putting forward a bunch

1930

00:59:46,150 --> 00:59:44,319

of hypotheses about what's in there and

1931

00:59:47,670 --> 00:59:46,160

pointing out things that are wrong and i

1932

00:59:49,109 --> 00:59:47,680

think i phrase it in a more definitive

1933

00:59:51,430 --> 00:59:49,119

way than people would like

1934

00:59:53,190 --> 00:59:51,440

right and i think maybe like part of it

1935

00:59:54,789 --> 00:59:53,200

is when when you're introduced you know

1936

00:59:55,270 --> 00:59:54,799

on national television you're introduced

1937

00:59:57,030 --> 00:59:55,280

as

1938

00:59:58,549 --> 00:59:57,040

an expert right and so i think that's

1939

01:00:00,230 --> 00:59:58,559

where i think that's all

1940

01:00:01,990 --> 01:00:00,240

i mean that's all i i would say well i'm

1941

01:00:04,630 --> 01:00:02,000

giving you my expert opinion

1942

01:00:05,829 --> 01:00:04,640

it's sloppy you should like it but i

1943

01:00:07,030 --> 01:00:05,839

think it reflects maybe what you

1944

01:00:08,549 --> 01:00:07,040

actually think that it is

1945

01:00:10,309 --> 01:00:08,559

just the end of the tale the jet plane

1946

01:00:11,829 --> 01:00:10,319

had solved i do think

1947

01:00:13,430 --> 01:00:11,839

that i think that's right by far the

1948

01:00:16,230 --> 01:00:13,440

most likely explanation

1949

01:00:17,589 --> 01:00:16,240

right but you're not i agree that it

1950

01:00:20,069 --> 01:00:17,599

isn't definitive

1951

01:00:22,549 --> 01:00:20,079

and uh uh you know so you're being

1952

01:00:24,789 --> 01:00:22,559

people you're being a bad skeptic

1953

01:00:26,150 --> 01:00:24,799

let nick finish let me finish his point

1954

01:00:27,270 --> 01:00:26,160

please well no that's that's pretty much

1955

01:00:29,910 --> 01:00:27,280

my point that i think

1956

01:00:31,190 --> 01:00:29,920

that uh you know i'm putting forward my

1957

01:00:33,750 --> 01:00:31,200

best hypothesis and i i

1958

01:00:34,789 --> 01:00:33,760

should have be used better language if i

1959

01:00:37,190 --> 01:00:34,799

wanted to be clear but

1960

01:00:37,910 --> 01:00:37,200

again this this was this super high

1961

01:00:39,670 --> 01:00:37,920

intensity

1962

01:00:41,109 --> 01:00:39,680

thing like they've been like calling me

1963

01:00:42,630 --> 01:00:41,119

all through the day getting me on this

1964

01:00:44,309 --> 01:00:42,640

thing and then you know i'm there with

1965

01:00:45,030 --> 01:00:44,319

with cuomo i can't see anything on

1966

01:00:46,309 --> 01:00:45,040

screen

1967

01:00:47,670 --> 01:00:46,319

i can't actually see the video that

1968

01:00:49,270 --> 01:00:47,680

they're showing on the screen as i'm

1969

01:00:49,910 --> 01:00:49,280

just talking to the camera and like

1970

01:00:51,990 --> 01:00:49,920

trying to

1971

01:00:53,910 --> 01:00:52,000

rattle through my points and yeah if

1972

01:00:55,349 --> 01:00:53,920

there was a bit more relaxed situation

1973

01:00:56,390 --> 01:00:55,359

when i was just chatting with him i

1974

01:00:59,430 --> 01:00:56,400

could have said yeah i think that's the

1975

01:01:00,789 --> 01:00:59,440

most likely explanation

1976

01:01:01,990 --> 01:01:00,799

i guess my larger point though is that

1977

01:01:03,270 --> 01:01:02,000

people look to you like people like

1978

01:01:03,750 --> 01:01:03,280

michael schumer i've heard him talk

1979

01:01:05,430 --> 01:01:03,760

about

1980

01:01:06,870 --> 01:01:05,440

oh mick west's analysis are pretty

1981

01:01:08,390 --> 01:01:06,880

pretty much conclusive right so when you

1982

01:01:09,430 --> 01:01:08,400

use language that it is conclusive when

1983

01:01:10,870 --> 01:01:09,440

it actually isn't

1984

01:01:12,470 --> 01:01:10,880

you're sort of putting forth bad

1985

01:01:13,109 --> 01:01:12,480

information that's sort of rippling out

1986

01:01:14,630 --> 01:01:13,119

and it's

1987

01:01:16,150 --> 01:01:14,640

it's not being a good skeptic which is

1988

01:01:17,670 --> 01:01:16,160

all about sort of suspending judgment

1989

01:01:18,870 --> 01:01:17,680

well i don't think i mean like really

1990

01:01:19,349 --> 01:01:18,880

he's not being a good skeptic there is

1991

01:01:21,190 --> 01:01:19,359

more

1992

01:01:22,789 --> 01:01:21,200

than me because he's kind of just taking

1993

01:01:25,349 --> 01:01:22,799

my words as gospel and

1994

01:01:26,390 --> 01:01:25,359

pointing at them and he's he's perhaps

1995

01:01:29,430 --> 01:01:26,400

repeating them

1996

01:01:30,710 --> 01:01:29,440

you know uncritically but you know who's

1997

01:01:32,710 --> 01:01:30,720

going to believe michael sherman in the

1998

01:01:34,630 --> 01:01:32,720

ufo community he's he's a

1999

01:01:35,750 --> 01:01:34,640

guy who doesn't believe in the et

2000

01:01:37,589 --> 01:01:35,760

hypothesis or

2001
01:01:39,670 --> 01:01:37,599
probably to the same extent as me but

2002
01:01:41,750 --> 01:01:39,680
it's a bit more blunt about saying so

2003
01:01:43,510 --> 01:01:41,760
you know most of the time i i explain

2004
01:01:45,430 --> 01:01:43,520
quite carefully that i have this

2005
01:01:46,710 --> 01:01:45,440
this ranking system and i always keep

2006
01:01:49,109 --> 01:01:46,720
the et hypothesis

2007
01:01:50,150 --> 01:01:49,119
on there but you know when when

2008
01:01:53,109 --> 01:01:50,160
pressured or when

2009
01:01:54,150 --> 01:01:53,119
space is limited uh i i do agree i

2010
01:01:55,029 --> 01:01:54,160
sometimes make

2011
01:01:56,390 --> 01:01:55,039
statements that are a bit more

2012
01:01:57,910 --> 01:01:56,400
definitive than they should be and this

2013
01:01:59,589 --> 01:01:57,920

is probably something i should try to

2014

01:02:01,510 --> 01:01:59,599

improve on the future because it leads

2015

01:02:03,190 --> 01:02:01,520

to conversations like this where people

2016

01:02:05,750 --> 01:02:03,200

are just basically arguing over

2017

01:02:06,230 --> 01:02:05,760

the way i phrase things right but the

2018

01:02:07,829 --> 01:02:06,240

phrasing

2019

01:02:09,109 --> 01:02:07,839

actually carries a lot of meaning it's

2020

01:02:10,470 --> 01:02:09,119

the difference between you know what's

2021

01:02:12,390 --> 01:02:10,480

really important here is what these

2022

01:02:13,029 --> 01:02:12,400

objects are not really what i say about

2023

01:02:15,829 --> 01:02:13,039

them

2024

01:02:16,309 --> 01:02:15,839

well okay well let me just we're coming

2025

01:02:17,990 --> 01:02:16,319

to the end

2026

01:02:19,349 --> 01:02:18,000

i think what i've laid out here is the

2027

01:02:20,710 --> 01:02:19,359

basic of my arguments a lot of these

2028

01:02:21,349 --> 01:02:20,720

other things are kind of recast and so

2029

01:02:23,109 --> 01:02:21,359

i'm just going to go

2030

01:02:24,470 --> 01:02:23,119

through quickly here some of these other

2031

01:02:27,589 --> 01:02:24,480

points um

2032

01:02:29,029 --> 01:02:27,599

so the the corbel drops again uh you

2033

01:02:29,829 --> 01:02:29,039

know you're saying that it's the worst

2034

01:02:31,510 --> 01:02:29,839

ever

2035

01:02:33,349 --> 01:02:31,520

that you're here you're saying jeremy

2036

01:02:34,549 --> 01:02:33,359

does not have corroborating data now

2037

01:02:36,069 --> 01:02:34,559

again you're saying stuff that's

2038

01:02:37,829 --> 01:02:36,079

basically like how do you know

2039

01:02:39,750 --> 01:02:37,839

right what i'm saying that specifically

2040

01:02:41,349 --> 01:02:39,760

is that this data does not corroborate

2041

01:02:43,270 --> 01:02:41,359

the video data and the descending sphere

2042

01:02:45,829 --> 01:02:43,280

data he has three separate things

2043

01:02:48,069 --> 01:02:45,839

and they're not linked by by time stamps

2044

01:02:48,950 --> 01:02:48,079

or by location stamps to being the exact

2045

01:02:50,309 --> 01:02:48,960

same event

2046

01:02:52,549 --> 01:02:50,319

corroborating data is when you've got

2047

01:02:54,069 --> 01:02:52,559

one object and you have data on that one

2048

01:02:56,470 --> 01:02:54,079

object from multiple

2049

01:02:57,349 --> 01:02:56,480

sensors or multiple angles like here

2050

01:02:59,190 --> 01:02:57,359

we've got uh

2051
01:03:01,270 --> 01:02:59,200
we've got one shot of a bunch of what

2052
01:03:03,109 --> 01:03:01,280
look like light navigation lights in the

2053
01:03:04,390 --> 01:03:03,119
sky then we've also got an ir

2054
01:03:05,589 --> 01:03:04,400
thing of a sphere descending and then

2055
01:03:06,309 --> 01:03:05,599
we've got a radar data with a whole

2056
01:03:08,390 --> 01:03:06,319
bunch of things

2057
01:03:10,470 --> 01:03:08,400
that is not corroborating because we

2058
01:03:12,069 --> 01:03:10,480
can't identify any one object in all

2059
01:03:13,670 --> 01:03:12,079
three things

2060
01:03:15,510 --> 01:03:13,680
well because the the data that we're

2061
01:03:16,549 --> 01:03:15,520
getting from corbell is not have like

2062
01:03:17,910 --> 01:03:16,559
good prominence

2063
01:03:19,990 --> 01:03:17,920

i think you're right in a sense that we

2064

01:03:21,750 --> 01:03:20,000

can't put a certain amount of evidence

2065

01:03:23,109 --> 01:03:21,760

but i don't i i think also at the same

2066

01:03:24,789 --> 01:03:23,119

time it could be cooperating

2067

01:03:26,230 --> 01:03:24,799

so for you to eliminate i think you're

2068

01:03:28,230 --> 01:03:26,240

again going too far forward

2069

01:03:30,309 --> 01:03:28,240

how could it be corroborating it's can

2070

01:03:32,789 --> 01:03:30,319

you identify which object is which

2071

01:03:33,589 --> 01:03:32,799

and in all three videos i you know i

2072

01:03:35,349 --> 01:03:33,599

don't that's

2073

01:03:36,710 --> 01:03:35,359

i haven't seen the data and you haven't

2074

01:03:38,309 --> 01:03:36,720

either so

2075

01:03:41,270 --> 01:03:38,319

i'm not going to see what he's putting

2076

01:03:43,670 --> 01:03:41,280

out all three videos

2077

01:03:45,109 --> 01:03:43,680

but by not have corroborating data i'm

2078

01:03:47,430 --> 01:03:45,119

referring to this he said

2079

01:03:48,230 --> 01:03:47,440

this is corroborating data he said this

2080

01:03:49,990 --> 01:03:48,240

video

2081

01:03:51,589 --> 01:03:50,000

is corroborating data i'm saying this is

2082

01:03:53,270 --> 01:03:51,599

not corroborating data

2083

01:03:55,029 --> 01:03:53,280

okay all right fair enough so there's

2084

01:03:56,630 --> 01:03:55,039

the radar data this data

2085

01:03:58,470 --> 01:03:56,640

and then again i'm going back to this

2086

01:03:59,829 --> 01:03:58,480

which is that well i don't know exactly

2087

01:04:00,789 --> 01:03:59,839

where they're at but it's entirely

2088

01:04:03,349 --> 01:04:00,799

possible

2089

01:04:04,630 --> 01:04:03,359

that these what seem to be just mundane

2090

01:04:05,750 --> 01:04:04,640

drones or planes

2091

01:04:07,510 --> 01:04:05,760

they could actually be in restricted

2092

01:04:08,150 --> 01:04:07,520

airspace which actually changes in the

2093

01:04:09,910 --> 01:04:08,160

blue

2094

01:04:11,270 --> 01:04:09,920

area that you pointed out earlier which

2095

01:04:13,349 --> 01:04:11,280

is the approach path

2096

01:04:14,710 --> 01:04:13,359

uh for southern california i showed that

2097

01:04:18,710 --> 01:04:14,720

in my video

2098

01:04:20,950 --> 01:04:18,720

the omaha specifically uh is directly

2099

01:04:22,390 --> 01:04:20,960

the direction on the the deck log the

2100

01:04:23,829 --> 01:04:22,400

the latitude and longitude is directly

2101

01:04:25,589 --> 01:04:23,839

under the flight path of about

2102

01:04:27,670 --> 01:04:25,599

maybe 10 planes to go almost directly

2103

01:04:29,670 --> 01:04:27,680

overhead at around that time

2104

01:04:30,870 --> 01:04:29,680

okay i didn't i didn't i missed that so

2105

01:04:32,630 --> 01:04:30,880

that's you know i think

2106

01:04:34,230 --> 01:04:32,640

i don't know if there's gps coordinated

2107

01:04:35,190 --> 01:04:34,240

uh versions of these maps but that would

2108

01:04:36,789 --> 01:04:35,200

be good to have just to

2109

01:04:39,029 --> 01:04:36,799

you know have people as we're getting

2110

01:04:40,470 --> 01:04:39,039

relayed

2111

01:04:42,150 --> 01:04:40,480

just to be able to sort of see like what

2112

01:04:43,270 --> 01:04:42,160

the larger context again again i'm going

2113

01:04:44,549 --> 01:04:43,280

back to the context

2114

01:04:46,309 --> 01:04:44,559

because it's really important as to

2115

01:04:48,150 --> 01:04:46,319

where they're at here as to whether or

2116

01:04:49,190 --> 01:04:48,160

not they could be sort of explained away

2117

01:04:50,230 --> 01:04:49,200

as just drones

2118

01:04:51,670 --> 01:04:50,240

because even if they're drones they

2119

01:04:52,390 --> 01:04:51,680

shouldn't be there if it's restricted so

2120

01:04:55,750 --> 01:04:52,400

yeah that would be

2121

01:04:57,510 --> 01:04:55,760

pretty significant

2122

01:04:59,029 --> 01:04:57,520

just pop in real quick we probably

2123

01:05:00,950 --> 01:04:59,039

should make this our closing arguments

2124

01:05:03,109 --> 01:05:00,960

and then uh and then we'll get

2125

01:05:04,069 --> 01:05:03,119

we'll get i guess to the end of this yep

2126

01:05:06,069 --> 01:05:04,079

i'll do a couple

2127

01:05:08,150 --> 01:05:06,079

here here again it's just a thing where

2128

01:05:09,349 --> 01:05:08,160

you say new footage it's just bokeh not

2129

01:05:12,789 --> 01:05:09,359

a pyramid again

2130

01:05:14,630 --> 01:05:12,799

by that one that's fine okay again

2131

01:05:16,390 --> 01:05:14,640

you're declaring something that there

2132

01:05:17,190 --> 01:05:16,400

may be additional data in context that

2133

01:05:19,349 --> 01:05:17,200

we don't know

2134

01:05:20,549 --> 01:05:19,359

so again i think you you know maybe put

2135

01:05:23,029 --> 01:05:20,559

money on that one

2136

01:05:24,630 --> 01:05:23,039

okay fair enough um the go fast not

2137

01:05:25,910 --> 01:05:24,640

being go okay this is uh we'll

2138

01:05:27,829 --> 01:05:25,920

probably i don't have time to get into

2139

01:05:29,109 --> 01:05:27,839

the uh the last thing here of the

2140

01:05:30,230 --> 01:05:29,119

preliminary analysis there's a tweet

2141

01:05:31,430 --> 01:05:30,240

thread that i did with you

2142

01:05:32,950 --> 01:05:31,440

that was sort of going back and forth

2143

01:05:34,549 --> 01:05:32,960

and like i said people can look up the

2144

01:05:36,309 --> 01:05:34,559

the slides and there's a whole annex

2145

01:05:37,990 --> 01:05:36,319

but this just go fast let's make this

2146

01:05:41,109 --> 01:05:38,000

the last one okay so

2147

01:05:42,710 --> 01:05:41,119

mick west um so you said on the

2148

01:05:45,589 --> 01:05:42,720

unidentified celebrity review on june

2149

01:05:47,910 --> 01:05:45,599

21st you said this isn't my opinion

2150

01:05:49,510 --> 01:05:47,920

this is me saying i'm this isn't me

2151
01:05:50,870 --> 01:05:49,520
saying i'm an expert to go fast video is

2152
01:05:51,349 --> 01:05:50,880
going slow and you should trust everyone

2153
01:05:53,670 --> 01:05:51,359
else

2154
01:05:54,789 --> 01:05:53,680
it's saying this is the math these are

2155
01:05:56,150 --> 01:05:54,799
the numbers on the screen

2156
01:05:57,910 --> 01:05:56,160
these are the angles you can do it

2157
01:05:58,230 --> 01:05:57,920
yourself or you can find a high spoiler

2158
01:06:00,950 --> 01:05:58,240
to

2159
01:06:02,549 --> 01:06:00,960
verify it so what you're saying is that

2160
01:06:04,309 --> 01:06:02,559
anybody can just look at the numbers and

2161
01:06:05,190 --> 01:06:04,319
figure out the trigonometry and that

2162
01:06:06,789 --> 01:06:05,200
what they'll find

2163
01:06:08,630 --> 01:06:06,799

is that it's not going fast it's not

2164

01:06:10,789 --> 01:06:08,640

mundane it's nothing special

2165

01:06:12,069 --> 01:06:10,799

right assuming the numbers are correct

2166

01:06:13,510 --> 01:06:12,079

and some people have raised the issue

2167

01:06:15,190 --> 01:06:13,520

that they might not be correct but they

2168

01:06:16,789 --> 01:06:15,200

haven't really given very good

2169

01:06:18,230 --> 01:06:16,799

uh evidence for why they wouldn't be

2170

01:06:19,910 --> 01:06:18,240

correct and they seem pretty consistent

2171

01:06:21,670 --> 01:06:19,920

throughout the video to me

2172

01:06:23,349 --> 01:06:21,680

so the last question that i'll ask you

2173

01:06:25,829 --> 01:06:23,359

here is do you remember what lu elizondo

2174

01:06:29,670 --> 01:06:25,839

told you about this when you asked him

2175

01:06:32,390 --> 01:06:29,680

no okay what he said uh

2176
01:06:33,670 --> 01:06:32,400
was that they actually had radar on this

2177
01:06:35,349 --> 01:06:33,680
and that they were able to discern that

2178
01:06:37,589 --> 01:06:35,359
it was an object that was indeed moving

2179
01:06:39,029 --> 01:06:37,599
at a very fast speed uh that goes above

2180
01:06:39,670 --> 01:06:39,039
and beyond what you had with just the

2181
01:06:41,270 --> 01:06:39,680
video

2182
01:06:42,789 --> 01:06:41,280
so there's actually radar returns and

2183
01:06:44,829 --> 01:06:42,799
also he says wait no

2184
01:06:46,309 --> 01:06:44,839
you just said there is a radar returns

2185
01:06:47,750 --> 01:06:46,319
yeah lose certain radar returns he

2186
01:06:50,390 --> 01:06:47,760
doesn't mean that there are

2187
01:06:50,950 --> 01:06:50,400
uh he says um let's see let me see what

2188
01:06:52,470 --> 01:06:50,960

exactly

2189

01:06:54,150 --> 01:06:52,480

i'm saying he might be wrong he may be

2190

01:06:54,950 --> 01:06:54,160

misremembering or he's characterizing

2191

01:06:56,789 --> 01:06:54,960

the situation he

2192

01:06:58,230 --> 01:06:56,799

okay well first i'm just gonna trust

2193

01:06:59,270 --> 01:06:58,240

that he was right and not just say that

2194

01:07:00,230 --> 01:06:59,280

he was wrong because that's a weak

2195

01:07:02,549 --> 01:07:00,240

argument okay

2196

01:07:04,309 --> 01:07:02,559

um just to say all of you that's just

2197

01:07:06,789 --> 01:07:04,319

like a that's a pop out

2198

01:07:07,349 --> 01:07:06,799

but is it automatically trusting it also

2199

01:07:10,630 --> 01:07:07,359

a week

2200

01:07:11,829 --> 01:07:10,640

weeks i think it's just like nick kind

2201

01:07:13,430 --> 01:07:11,839

of takes a position of anything that

2202

01:07:14,470 --> 01:07:13,440

disagrees with his like core hypothesis

2203

01:07:16,630 --> 01:07:14,480

it's just like oh well it's just

2204

01:07:18,789 --> 01:07:16,640

discounted let's just like say yeah

2205

01:07:21,750 --> 01:07:18,799

secrets

2206

01:07:23,190 --> 01:07:21,760

like what you're doing and that's what

2207

01:07:24,710 --> 01:07:23,200

lou is doing but you know he's not

2208

01:07:27,109 --> 01:07:24,720

producing any evidence so

2209

01:07:28,470 --> 01:07:27,119

we just got to go by lou's word and you

2210

01:07:30,789 --> 01:07:28,480

know frankly i i

2211

01:07:31,910 --> 01:07:30,799

i think that he mischaracterizes things

2212

01:07:33,510 --> 01:07:31,920

a lot of the time

2213

01:07:35,270 --> 01:07:33,520

but i'm not for me personally i'm not

2214

01:07:36,710 --> 01:07:35,280

making a decision on this you are on the

2215

01:07:38,069 --> 01:07:36,720

record saying that this is just math

2216

01:07:39,430 --> 01:07:38,079

that you could just do the trigonometry

2217

01:07:40,870 --> 01:07:39,440

and you'll be able to discover

2218

01:07:42,549 --> 01:07:40,880

what i'm saying again and again and

2219

01:07:44,150 --> 01:07:42,559

again mick is that in this cot in this

2220

01:07:45,430 --> 01:07:44,160

situation there's additional context

2221

01:07:46,950 --> 01:07:45,440

that you don't have access to it's

2222

01:07:47,430 --> 01:07:46,960

secret data that other people have

2223

01:07:49,910 --> 01:07:47,440

looked at

2224

01:07:51,990 --> 01:07:49,920

and what he's saying and he says again

2225

01:07:53,750 --> 01:07:52,000

and again according to the radar data

2226

01:07:55,349 --> 01:07:53,760

not just what you see in the camera that

2227

01:07:56,069 --> 01:07:55,359

the performance characteristics on this

2228

01:07:59,270 --> 01:07:56,079

object of the go

2229

01:08:01,750 --> 01:07:59,280

fast that and also uh pointing out

2230

01:08:02,950 --> 01:08:01,760

that there was some very compelling um

2231

01:08:04,789 --> 01:08:02,960

long pause

2232

01:08:05,670 --> 01:08:04,799

signatures associated with that video i

2233

01:08:07,349 --> 01:08:05,680

don't know if that means there was

2234

01:08:09,029 --> 01:08:07,359

active jamming or anything else

2235

01:08:10,549 --> 01:08:09,039

but he's basically saying look we have

2236

01:08:12,390 --> 01:08:10,559

additional data that's not

2237

01:08:13,910 --> 01:08:12,400

like open that or we're making this

2238

01:08:15,750 --> 01:08:13,920

decision on the go fast

2239

01:08:17,749 --> 01:08:15,760

and so for you to only look at the go

2240

01:08:19,189 --> 01:08:17,759

fast video and do all this trigonometry

2241

01:08:20,870 --> 01:08:19,199

i think it's like it goes above them

2242

01:08:23,189 --> 01:08:20,880

that's what he did with uh

2243

01:08:24,149 --> 01:08:23,199

like the video when he showed it on the

2244

01:08:26,390 --> 01:08:24,159

the unidentified

2245

01:08:28,149 --> 01:08:26,400

show they were showing it on screen and

2246

01:08:29,669 --> 01:08:28,159

they had two experts come in and go look

2247

01:08:30,470 --> 01:08:29,679

at that thing it's moving really fast

2248

01:08:31,669 --> 01:08:30,480

and yeah

2249

01:08:32,789 --> 01:08:31,679

talk about moving two-thirds the speed

2250

01:08:34,390 --> 01:08:32,799

of sound and they didn't say anything

2251

01:08:35,749 --> 01:08:34,400

about secret data backing that up they

2252

01:08:37,430 --> 01:08:35,759

just said that's what you see on the

2253

01:08:37,990 --> 01:08:37,440

video so i'm claiming the video does not

2254

01:08:39,829 --> 01:08:38,000

actually show

2255

01:08:41,510 --> 01:08:39,839

that maybe there's some extra

2256

01:08:42,950 --> 01:08:41,520

information like lewis claiming there's

2257

01:08:44,390 --> 01:08:42,960

extra information but you know if you

2258

01:08:45,590 --> 01:08:44,400

can't bring it then it doesn't really

2259

01:08:47,590 --> 01:08:45,600

mean that much

2260

01:08:48,870 --> 01:08:47,600

nick just like let me just finish this

2261

01:08:50,390 --> 01:08:48,880

one last point because

2262

01:08:52,229 --> 01:08:50,400

nick you said yourself when you're on

2263

01:08:53,910 --> 01:08:52,239

national tv you said

2264

01:08:55,430 --> 01:08:53,920

something that was maybe something you

2265

01:08:56,709 --> 01:08:55,440

should know that this is something that

2266

01:08:58,229 --> 01:08:56,719

you didn't know for sure

2267

01:09:00,470 --> 01:08:58,239

i think the conceits of something like

2268

01:09:00,950 --> 01:09:00,480

to the scars academy the identified tv

2269

01:09:03,030 --> 01:09:00,960

show

2270

01:09:04,789 --> 01:09:03,040

is not a good standard to base upon what

2271

01:09:06,390 --> 01:09:04,799

the standard of evidence are

2272

01:09:07,910 --> 01:09:06,400

when we look at something like there's

2273

01:09:08,870 --> 01:09:07,920

an intelligence analysis and other

2274

01:09:10,950 --> 01:09:08,880

things that are going on

2275

01:09:12,390 --> 01:09:10,960

so you have the same criticism of louis

2276

01:09:13,590 --> 01:09:12,400

alexander then for saying this on

2277

01:09:15,189 --> 01:09:13,600

national tv

2278

01:09:16,470 --> 01:09:15,199

it's the con it's a criticism of the

2279

01:09:17,910 --> 01:09:16,480

conceit of what they were trying to do

2280

01:09:18,229 --> 01:09:17,920

to raise awareness and they had to use

2281

01:09:25,030 --> 01:09:18,239

the

2282

01:09:25,349 --> 01:09:25,040

make stuff up on tv but i'm not allowed

2283

01:09:30,070 --> 01:09:25,359

to

2284

01:09:36,070 --> 01:09:30,080

you were seen as somebody who's like a

2285

01:09:40,149 --> 01:09:37,749

the authority i am when i listen to

2286

01:09:41,590 --> 01:09:40,159

louis alzando he is extremely careful in

2287

01:09:43,749 --> 01:09:41,600

how he phrases things

2288

01:09:45,110 --> 01:09:43,759

and i think because you don't have a

2289

01:09:46,550 --> 01:09:45,120

training in science and so there's a

2290

01:09:47,430 --> 01:09:46,560

certain way in that this may be new to

2291

01:09:49,349 --> 01:09:47,440

you for how

2292

01:09:50,870 --> 01:09:49,359

scientists think about these things but

2293

01:09:52,149 --> 01:09:50,880

scientists actually are very humble in

2294

01:09:52,630 --> 01:09:52,159

terms of what they know and they don't

2295

01:09:54,229 --> 01:09:52,640

know

2296

01:09:55,910 --> 01:09:54,239

and there's certain lack of episodic

2297

01:09:57,430 --> 01:09:55,920

humility meaning you say things above

2298

01:09:59,270 --> 01:09:57,440

and beyond what you have knowledge of

2299

01:10:03,189 --> 01:09:59,280

and that's like that goes up that's bad

2300

01:10:05,830 --> 01:10:03,199

it's just

2301

01:10:07,830 --> 01:10:05,840

uh if you take a long conversation with

2302

01:10:08,870 --> 01:10:07,840

me i like i said i say things uh on

2303

01:10:10,870 --> 01:10:08,880

national tv and

2304

01:10:12,470 --> 01:10:10,880

in twitter sometimes that uh are a bit

2305

01:10:14,550 --> 01:10:12,480

too definitive i would agree with you

2306

01:10:17,189 --> 01:10:14,560

with with that but you know i don't

2307

01:10:20,470 --> 01:10:17,199

think it's that big of a deal really

2308

01:10:23,110 --> 01:10:20,480

uh all right okay real quick uh the

2309

01:10:25,110 --> 01:10:23,120

i just want to say just a compliment to

2310

01:10:25,990 --> 01:10:25,120

this conversation the new york post just

2311

01:10:29,750 --> 01:10:26,000

said

2312

01:10:31,990 --> 01:10:29,760

this is what the next three

2313

01:10:32,870 --> 01:10:32,000

hours uh special on discovery channel

2314

01:10:34,550 --> 01:10:32,880

should be

2315

01:10:36,870 --> 01:10:34,560

and i couldn't agree with it more like

2316

01:10:39,750 --> 01:10:36,880

this is how conversations happen

2317

01:10:41,590 --> 01:10:39,760

and i guess we'll end with this ken that

2318

01:10:43,030 --> 01:10:41,600

was amazing

2319

01:10:44,630 --> 01:10:43,040

i love that you brought all of these

2320

01:10:47,350 --> 01:10:44,640

slides mick

2321

01:10:49,030 --> 01:10:47,360

thank you for your patience and your um

2322

01:10:50,070 --> 01:10:49,040

your ability to take this information

2323

01:10:52,870 --> 01:10:50,080

and criticism

2324

01:10:53,590 --> 01:10:52,880

and even agree with it i mean i think

2325

01:10:55,669 --> 01:10:53,600

ken

2326

01:10:57,270 --> 01:10:55,679

you're underlying from what i gather

2327

01:10:59,750 --> 01:10:57,280

here in the last hour

2328

01:11:02,390 --> 01:10:59,760

it's the messaging sometimes on a

2329

01:11:05,669 --> 01:11:02,400

national platform that that comes out

2330

01:11:07,430 --> 01:11:05,679

and and it's it it's

2331

01:11:08,870 --> 01:11:07,440

it's what i'd say the the thing that i

2332

01:11:09,990 --> 01:11:08,880

see again and again that mick does is he

2333

01:11:11,669 --> 01:11:10,000

tries to reduce things down to a

2334

01:11:12,790 --> 01:11:11,679

component part when by while ignoring

2335

01:11:14,870 --> 01:11:12,800

the larger context

2336

01:11:16,070 --> 01:11:14,880

and he's basing judgments upon like a

2337

01:11:17,910 --> 01:11:16,080

like there's something that called

2338

01:11:18,550 --> 01:11:17,920

myriology which is like the whole part

2339

01:11:19,669 --> 01:11:18,560

structure

2340

01:11:21,030 --> 01:11:19,679

and so he's taking something that's a

2341

01:11:22,630 --> 01:11:21,040

reductive part in the drawing of art or

2342

01:11:23,750 --> 01:11:22,640

conclusion but he can't actually do that

2343

01:11:24,310 --> 01:11:23,760

because he doesn't have full access to

2344

01:11:25,270 --> 01:11:24,320

the data

2345

01:11:26,630 --> 01:11:25,280

and he's maybe there are actually

2346

01:11:28,229 --> 01:11:26,640

reductive parts in this argument when

2347

01:11:30,070 --> 01:11:28,239

people make specific arguments about the

2348

01:11:31,110 --> 01:11:30,080

video that is a part that can be taken

2349

01:11:32,470 --> 01:11:31,120

in isolation

2350

01:11:33,990 --> 01:11:32,480

and you don't have to draw wider

2351

01:11:35,350 --> 01:11:34,000

conclusions from it but you can analyze

2352

01:11:37,590 --> 01:11:35,360

that particular part

2353

01:11:39,430 --> 01:11:37,600

and say you know it's it that glow is

2354

01:11:40,950 --> 01:11:39,440

just it's just an artifact of the camera

2355

01:11:42,390 --> 01:11:40,960

or the rotation it's just an artifact

2356

01:11:44,149 --> 01:11:42,400

with a camera it's not going fast these

2357

01:11:44,630 --> 01:11:44,159

are reductive parts that are fine to

2358

01:11:46,630 --> 01:11:44,640

look at

2359

01:11:48,470 --> 01:11:46,640

in themselves i think your problem is

2360

01:11:49,350 --> 01:11:48,480

more with my broader conclusions that

2361

01:11:51,750 --> 01:11:49,360

you think i need to

2362

01:11:53,270 --> 01:11:51,760

draw you you have shown yourself as i've

2363

01:11:55,110 --> 01:11:53,280

demonstrated here that you make

2364

01:11:56,709 --> 01:11:55,120

statements that you can't back up

2365

01:11:58,390 --> 01:11:56,719

that you you're drawing knowledge that

2366

01:11:59,910 --> 01:11:58,400

you can't say that this is definitely

2367

01:12:01,430 --> 01:11:59,920

you said on national tv i'd be happy to

2368

01:12:01,990 --> 01:12:01,440

back up any of the statements that i

2369

01:12:04,470 --> 01:12:02,000

have made

2370

01:12:05,910 --> 01:12:04,480

and uh or retract any statements as i

2371

01:12:07,590 --> 01:12:05,920

have done already like when

2372

01:12:09,590 --> 01:12:07,600

they're being too declarative and that's

2373

01:12:11,669 --> 01:12:09,600

fair he has done that declarative

2374

01:12:12,950 --> 01:12:11,679

to be fair to make he has done that like

2375

01:12:14,870 --> 01:12:12,960

he has and and

2376

01:12:16,709 --> 01:12:14,880

and he has taken your criticism today

2377

01:12:18,950 --> 01:12:16,719

and said you know what kent you're right

2378

01:12:20,630 --> 01:12:18,960

um maybe i was too definitive on certain

2379

01:12:22,550 --> 01:12:20,640

tweets or certain things that i said on

2380

01:12:24,950 --> 01:12:22,560

cnn and to be fair to nick to defend him

2381

01:12:27,110 --> 01:12:24,960

a little bit on a cnn hit

2382

01:12:28,390 --> 01:12:27,120

that's sometimes nerve-wracking it's

2383

01:12:30,229 --> 01:12:28,400

super super fast

2384

01:12:32,070 --> 01:12:30,239

and i can understand getting nervous in

2385

01:12:33,110 --> 01:12:32,080

an instant like that especially if you

2386

01:12:36,870 --> 01:12:33,120

can't see

2387

01:12:38,790 --> 01:12:36,880

footage that they're popping up like

2388

01:12:40,790 --> 01:12:38,800

that's you're kind of trusting a network

2389

01:12:41,910 --> 01:12:40,800

news to represent you in the right way

2390

01:12:43,910 --> 01:12:41,920

and vice versa

2391

01:12:45,510 --> 01:12:43,920

and so i can understand how maybe he

2392

01:12:46,790 --> 01:12:45,520

stumbled upon his words maybe didn't

2393

01:12:48,149 --> 01:12:46,800

word things the right way

2394

01:12:50,149 --> 01:12:48,159

and like he said himself it's something

2395

01:12:51,590 --> 01:12:50,159

he's got to work on like it's something

2396

01:12:52,870 --> 01:12:51,600

he's going to work on he's going to work

2397

01:12:55,590 --> 01:12:52,880

on it and

2398

01:12:57,750 --> 01:12:55,600

and look here's the thing kent i think

2399

01:12:59,189 --> 01:12:57,760

and i think you think and i'm maybe even

2400

01:13:01,030 --> 01:12:59,199

i'm not going to speak for michael

2401
01:13:02,470 --> 01:13:01,040
but i think in two or three years when

2402
01:13:04,149 --> 01:13:02,480
there's more data

2403
01:13:05,910 --> 01:13:04,159
i mean mika said himself the thing that

2404
01:13:07,750 --> 01:13:05,920
would convince him is if

2405
01:13:09,669 --> 01:13:07,760
let's say we had two angles camera

2406
01:13:11,590 --> 01:13:09,679
angles of that tic tac video

2407
01:13:12,950 --> 01:13:11,600
he's gonna have a much more difficult

2408
01:13:15,189 --> 01:13:12,960
time you know

2409
01:13:16,470 --> 01:13:15,199
putting these hypotheses in his occam

2410
01:13:18,310 --> 01:13:16,480
razors list

2411
01:13:19,910 --> 01:13:18,320
you know i think you're gonna start

2412
01:13:21,750 --> 01:13:19,920
seeing maybe that i don't know i don't

2413
01:13:22,550 --> 01:13:21,760

want to call it alien because nobody's

2414

01:13:27,990 --> 01:13:22,560

doing that

2415

01:13:32,310 --> 01:13:30,870

unknown box maybe moves up his list a

2416

01:13:35,030 --> 01:13:32,320

little more um

2417

01:13:35,669 --> 01:13:35,040

and he said that you know and so that's

2418

01:13:39,910 --> 01:13:35,679

why i

2419

01:13:42,630 --> 01:13:39,920

i like to bring mick on because he

2420

01:13:45,750 --> 01:13:42,640

in a hundred and fifty tweets or or

2421

01:13:47,430 --> 01:13:45,760

limited tweet or 260 whatever it is

2422

01:13:49,350 --> 01:13:47,440

context gets lost

2423

01:13:51,590 --> 01:13:49,360

meaning gets lost and sometimes you

2424

01:13:53,350 --> 01:13:51,600

write something and you think it sounds

2425

01:13:54,550 --> 01:13:53,360

funny in your head but everybody takes

2426

01:13:57,510 --> 01:13:54,560

it as an insult

2427

01:13:58,070 --> 01:13:57,520

and i know mick like i this guy is a

2428

01:14:00,950 --> 01:13:58,080

cheeky

2429

01:14:01,910 --> 01:14:00,960

dry sense of humor i mean he's a few

2430

01:14:05,669 --> 01:14:01,920

tweets

2431

01:14:07,669 --> 01:14:05,679

back on stuff and

2432

01:14:09,030 --> 01:14:07,679

and look if it's something that he's

2433

01:14:10,070 --> 01:14:09,040

admit and it's something that he's

2434

01:14:13,189 --> 01:14:10,080

trying to work on

2435

01:14:15,669 --> 01:14:13,199

i say that's a win on you kent i

2436

01:14:17,350 --> 01:14:15,679

i think you know like you got him to to

2437

01:14:18,950 --> 01:14:17,360

say that and i feel like he said it in

2438

01:14:20,470 --> 01:14:18,960

other platforms but again

2439

01:14:22,310 --> 01:14:20,480

like every time mick you come here and

2440

01:14:24,630 --> 01:14:22,320

we have a conversation i feel like i'm

2441

01:14:27,189 --> 01:14:24,640

more and more blown away by just your

2442

01:14:28,550 --> 01:14:27,199

your your cool dude like and you're just

2443

01:14:31,350 --> 01:14:28,560

trying to get the answers

2444

01:14:32,149 --> 01:14:31,360

and you're basing your hypotheses off of

2445

01:14:34,630 --> 01:14:32,159

what's there

2446

01:14:36,229 --> 01:14:34,640

what's on the table and not what's not

2447

01:14:37,750 --> 01:14:36,239

on the table not what people are saying

2448

01:14:39,510 --> 01:14:37,760

and i agree he kind of

2449

01:14:42,229 --> 01:14:39,520

sometimes i think you're skipping the

2450

01:14:44,790 --> 01:14:42,239

totality of what's happening here

2451
01:14:45,990 --> 01:14:44,800
there's a really big picture and because

2452
01:14:47,830 --> 01:14:46,000
you don't have the

2453
01:14:49,430 --> 01:14:47,840
the data points for the bigger picture

2454
01:14:50,470 --> 01:14:49,440
you're just going off of the data points

2455
01:14:52,709 --> 01:14:50,480
you have

2456
01:14:54,070 --> 01:14:52,719
you you you're kind of throwing that out

2457
01:14:55,030 --> 01:14:54,080
of the way and i understand why you're

2458
01:14:57,350 --> 01:14:55,040
doing that

2459
01:14:58,229 --> 01:14:57,360
um but again i i think this is a healthy

2460
01:15:00,149 --> 01:14:58,239
conversation

2461
01:15:02,070 --> 01:15:00,159
i would love to maybe do a part two of

2462
01:15:03,510 --> 01:15:02,080
this if you guys are up for it

2463
01:15:06,390 --> 01:15:03,520

um you know we could plan that in the

2464

01:15:08,630 --> 01:15:06,400

next few weeks or so um

2465

01:15:21,669 --> 01:15:08,640

please put a list together put put i was

2466

01:15:24,470 --> 01:15:22,950

real quick ken i just i just wanted to

2467

01:15:25,750 --> 01:15:24,480

say one thing again and and i wanted to

2468

01:15:27,030 --> 01:15:25,760

appreciate both of you for

2469

01:15:28,550 --> 01:15:27,040

for coming on here and doing this i know

2470

01:15:29,030 --> 01:15:28,560

it's it's a challenging thing to do and

2471

01:15:32,229 --> 01:15:29,040

it takes

2472

01:15:33,189 --> 01:15:32,239

guts uh and you know we're in front of a

2473

01:15:34,470 --> 01:15:33,199

decent amount of people a lot more

2474

01:15:36,870 --> 01:15:34,480

people are going to watch this later but

2475

01:15:38,310 --> 01:15:36,880

i did want to say um you know i

2476

01:15:40,550 --> 01:15:38,320

definitely think

2477

01:15:41,669 --> 01:15:40,560

mick one of the things that is the

2478

01:15:44,709 --> 01:15:41,679

biggest challenge

2479

01:15:46,709 --> 01:15:44,719

from people who read your tweets

2480

01:15:48,550 --> 01:15:46,719

is that they really feel like and i

2481

01:15:50,229 --> 01:15:48,560

think ken did a good job of just

2482

01:15:51,910 --> 01:15:50,239

pointing out this one particular point

2483

01:15:52,630 --> 01:15:51,920

is that you can be very definitive and

2484

01:15:54,870 --> 01:15:52,640

sometimes

2485

01:15:56,630 --> 01:15:54,880

you separate your own opinion from your

2486

01:15:58,149 --> 01:15:56,640

debunking and i think that's where

2487

01:15:59,750 --> 01:15:58,159

people are just like

2488

01:16:01,189 --> 01:15:59,760

so far they throw their hands up they go

2489

01:16:02,630 --> 01:16:01,199

how can you be a debunker and

2490

01:16:03,830 --> 01:16:02,640

simultaneously have an opinion as a

2491

01:16:05,750 --> 01:16:03,840

human being and i and

2492

01:16:08,390 --> 01:16:05,760

that's where i think that's where some

2493

01:16:11,669 --> 01:16:08,400

of the problems uh it's an issue i i

2494

01:16:13,430 --> 01:16:11,679

i i just don't sometimes it you can't

2495

01:16:14,229 --> 01:16:13,440

have the space of putting in like the i

2496

01:16:15,830 --> 01:16:14,239

think or

2497

01:16:17,910 --> 01:16:15,840

almost certainly let's be honest

2498

01:16:19,910 --> 01:16:17,920

sometimes you just can't help yourself

2499

01:16:23,750 --> 01:16:19,920

i think that's it i think you're

2500

01:16:29,110 --> 01:16:26,950

you're gonna help yourself i wanna send

2501
01:16:30,950 --> 01:16:29,120
a kudos and thank you to mick because

2502
01:16:32,310 --> 01:16:30,960
a lot of the stuff that i was showing

2503
01:16:33,270 --> 01:16:32,320
was because of the stuff that you were

2504
01:16:34,310 --> 01:16:33,280
putting out that other people were

2505
01:16:36,630 --> 01:16:34,320
responding to

2506
01:16:37,910 --> 01:16:36,640
so there's a sort of a dialectic process

2507
01:16:38,790 --> 01:16:37,920
of believing truths and avoiding

2508
01:16:40,870 --> 01:16:38,800
falsehoods

2509
01:16:42,229 --> 01:16:40,880
so there's a a healthy function of those

2510
01:16:43,430 --> 01:16:42,239
two and then when it's healthy

2511
01:16:44,790 --> 01:16:43,440
because when you have open-minded

2512
01:16:45,669 --> 01:16:44,800
collaboration between those two when

2513
01:16:47,510 --> 01:16:45,679

it's toxic

2514

01:16:49,350 --> 01:16:47,520

is when you have closed-mindedness where

2515

01:16:50,709 --> 01:16:49,360

it's sort of like not listening

2516

01:16:51,910 --> 01:16:50,719

and then so some of my twitter

2517

01:16:52,950 --> 01:16:51,920

interactions where it's trying to engage

2518

01:16:53,590 --> 01:16:52,960

with this there's a point where just

2519

01:16:55,590 --> 01:16:53,600

sort of like

2520

01:16:56,870 --> 01:16:55,600

the the door is shut and you can't get

2521

01:16:58,470 --> 01:16:56,880

through because it's sort of like

2522

01:16:59,350 --> 01:16:58,480

you know there's a larger point that's

2523

01:17:00,390 --> 01:16:59,360

there because there's like these

2524

01:17:02,149 --> 01:17:00,400

dogmatic statements

2525

01:17:03,430 --> 01:17:02,159

but overall i wouldn't have done this

2526

01:17:06,630 --> 01:17:03,440

presentation had i

2527

01:17:08,390 --> 01:17:06,640

not been so pissed off and angry about

2528

01:17:10,149 --> 01:17:08,400

i thought you were wrong about right so

2529

01:17:11,830 --> 01:17:10,159

that that sort of catalyzed my own

2530

01:17:13,510 --> 01:17:11,840

process to be able to like go through

2531

01:17:15,430 --> 01:17:13,520

and just basically document out

2532

01:17:17,030 --> 01:17:15,440

okay here's what i think nick is doing

2533

01:17:17,430 --> 01:17:17,040

and i tried to try to do the best i

2534

01:17:19,189 --> 01:17:17,440

could

2535

01:17:20,709 --> 01:17:19,199

to represent what your arguments were

2536

01:17:21,350 --> 01:17:20,719

the best as i could and the best that i

2537

01:17:22,950 --> 01:17:21,360

understood

2538

01:17:24,630 --> 01:17:22,960

and right oh i think that they tried

2539

01:17:25,510 --> 01:17:24,640

they start to break down and hopefully

2540

01:17:27,590 --> 01:17:25,520

you know this

2541

01:17:29,430 --> 01:17:27,600

all these slides are on slideshare uh

2542

01:17:30,070 --> 01:17:29,440

under my name can't buy and i'll tweet

2543

01:17:31,270 --> 01:17:30,080

it out as well

2544

01:17:33,189 --> 01:17:31,280

so people can kind of there's a whole

2545

01:17:34,870 --> 01:17:33,199

appendix of lots of other things from

2546

01:17:36,550 --> 01:17:34,880

you know uh additional things from the

2547

01:17:37,590 --> 01:17:36,560

same encyclopedia philosophy and the

2548

01:17:39,270 --> 01:17:37,600

ethical skeptic and

2549

01:17:40,950 --> 01:17:39,280

some other sort of resources because i

2550

01:17:41,750 --> 01:17:40,960

think as a community we need to have

2551

01:17:43,430 --> 01:17:41,760

this sort of like

2552

01:17:45,110 --> 01:17:43,440

we need this healthy dialectic between

2553

01:17:47,510 --> 01:17:45,120

like more people who are kind of

2554

01:17:48,229 --> 01:17:47,520

uh providing these provocations like

2555

01:17:50,709 --> 01:17:48,239

mick has done

2556

01:17:51,750 --> 01:17:50,719

so well uh that has really catalyzed the

2557

01:17:53,430 --> 01:17:51,760

generation of

2558

01:17:55,030 --> 01:17:53,440

other people responding that's actually

2559

01:17:55,350 --> 01:17:55,040

revealed quite a lot of knowledge about

2560

01:17:58,950 --> 01:17:55,360

this

2561

01:18:00,870 --> 01:17:58,960

function but i think i'd caution around

2562

01:18:02,390 --> 01:18:00,880

that sort of lack of epistemic humility

2563

01:18:04,070 --> 01:18:02,400

you know going too far about what you

2564

01:18:04,630 --> 01:18:04,080

actually know and sort of being a bad

2565

01:18:07,669 --> 01:18:04,640

skeptic

2566

01:18:10,470 --> 01:18:07,679

being willing to

2567

01:18:11,669 --> 01:18:10,480

suspend your judgment uh of not and

2568

01:18:14,390 --> 01:18:11,679

there's three

2569

01:18:15,750 --> 01:18:14,400

doxxed beliefs of belief disbelief and

2570

01:18:17,430 --> 01:18:15,760

then there's like sort of like i don't

2571

01:18:18,149 --> 01:18:17,440

know i'm just gonna suspend making a

2572

01:18:19,910 --> 01:18:18,159

decision

2573

01:18:22,070 --> 01:18:19,920

and i think sometimes by making a

2574

01:18:24,070 --> 01:18:22,080

decision it sort of creates these

2575

01:18:25,990 --> 01:18:24,080

you know ways in which that people have

2576

01:18:27,750 --> 01:18:26,000

these uh twitter wars and battles

2577

01:18:30,550 --> 01:18:27,760

uh so i would just sort of encourage

2578

01:18:32,070 --> 01:18:30,560

more open-mindedness more collaboration

2579

01:18:33,590 --> 01:18:32,080

for people who actually you know are

2580

01:18:34,790 --> 01:18:33,600

really challenging like hey but what

2581

01:18:35,910 --> 01:18:34,800

about this what about this

2582

01:18:37,430 --> 01:18:35,920

and the people who have the will to

2583

01:18:37,830 --> 01:18:37,440

believe being like no but i really think

2584

01:18:39,430 --> 01:18:37,840

it's this

2585

01:18:41,030 --> 01:18:39,440

and i think it's between those two

2586

01:18:42,229 --> 01:18:41,040

together working together where the real

2587

01:18:43,830 --> 01:18:42,239

knowledge is being produced

2588

01:18:45,590 --> 01:18:43,840

sure well i'm always happy to have

2589

01:18:47,750 --> 01:18:45,600

conversations with people and to listen

2590

01:18:48,709 --> 01:18:47,760

to their ideas and uh have my ideas

2591

01:18:51,669 --> 01:18:48,719

challenged and

2592

01:18:53,110 --> 01:18:51,679

uh and defend my own ideas if uh if

2593

01:18:55,510 --> 01:18:53,120

that's what's needed

2594

01:18:57,030 --> 01:18:55,520

and i apologize to people if they if i

2595

01:19:00,229 --> 01:18:57,040

come across for

2596

01:19:03,270 --> 01:19:00,239

seem too definitive sometimes and i

2597

01:19:05,110 --> 01:19:03,280

i keep the 80 hypothesis on the table i

2598

01:19:06,070 --> 01:19:05,120

keep the advanced technology hypothesis

2599

01:19:07,830 --> 01:19:06,080

on the table

2600

01:19:10,229 --> 01:19:07,840

i keep all kinds of hypotheses on the

2601

01:19:11,830 --> 01:19:10,239

table and i probably have biases but i

2602

01:19:13,590 --> 01:19:11,840

think that's kind of born from my long

2603

01:19:14,310 --> 01:19:13,600

experience of investigating lots of

2604

01:19:15,510 --> 01:19:14,320

cases

2605

01:19:17,830 --> 01:19:15,520

and they're not turning out to be

2606

01:19:20,229 --> 01:19:17,840

anything interesting uh but you know i

2607

01:19:21,830 --> 01:19:20,239

i'd love to have more data like like it

2608

01:19:23,430 --> 01:19:21,840

would be good to have you know two

2609

01:19:24,310 --> 01:19:23,440

angles but right now we've got one angle

2610

01:19:27,030 --> 01:19:24,320

and we've got

2611

01:19:28,310 --> 01:19:27,040

some stuff let's uh hinted about and

2612

01:19:30,630 --> 01:19:28,320

that really isn't enough to make

2613

01:19:31,750 --> 01:19:30,640

uh conclusions other than what we can

2614

01:19:33,750 --> 01:19:31,760

conclude with

2615

01:19:35,350 --> 01:19:33,760

the visible data so bring on more data

2616

01:19:39,189 --> 01:19:35,360

and i shall try to be

2617

01:19:41,189 --> 01:19:39,199

uh more equivocable or whatever when uh

2618

01:19:43,110 --> 01:19:41,199

when i say things i will equivocate

2619

01:19:44,950 --> 01:19:43,120

equitable yeah i uh

2620

01:19:46,630 --> 01:19:44,960

i love you make west i'm not gonna lie

2621

01:19:48,790 --> 01:19:46,640

um i want to

2622

01:19:49,750 --> 01:19:48,800

just share we we did put a poll and i

2623

01:19:51,669 --> 01:19:49,760

put a poll up

2624

01:19:53,030 --> 01:19:51,679

in the chat says who's winning so far

2625

01:19:55,910 --> 01:19:53,040

mick or kent and then i wrote

2626

01:19:56,709 --> 01:19:55,920

team kent or team mick uh it was pretty

2627

01:20:00,630 --> 01:19:56,719

damn close

2628

01:20:03,510 --> 01:20:00,640

it was 55 percent uh in favor of can't

2629

01:20:04,630 --> 01:20:03,520

buy so man this was almost straight down

2630

01:20:06,870 --> 01:20:04,640

the middle

2631

01:20:07,830 --> 01:20:06,880

um there was a hundred and eighty six

2632

01:20:10,229 --> 01:20:07,840

votes

2633

01:20:11,030 --> 01:20:10,239

don't look so sad mick don't look so sad

2634

01:20:12,790 --> 01:20:11,040

it's fine that's

2635

01:20:14,470 --> 01:20:12,800

good i mean it's okay maybe you'll win

2636

01:20:16,790 --> 01:20:14,480

the next one that's great because this

2637

01:20:19,430 --> 01:20:16,800

is a pro ufo crowd really right

2638

01:20:21,510 --> 01:20:19,440

exactly no i mean look man that's why

2639

01:20:23,669 --> 01:20:21,520

i'm trying to bring a little bit of

2640

01:20:25,189 --> 01:20:23,679

levity a little bit of clearer thinking

2641

01:20:27,430 --> 01:20:25,199

a little bit more um

2642

01:20:28,310 --> 01:20:27,440

questioning your own belief systems with

2643

01:20:30,149 --> 01:20:28,320

this show

2644

01:20:32,070 --> 01:20:30,159

that's the whole point of it so this

2645

01:20:35,110 --> 01:20:32,080

discussion i i think did a lot

2646

01:20:36,629 --> 01:20:35,120

for that so kent mick thank you so much

2647

01:20:39,990 --> 01:20:36,639

real quick before you go

2648

01:20:41,590 --> 01:20:40,000

ken is there anything that maybe

2649

01:20:43,510 --> 01:20:41,600

changed your thought process on mick

2650

01:20:44,790 --> 01:20:43,520

west do you like mick west a little more

2651
01:20:46,709 --> 01:20:44,800
after this conversation

2652
01:20:47,990 --> 01:20:46,719
are you a little less pissed off i i

2653
01:20:49,910 --> 01:20:48,000
gotta give it to nick for

2654
01:20:51,030 --> 01:20:49,920
for sort of uh taking my points and

2655
01:20:52,550 --> 01:20:51,040
really hearing me um

2656
01:20:53,750 --> 01:20:52,560
like i said i've sort of tried to

2657
01:20:54,950 --> 01:20:53,760
communicate some of these things on

2658
01:20:56,070 --> 01:20:54,960
twitter and it's

2659
01:20:57,990 --> 01:20:56,080
not the best medium to have these

2660
01:21:00,070 --> 01:20:58,000
nuanced type of conversations and so

2661
01:21:01,430 --> 01:21:00,080
uh i'm glad that and there's a lot of

2662
01:21:03,030 --> 01:21:01,440
points that i was making that i actually

2663
01:21:05,030 --> 01:21:03,040

don't have the data to be able to

2664

01:21:06,950 --> 01:21:05,040

for sure on my side to say no for sure i

2665

01:21:08,390 --> 01:21:06,960

know either because i don't know either

2666

01:21:10,310 --> 01:21:08,400

it's just more of a preponderance of all

2667

01:21:12,709 --> 01:21:10,320

these things and um yeah i

2668

01:21:13,990 --> 01:21:12,719

you know the the the larger larger point

2669

01:21:15,590 --> 01:21:14,000

is like just the larger contextual

2670

01:21:17,189 --> 01:21:15,600

information that's what i and that's why

2671

01:21:18,550 --> 01:21:17,199

i was asking mick around do you remember

2672

01:21:20,229 --> 01:21:18,560

what blue told you about this

2673

01:21:21,590 --> 01:21:20,239

specific thing because it's basically

2674

01:21:22,790 --> 01:21:21,600

like i wanted to really understand

2675

01:21:23,830 --> 01:21:22,800

whether or not nick was really

2676

01:21:25,270 --> 01:21:23,840

internalizing

2677

01:21:26,790 --> 01:21:25,280

some of the ways in which that you know

2678

01:21:28,229 --> 01:21:26,800

sometimes i hear mick say well lou

2679

01:21:29,350 --> 01:21:28,239

didn't respond to this but actually if

2680

01:21:30,870 --> 01:21:29,360

you go back and listen

2681

01:21:32,149 --> 01:21:30,880

i think lou actually is responding by

2682

01:21:33,030 --> 01:21:32,159

saying look we have more contacts that

2683

01:21:35,669 --> 01:21:33,040

you don't have

2684

01:21:36,550 --> 01:21:35,679

and we came here he just says that about

2685

01:21:39,830 --> 01:21:36,560

everything

2686

01:21:44,070 --> 01:21:39,840

well let's not get let's not get into

2687

01:21:47,430 --> 01:21:46,149

in the chat asking for an exit poll and

2688

01:21:48,550 --> 01:21:47,440

i think some people may have changed

2689

01:21:50,629 --> 01:21:48,560

their their position

2690

01:21:52,550 --> 01:21:50,639

okay we'll get an exit poll i'll get it

2691

01:21:53,830 --> 01:21:52,560

for the second hour mick west we'll do

2692

01:21:55,750 --> 01:21:53,840

this scientifically

2693

01:21:57,430 --> 01:21:55,760

um is there anything is there is there

2694

01:21:59,030 --> 01:21:57,440

any good points maybe that you thought

2695

01:21:59,830 --> 01:21:59,040

that kept brought up make before you get

2696

01:22:01,510 --> 01:21:59,840

out of here

2697

01:22:03,350 --> 01:22:01,520

uh well i mean like what i've already

2698

01:22:05,110 --> 01:22:03,360

said really is that yeah it's true i'm

2699

01:22:06,550 --> 01:22:05,120

too definitive sometimes and it doesn't

2700

01:22:08,470 --> 01:22:06,560

it's not good communication

2701

01:22:10,390 --> 01:22:08,480

you know he says it's it's sloppy but

2702

01:22:11,910 --> 01:22:10,400

you know i i don't like i said i i'm not

2703

01:22:13,350 --> 01:22:11,920

well to cronkite i'm not like

2704

01:22:14,950 --> 01:22:13,360

uh you know holding the nation's

2705

01:22:16,310 --> 01:22:14,960

attention in the palm of my hand it's

2706

01:22:17,590 --> 01:22:16,320

right i've got like a few thousand

2707

01:22:19,110 --> 01:22:17,600

followers on twitter

2708

01:22:21,110 --> 01:22:19,120

right and you also only got you don't

2709

01:22:21,990 --> 01:22:21,120

have your own nationwide television show

2710

01:22:24,229 --> 01:22:22,000

on on

2711

01:22:25,910 --> 01:22:24,239

youtube just to push back on that a

2712

01:22:27,030 --> 01:22:25,920

little bit you are being on cnn you're

2713

01:22:28,870 --> 01:22:27,040

on new york times

2714

01:22:30,550 --> 01:22:28,880

you know your voice excited as an expert

2715

01:22:32,790 --> 01:22:30,560

yeah and i guess you're not just

2716

01:22:34,149 --> 01:22:32,800

like you know your level of party you're

2717

01:22:35,510 --> 01:22:34,159

in the new york times i mean you're in

2718

01:22:37,110 --> 01:22:35,520

the some of the biggest

2719

01:22:38,629 --> 01:22:37,120

newspapers and i think what i'm saying

2720

01:22:39,030 --> 01:22:38,639

is that there's a good responsibility

2721

01:22:41,110 --> 01:22:39,040

there

2722

01:22:42,629 --> 01:22:41,120

when you get to that level to actually

2723

01:22:43,510 --> 01:22:42,639

go with it what the data are actually

2724

01:22:44,790 --> 01:22:43,520

saying because what you're saying

2725

01:22:45,510 --> 01:22:44,800

sometimes is going above and beyond the

2726

01:22:46,870 --> 01:22:45,520

computers

2727

01:22:49,430 --> 01:22:46,880

if if someone disagrees with what i've

2728

01:22:49,750 --> 01:22:49,440

said uh just ask me and i will clarify

2729

01:22:52,310 --> 01:22:49,760

or

2730

01:22:52,790 --> 01:22:52,320

back it up excellent all right gentlemen

2731

01:22:55,030 --> 01:22:52,800

well

2732

01:22:56,310 --> 01:22:55,040

seriously this was awesome i cannot tell

2733

01:22:58,470 --> 01:22:56,320

you how many people in the chat were

2734

01:22:58,870 --> 01:22:58,480

enjoying this and interacting the entire

2735

01:23:00,790 --> 01:22:58,880

hour

2736

01:23:02,950 --> 01:23:00,800

in 20 minutes so we got stephen

2737

01:23:04,550 --> 01:23:02,960

greenstreet on standby also with ufo

2738

01:23:06,950 --> 01:23:04,560

jane and rather's i'm sure

2739

01:23:08,790 --> 01:23:06,960

got some hot takes on this conversation

2740

01:23:10,950 --> 01:23:08,800

uh probably

2741

01:23:12,310 --> 01:23:10,960

maybe we'll get him to do the exit poll

2742

01:23:14,070 --> 01:23:12,320

um all right you guys

2743

01:23:15,350 --> 01:23:14,080

we'll see you next time i appreciate you

2744

01:23:17,430 --> 01:23:15,360

stopping by real quick

2745

01:23:19,590 --> 01:23:17,440

uh where can people find your stuff kent

2746

01:23:21,830 --> 01:23:19,600

oh i'm not on twitter i can't buy

2747

01:23:24,870 --> 01:23:21,840

i have like one uap video on youtube uh

2748

01:23:26,550 --> 01:23:24,880

and i have a a podcast on vr i look at a

2749

01:23:28,070 --> 01:23:26,560

lot of emerging technologies

2750

01:23:29,830 --> 01:23:28,080

and an oral historian i'm coming up on

2751

01:23:31,270 --> 01:23:29,840

like episode 1000 uh

2752

01:23:32,629 --> 01:23:31,280

congratulations we gotta get you on the

2753

01:23:33,669 --> 01:23:32,639

singularity lab because i think that's a

2754

01:23:33,990 --> 01:23:33,679

good platform for you i've already

2755

01:23:36,629 --> 01:23:34,000

booked

2756

01:23:37,910 --> 01:23:36,639

him oh perfect all right ken well we'll

2757

01:23:38,709 --> 01:23:37,920

see you soon my friend thank you for

2758

01:23:42,790 --> 01:23:38,719

stopping by

2759

01:23:44,709 --> 01:23:42,800

yeah thanks uh thanks again mick west

2760

01:23:46,709 --> 01:23:44,719

thank you man thank you for uh for for

2761

01:23:49,669 --> 01:23:46,719

always saying yes to this show you're

2762

01:23:50,629 --> 01:23:49,679

truly um i'm sure you've got it was that

2763

01:23:52,629 --> 01:23:50,639

a ton of other

2764

01:23:54,550 --> 01:23:52,639

better to do but i'm glad you we

2765

01:23:56,470 --> 01:23:54,560

always get you smiling i'm i'm glad that

2766

01:23:57,110 --> 01:23:56,480

we didn't get too much resting mcface

2767

01:23:59,430 --> 01:23:57,120

today

2768

01:24:00,790 --> 01:23:59,440

uh and uh and it was a good time man oh

2769

01:24:01,510 --> 01:24:00,800

there it is there's the wrestling mcface

2770

01:24:03,350 --> 01:24:01,520

there it is

2771

01:24:04,790 --> 01:24:03,360

all right all right mick mick west dude

2772

01:24:06,790 --> 01:24:04,800

i really appreciate it uh

2773

01:24:08,790 --> 01:24:06,800

friend of the show we'll see you soon

2774

01:24:10,950 --> 01:24:08,800

wait um

2775

01:24:12,590 --> 01:24:10,960

tell everybody we're my metabunk midwest

2776

01:24:14,950 --> 01:24:12,600

west google it

2777

01:24:17,110 --> 01:24:14,960

[Laughter]

2778

01:24:18,629 --> 01:24:17,120

go up everywhere all right my friend